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New 2020-23 TIP and Call For Projects

• New TIP to be adopted by March 2019
  o Four years of funding to allocate to projects (FY 2020-23)

• Approve TIP Policy Document (the rules) by December 2017
  o TIP Policy Work Group
  o DRCOG administered $
  o Eligibility of projects
  o Application procedures and submittal information
  o Evaluation criteria

• “Dual” project selection process (DRCOG $)
  o Regional Share projects
  o Subregional Share projects (county-based)
Dual Model

Regional Share

- “Transformative” projects
  - Must adhere to Board TIP Focus Areas

- Funds primarily used to supplement larger regional projects submitted by regional partners (e.g. CDOT, RTD, public authorities and other entities that qualify for federal funds)

- Simplified application process
  - Must be able to quantify the benefits to the region

- Projects reviewed by a subcommittee of the Board
  - Make recommendations to the full Board

Dual Model

Subregional Share

- Funds proportionately targeted to predefined sub-geographic units for project identification and recommendation

  - Counties recommended
    - Comfortable relationship among jurisdictions
    - CDOT public hearing process: better coordination of project applications
    - Encourage cooperation and collaboration with neighboring counties on cross-jurisdictional projects

- Subregional share needs to be “meaningful”
TIP Policy Work Group Discussion

- TIP Policy Work Group (TPWG) discussed in May
  - Regional/Subregional Share Funding Targets
    - How much should be in each pot?
  - Minimum 60-80% range for the Subregional Share
    - A majority of TPWG members present believed 70% is appropriate (30% for the Regional Share).

Set-Asides
- Regional Transportation Demand Management (TDM)
- Way to Go
- Regional Transportation Operations (RTO)
- Station Area Master Plans/Urban Center Studies (STAMPs)
- Air Quality
  - $40 Million

Regional Share and Previous Commitments
- Call for Regionally Transformative Projects
  - Similar to structure used for current TIP.
  - 40% = $96 Million
  - 20% = $48 Million

Subregional Share
- Proportionately targeted for planning purposes to predefined sub-geographic units for project identification and recommendation by eligible stakeholders within each subregion.
  - 60% = $144 Million
  - 80% = $192 Million

Example Estimates of 4-Year Funding for Subregional Share & Counties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Counties</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>4-Year Funding (in Millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adams</td>
<td>13.93%</td>
<td>$12.9 to $17.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arapahoe</td>
<td>20.10%</td>
<td>$28.9 to $38.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boulder</td>
<td>10.89%</td>
<td>$15.7 to $20.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broomfield</td>
<td>2.14%</td>
<td>$3.1 to $4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denver</td>
<td>25.60%</td>
<td>$36.9 to $48.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Douglas</td>
<td>8.98%</td>
<td>$12.9 to $17.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jefferson</td>
<td>16.62%</td>
<td>$23.9 to $31.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW Weld</td>
<td>1.73%</td>
<td>$2.5 to $3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td>$144 to $192 Million</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DRCOG Federal Funds (FY 2020-2023)
- $280 Million Total
- $240 Million
- $40 Million

Example County Allocations
- Avg of Pop and Employ Factors (2015)

- 4-year total ranges (Subregional Share 60%-80% of total)
Regional Project Definition?

- Regional/Subregional Funding Targets could potentially impact how Regional Share Projects are defined.
  - If more funding is allocated to Subregional Share, then Regional Share project definition will likely be **narrower**.
  - If less funds are allocated to Subregional Share, then Regional share project definition will likely be **broader**.

June Board Work Session Feedback

- Board Director thoughts on the TPWG’s concept of establishing the minimum Subregional Share between 60-80% of the available funds; and

- Feedback from Board Directors on how they believe a regional project should be defined given the establishment of a Subregional Share minimum.