

DRAPP 2014



DENVER REGIONAL COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

We make life better!

Agenda

- ◆ **Presentation of Possible Scenarios and Costs**
- ◆ **Presentation of Ballpark Quotes for Add-ons**
- ◆ **Discussion of Quotes and Scenarios**

Scenarios

Scenarios

Scenario 1:		
Product	Extent	Cost
6in & 1ft Imagery + DAT	Areas 1,2,3,4	\$600,000
WMS (2014-2016)	Areas 1,2,3,4	\$55,000
10% Buffer		\$65,500
Estimated Total Project Cost		\$720,500
Recommendation: Add 50% (2012 cost x1.5)		

- ◆ Identical to the 2012 project in terms of deliverables.
- ◆ Our WMS was rolled in cost-wise in 2012 because we were a “trial” for the vendor. In 2014, it will cost us more, but we’ll also get a formal SLA etc.
- ◆ This scenario is a given. One tweak would be a WCS (couldn’t get a quote in time)

Scenarios

Scenario 2:		No Post Process	Contours + Breaklines
Product	Extent	Cost	Cost
6in & 1ft Imagery + DAT	Areas 1,2,3,4	\$600,000	\$600,000
WMS (2014-2016)	Areas 1,2,3,4	\$55,000	\$55,000
LIDAR	Area 1,3,4	\$354,250	\$882,900
10% Buffer		\$100,925	\$153,790
Estimated Total Project Cost		\$1,110,175	\$1,691,690
Recommendation: (2012 cost x2 or x3)		Add 100%	Add 200%

- ◆ LIDAR is an additional product.
- ◆ Quotes for Bare-Earth only vs. Contours + Breaklines from two different companies
- ◆ Notice I didn't include Eastern Plains.
- ◆ But before we go down this path...

LIDAR... Why do we want it?

Could be used for:

- ◆ **Contours**
- ◆ **Identifying new development; showing moved dirt in construction projects**
- ◆ **Building heights and generalized building footprints** (Note: More accurate building footprints would need to come from the imagery itself.)
- ◆ **Vegetation/Tree Canopy mapping**

LIDAR... Why do we want it?

Considerations:

- ◆ Could require different flight specs, depending on what point density we want.
- ◆ If LIDAR is to be used for orthorectification, the LIDAR has to be flown and processed before the imagery can be fully processed.
- ◆ Sanborn tells me it's not great for “really accurate” building footprints – would be better off using photogrammetry.
- ◆ LIDAR can't be collected in the snow. We may need 25 days of collection time before Feb/March. Can we do this?
 - ◆ Note: The imagery can be processed with an existing DEM and still meet our ortho specs (just like in 2012).
- ◆ So, are there enough other things we'd get from LIDAR to justify its purchase?

LIDAR... Why do we want it?

More considerations:

- ◆ **Point densities, accuracy, and classification level differ by application**
- ◆ **Classification:**
 - ◆ Ground vs. unclassified
 - ◆ Ground, Vegetation, Buildings, Water etc.
- ◆ **Hydro Enforcement/Flattening**
- ◆ **Do we want raw LAS data or derivative products?**

Scenarios

Scenario 3:		
Product	Extent	Cost
6in & 1ft Imagery + DAT	Areas 1,2,3,4	\$600,000
WMS (2014-2016)	Areas 1,2,3,4	\$55,000
LIDAR	Area 1,3,4	\$354,250
3 in imagery	1000sqmi portion of Area 1	\$250,000
10% Buffer		\$125,925
Estimated Total Project Cost		\$1,385,175
Recommendation: Add 200% (2012 cost x3)		

- ◆ 3in is an additional product.
- ◆ Notice that I've only included 1000sqmi.
- ◆ But before we go down this path...

3in resolution

- ◆ Likely a different flight height than the rest of the imagery (although this depends on the camera).
- ◆ How many partners does this benefit vs. how many it puts at risk?

Scenarios

Scenario 4:		
Product	Extent	Cost
6in & 1ft Imagery + DAT	Areas 1,2,3,4	\$600,000
WMS (2014-2016)	Areas 1,2,3,4	\$55,000
LIDAR	Area 1,3,4	\$354,250
Planimetrics (2' contours, building footprints, EOP, Parking)	Urban Areas - 2700 sqmi	\$1,944,000
10% Buffer		\$295,325
Estimated Total Project Cost		\$3,248,575
Recommendation: Add 500% (2012 cost x6)		

- ◆ **Planimetrics features are an additional product.**
- ◆ **Definitely seems cost prohibitive, complicated, and risky.**

What about Obliques?

- ◆ **Would we want these?**
- ◆ **What are they useful for?**
- ◆ **Would you mind demoing an oblique service and providing feedback on its utility for your agency?**

Comments on Scenarios?

- ◆ Which do you prefer?
- ◆ New scenario suggestions?
- ◆ What if we want different scenarios? Can someone buy in only for scenario 1 and other people only for scenario 2? Sounds like a management nightmare.
- ◆ Do you want any of the add-on products so much that you'd pay more than your share to have it included?

Comments on Quotes?

- ◆ Reasonable?
- ◆ Too high?
- ◆ Need clarification?

How to structure the RFPs

- ◆ **Always do two RFPs – Imagery and DAT**
- ◆ **Do we take WMS on as optional to an existing RFP or does it get its own?**
- ◆ **LIDAR as optional or separate RFP?**
 - ◆ Also need contingency plan? If it's attempted but not completed in time to inform the ortho delivery, we use the existing DEM instead so the orthos aren't late.
- ◆ **Need to ask companies how they would mitigate risk considering these other products.**

Member suggestion

What do you think about this?

◆ **Splitting the project area up into two part; have a multi-year contract to capture both parts**

- ◆ Section one the first year
- ◆ Section two the second year

Prelim Survey Results

- ◆ **8 responses**
- ◆ **50% like 2012 requirements, 50% want change**
- ◆ **Ranking:**
 1. Product/Deliverable
 2. Cost
 3. Timeline
- ◆ **If a reliable and fast WMS is available:**
 - ◆ 63% wouldn't order actual tiles at all.
 - ◆ 100% would be fine with later delivery of actual tiles beyond their small area of interest.

Prelim Survey Results

◆ Post-processing

◆ Re-projecting

- 38% willing to re-project their own data
- 75% willing to consider this optional

◆ Cutting Tiles

- 25% willing to cut their own tiles into a custom scheme
- 88% willing to consider this optional

◆ Convert to Other Formats

- 38% willing to convert data to their format of choice
- 88% willing to consider this optional

Prelim Survey Results

◆ Hardships of changing the deliverable options

- ◆ Limiting to 3 projections – 25% said this is a hardship
- ◆ Limiting to 3 formats – 0% said this is a hardship

◆ Budgets


- ◆ 38% can't go over 2012 cost
- ◆ 38% can double
- ◆ 25% can triple

Next Steps

- ◆ I'll continue compiling your Requirements Surveys (Due June 7).
- ◆ I'll begin drafting the RFPs for Imagery, DAT, and WMS and send those out for review. Which options would you like me to include?
- ◆ When we get bids, you'll get the opportunity to vote on whether we accept the options.

Timeline

Dates	Actions
April 1 – June 7	Requirements Gathering
June 7 – August 30	Prepare RFPs
September 1– November 1	Release RFPs
November 4 – December 13	Vendor Selection Process
December 18	Board Approval of Vendors
December 19 – January 31	SOWs/Contracting
January	Determine cost share and start LOIs



For more information, contact Ashley Summers at
asummers@drcog.org or 303-480-6746.



DENVER REGIONAL COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

We make life better!