



Minutes

Thursday April 28, 2016 - 10:00am to 11:10am

DRCOG~1290 Broadway~Denver 80203

1st floor Independence Pass Conference Room (West Side)

Parking recommendations [here](#)

Meeting Objective:

Promoting collaboration and information sharing among GIS professionals in the region by featuring presentations and discussions led by members of the Data Consortium.

Attendees:

- | | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| 1. Ashley Summers | DRCOG | |
| 2. Christine Connally | DRCOG | |
| 3. Josh Pendleton | DRCOG | |
| 4. Todd Bless | DRCOG | |
| 5. Jenny Todd | DRCOG | |
| 6. Jon Gottsegen | OIT | |
| 7. Jim Castagneri | Census | |
| 8. Doug Genzer | Denver | |
| 9. Dominick Cisson | Arapahoe County | |
| 10. Brian Timoney | The Timoney Group | |
| 11. Jaime Giesen | Commerce City | |
| 12. Sheila Pelczarski | Denver Water | |
| 13. Chris White | | (call in) |
| 14. Cindy Kamigaki | Frederick | (call in) |
| 15. Claire Brewer | | (call in) |
| 16. Dan Jackson | RTD | (call in) |
| 17. Jake Freier | Fort Lupton | (call in) |
| 18. Jesse Kucenic | DIA | (call in) |
| 19. Joe Prinster | | (call in) |
| 20. John Voboril | Englewood | (call in) |
| 21. Mark Mullane | Boulder County | (call in) |
| 22. Matt Taylor | Clear Creek County | (call in) |
| 23. Megan Falicchio | | (call in) |
| 24. Mike Demmon | Lone Tree | (call in) |
| 25. Pam Fromhertz | NGS | (call in) |

26. Starla Elkins	Erie	(call in)
27. Dave Murray	Westminster	(call in)
28. Robert Thayer	Jeffco Open Space	(call in)
29. Derek Stertz	Centennial	(call in)
30. Ellen V		(call in)
31. Justin Hampton		(call in)
32. Katy Carpenter		(call in)
33. Lisa Hoover		(call in)
34. Robert Stansauk	Denver Water	(call in)
35. Ryan Huffman	Arapahoe County	(call in)

Related Meeting Documents:

DRDC Agenda 04-28-16.docx

DRDC Presentation 28April2016.pptx

OIT Presentation 28April2016.pptx

Discussion Notes:

- Jon Gottsegen noted that state-aggregated parcel data will be available in the next week or so and downloadable from the Colorado Information Marketplace. A question was asked about how accurate the local parcel data needs to be to be included in the State dataset. OIT says they do not plan to alter geometries in any way but will let local jurisdictions know if they feel something is wrong with the data. At the moment, no official accuracy standard is used to approve or reject local submissions.
- Jon mentioned the State LIDAR plan and the associated funding. The federal refresh rate for LIDAR is 8 years. The State of Colorado does not have a reoccurring appropriation for purchasing LIDAR, so cannot set a refresh rate for LIDAR in the State. Jon said he suspected that 5-8 years is a reasonable estimate.
- Jon is taking the Chief Data Officer position with the State. In this capacity, he will continue to work on the data governance and open data efforts.
- Jim Castagneri noted that the Census is going through a modernization phase of TIGER and plans to solicit input from local governments as 2020 approaches. Jim questioned how the fed should go about this request.
- Ashley suggested that we continue to strengthen the data chain that goes from local to regional to state to federal. Jon says the more the federal agencies support the local efforts to collect and aggregate data, the more powerful and coordinated the process becomes.
- Jon noted that local sources typically don't want the aggregated data created at the State level. The audience for these datasets is other state agencies and federal agencies.
- Brian notes that one option to identify gaps in data sets and to draw attention to change in datasets over time is to draw on big data (e.g. passive cell phone data).

- Jon noted that the State is interested in pursuing a “role-based” approach to asking for data sharing permissions instead of by individual requestor.
- Jim wants to avoid duplication of efforts with datasets like parcels and addresses since compilation and aggregation efforts are already occurring within several agencies. Perhaps a way to do this is with a formal agreement between agencies that acknowledges the need for the Census to leverage the data already being created before creating their own. Jon encouraged the group to email the Census director to encourage formalizing these relationships.
- Brian noted that the State of Oregon is licensed for Strava data. He asked what Colorado was doing about bike/ped data. Jon said this is important but discussion on how to aggregate a state layer are ongoing.
- Doug mentioned that USPS could potentially help QAQC address data. Jon says the State is using this as well, but users should be aware that vacancies are not included (mail has to be delivered to the site to be in the USPS dataset).
- As for truly “volunteered” information from the public, we discussed that government agencies in the region may not be ready to handle that yet. However, the National Map program has set a good example that would learn from if we want to pursue this idea. Considerations include quality (or the perception of quality) and the implementation plan (e.g. creating training and outreach programs). Pam noted that NGS has standards published by FGDC that could help guide this process.

Next Newsletter goes out on July 15th.

Next DRDC meeting is TBD.