2016 ITS Miscellaneous Prioritization Table

Priority Level	Priority Justifications
1	Purchases that facilitate coordinated operations across multiple agencies, in descending priority: a. Improvement in regional traffic incident management b. Improvements in or expansion of the shared (inter-agency) communications network. c. Improvements in inter-agency data sharing. d. Improvements in performance measures reporting. e. Improvements in shared monitoring between jurisdictions. f. Improvements in coordination and integration of multi-modal traveler information. The operating agency must demonstrate significant commitment from all stakeholders.
2	Purchases that extend traffic monitoring infrastructure, in descending priority: a. Arterials b. Freeways The operating agency will follow CDOT's Regional Integrated Traveler Information Display Guidelines and will commit to efforts (following/establishing regional standards and implementing CTMS software modifications, as necessary) to share data produced by the project with CDOT's CTMS. The operating agency must coordinate to share monitoring data (and access) with at least CDOT and potentially other neighbors. The operating agency must demonstrate significant commitment from all stakeholders.
3	Purchases that improve work zone/special event management, in descending priority: a. Improvements in Regional Traveler Information coordination. b. Field implementation projects (i.e. work zone management)
4	Purchases that enhance systems operational capabilities, in descending priorities: a. Deploying CCTV field equipment at traffic signal controller locations. b. Deploying Road-Weather Stations.
Notes:	In the event that projects within a priority level exceed total available funding, the evaluation will consider the following criteria: 1. Foremost, the congestion and air quality benefits of installing equipment must be documented by either a signal timing project or similar before-after analysis. Projects that anticipate positive congestion and air quality benefits are considered more critical.
	 Projects that assist the DRCOG region in achieving the <i>Denver Regional Concept of Transportation Operations</i> (RCTO) goals and objectives are considered more critical, in descending order of priority: a. Improvements focused on incident management coordination (active management). b. Improvements focused on performance monitoring. c. Improvements focused on shared monitoring (active monitoring). Other factors that will be considered:
	 a. projects on corridors with a higher congestion grade in the <i>DRCOG Congestion Management Process</i> (CMP) are considered more critical; b. projects on corridors and at intersections with poor safety performance scores in the <i>Report on Transportation Safety in the Denver Region</i> are more critical; and, c. projects on corridors within a ½ mile of a planned transit park-n-Ride are considered more critical. 4. Projects will be examined to determine feasibility of splitting into more than one project. 5. Relevant applicants will be contacted, if necessary, to further ascertain their priorities and perspectives.
	* Equipment that is used mainly for traffic signal coordination purposes can be considered for 100% federal funds.

Last Update: 09/23/15