



**MEMORANDUM**

September 15, 2015

**To:** Representative Jared Polis  
Attention: Blaine Miller-McFeeley

**From:** Kirsten Colello, Specialist in Health and Aging Policy, 7-7839

**Subject:** **Analysis of Current Law and Proposed Changes to Allotments for Title III Older Americans Act Parts B, C, and D**

---

The following memorandum is in response to your request for an analysis comparing the current law statutory formula requirements for four Title III grants to states under the Older Americans Act (OAA, 42 U.S.C. § 3024) with two proposed statutory formula funding changes. The first proposal (Option 1) is the statutory funding formula change proposed under S. 192, The Older Americans Act Reauthorization Act of 2015. The second proposal (Option 2) is the statutory funding formula change introduced by Senator Burr as an amendment in the 113<sup>th</sup> Congress during the S. HELP Committee mark-up of the Older Americans Act.<sup>1</sup> The following provides a description of the current law statutory formula for these four OAA programs.

### **OAA Title III Parts B, C, and D Statutory Formula**

Under current law, separate state allotments for (1) supportive services and centers, (2) congregate nutrition services, (3) home-delivered nutrition services, and (4) disease prevention and health promotion services are determined by a statutory formula that is based on each state's relative share of the total U.S. population aged 60 years and older.<sup>2</sup> The total U.S. population aged 60 and older includes all 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the U.S. territories. Population data are from annual population estimates published by the U.S. Census; the reference date for estimates is July 1. There is a two-year time lag between the reference year of the population estimates and the respective appropriation year. For example, FY2015 state allotments are calculated using 2013 estimates of the population aged 60 and older.

For the purpose of determining state allotments, the law requires that allotments meet two specific criteria. The first criterion is the "small state minimum." This ensures that all states (including the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico) receive a minimum amount of funds, which is defined as 0.5% (one-half of 1%) of the total grant appropriation for the respective fiscal year. Guam and the U.S. Virgin Islands are each allotted no less than 0.25% (one-quarter of 1%) of the total grant amount, and American Samoa and

---

<sup>1</sup> In the 113<sup>th</sup> Congress the S. HELP committee held a mark-up of S. 1562, Older Americans Act Reauthorization Act of 2013, on October 30, 2013. The amendment introduced by Senator Burr was rejected, 7-14. S. 1562 was reported with an amendment in the nature of a substitute and placed on the Senate legislative calendar. No further action was taken.

<sup>2</sup> For more information on OAA statutory formulas see CRS Report RS22549, *Older Americans Act: Funding Formulas*, by Kirsten J. Colello.

the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands are each allotted no less than 0.0625% (one-sixteenth of 1%) of the total grant appropriation. The second criterion is the “hold harmless” provision, which ensures that, provided sufficient appropriated funds, every state and U.S territory receives at least its FY2006 amount. If funding appropriated for a given year is less than FY2006, states receive an allotment that is reduced in proportion to their respective FY2006 hold harmless allotments.<sup>3</sup>

It is important to note that, under current law, the effect of the FY2006 hold harmless provision is to maintain funding in states that would otherwise see their allocations decrease due to changing population demographics; this effect is different for each program based on that program’s appropriated funding level. If increases in appropriated funding for grant programs are relatively large compared to FY2006 appropriated levels, states will generally receive an allotment based on their population. Thus, the FY2006 hold harmless provision becomes less of a factor. Conversely, when appropriations increases are relatively small compared to FY2006 appropriated levels or non-existent, entities will generally receive an allotment based on the FY2006 hold harmless levels. Thus, absent such increases in funding, states with a declining proportion of the nation’s population aged 60 and over may see minimal or no reductions in funding due the FY2006 hold harmless provision. When appropriated levels for a given year are less than FY2006 appropriated amounts, states’ allotments are reduced proportionately from their FY2006 hold harmless allotments. While this allocation method ensures that all states share equitably in any annual funding reduction that is below FY2006 levels, it may have the effect of a disproportionate reduction in some states’ allotments from the previous fiscal year. That is, some states receiving funding based on their population may see larger reductions in their allotments from the previous fiscal year compared to other states whose funding reductions may be limited or non-existent due to the FY2006 hold harmless provision.

## Methodology

This analysis compares FY2016 estimated funding levels under the current law with two policy proposals for four OAA Title III programs per your request. The first proposal (Option 1) is the statutory funding formula change proposed under S. 192, The Older Americans Act Reauthorization Act of 2015. S. 192 would remove the current law FY2006 hold harmless but retain the same state and territory minimum grant amounts. The FY2006 hold harmless provision would be replaced by a dynamic hold harmless provision that reduces states’ and territories’ hold harmless amounts by 1% from the previous fiscal year (sometimes referred to as the 1% stop loss or 99% hold harmless rule) as follows:<sup>4</sup>

- For FY2016, no state would receive less than 99% of the annual amount allotted to the state in FY2015;
- For FY2017, no state would receive less than 99% of the annual amount allotted to the state in FY2016; and
- For FY2018, no state would receive less than 99% of the annual amount allotted to the state in FY2017.

---

<sup>3</sup> For FYs 2007 through 2010, if funding exceeded the FY2006 program appropriation, states received no less than their FY2006 allotment plus a certain share of any increase above the FY2006 appropriation. The latter provision has been referred to as the “guaranteed growth” provision. For example, states’ FY2010 allotments were at least their FY2006 amount plus an amount equal to 5% of the percentage increase in FY2010 program appropriations above FY2006 levels. The OAA Amendments of 2006 (P.L. 109-365) phased out the guaranteed growth provision beginning in FY2008. For FY2011 and subsequent years, the formula does not include the guaranteed growth provision.

<sup>4</sup> These provisions would amend Sec. 304(a)(3)(D) of the Older Americans Act, in which the term “states” also includes territories, as specified in Sec. 304(a)(3)(C).

The second proposal (Option 2) is the statutory funding formula change proposed in Senator Burr's amendment which would remove the current law FY2006 hold harmless altogether but retain the same state and territory minimum grant amounts.

The analysis assumes that each program's total funding level in FY2016 would be the same as FY2015 program funding levels. Estimated allotment amounts also use each entity's relative share of the total U.S. population aged 60 and over which is based on the most recent U.S. Census data for 2014. For the purposes of this analysis, CRS separately estimated OAA Title III allotment amounts to states and U.S. territories for: Part B, supportive services and centers; Part C, subpart 1, congregate nutrition services; Part C, subpart 2, home-delivered nutrition services; and Part D, disease prevention and health promotion services programs.

The following provides separate tables for each of the four programs (**Table 1- Table 4**). Each table compares states' FY2006 hold harmless funding and FY2015 actual funding<sup>5</sup> with the current law and the two policy options estimating allotment amounts for FY2016. For FY2015 and FY2016 estimated allotments, there are columns in each table that indicate whether an entity receives an amount based on their hold harmless amount or an amount reduced from their hold harmless (H), a minimum grant amount (M), or an amount based on their state's population aged 60 and over (P).

---

<sup>5</sup> See Administration for Community Living (ACL), Title III - Grants for State and Community Programs on Aging FY 2015 Annual Allocation at [http://www.acl.gov/About\\_ACL/Allocations/OAA.aspx](http://www.acl.gov/About_ACL/Allocations/OAA.aspx). These amounts are not final amounts as they do not reflect any final adjustments made by ACL to state program allotments.

---

**Table I. Part B, Supportive Services**

FY2006 Hold Harmless (HH), FY2015 Current Law Actual, FY2016 Current Law Estimate, and Comparison of FY2016 Estimated Allotments Under S. 192 (Option 1: 99% of HH Rule) and Burr Amendment (Option 2: No HH) Proposals

State	FY2006 HH	FY2015 Current Law Actual		Current Law			Option 1: 99% HH Rule			Option 2: No HH		
				FY2016 Estimate	% Change FY'15 to FY'16		FY2016 Estimate	% Change FY'15 to FY'16		FY2016 Estimate	% Change FY'15 to FY'16	
Alabama	\$5,403,560	\$5,325,874	H	\$5,325,874	0.0%	H	\$5,272,615	-1.0%	H	\$5,281,666	-0.8%	P
Alaska	\$1,746,341	\$1,721,234	H	\$1,721,234	0.0%	H	\$1,721,234	0.0%	M	\$1,721,234	0.0%	M
Arizona	\$6,573,030	\$6,478,531	H	\$6,478,531	0.0%	H	\$6,721,445	3.7%	P	\$7,425,207	14.6%	P
Arkansas	\$3,500,996	\$3,450,663	H	\$3,450,663	0.0%	H	\$3,416,156	-1.0%	H	\$3,261,730	-5.5%	P
California	\$34,578,882	\$34,081,747	H	\$34,081,747	0.0%	H	\$33,740,929	-1.0%	H	\$35,910,130	5.4%	P
Colorado	\$4,154,787	\$4,095,054	H	\$4,095,054	0.0%	H	\$4,567,747	11.5%	P	\$5,046,008	23.2%	P
Connecticut	\$4,404,337	\$4,341,017	H	\$4,341,017	0.0%	H	\$4,297,607	-1.0%	H	\$3,940,829	-9.2%	P
Delaware	\$1,746,341	\$1,721,234	H	\$1,721,234	0.0%	H	\$1,721,234	0.0%	M	\$1,721,234	0.0%	M
Dist. of Columbia	\$1,746,341	\$1,721,234	H	\$1,721,234	0.0%	H	\$1,721,234	0.0%	M	\$1,721,234	0.0%	M
Florida	\$25,261,848	\$24,898,663	H	\$24,898,663	0.0%	H	\$24,649,676	-1.0%	H	\$25,526,613	2.5%	P
Georgia	\$7,909,229	\$7,795,519	H	\$7,795,519	0.0%	H	\$8,256,077	5.9%	P	\$9,120,522	17.0%	P
Hawaii	\$1,746,341	\$1,721,234	H	\$1,721,234	0.0%	H	\$1,721,234	0.0%	M	\$1,721,234	0.0%	M
Idaho	\$1,746,341	\$1,721,234	H	\$1,721,234	0.0%	H	\$1,721,234	0.0%	M	\$1,721,234	0.0%	M
Illinois	\$14,524,890	\$14,316,068	H	\$14,316,068	0.0%	H	\$14,172,907	-1.0%	H	\$12,864,766	-10.1%	P
Indiana	\$6,927,395	\$6,827,801	H	\$6,827,801	0.0%	H	\$6,759,523	-1.0%	H	\$6,770,164	-0.8%	P
Iowa	\$4,260,878	\$4,199,620	H	\$4,199,620	0.0%	H	\$4,157,624	-1.0%	H	\$3,473,593	-17.3%	P
Kansas	\$3,432,908	\$3,383,554	H	\$3,383,554	0.0%	H	\$3,349,718	-1.0%	H	\$2,968,281	-12.3%	P
Kentucky	\$4,741,271	\$4,673,107	H	\$4,673,107	0.0%	H	\$4,626,376	-1.0%	H	\$4,705,970	0.7%	P
Louisiana	\$4,795,898	\$4,726,948	H	\$4,726,948	0.0%	H	\$4,679,679	-1.0%	H	\$4,599,668	-2.7%	P
Maine	\$1,746,341	\$1,721,234	H	\$1,721,234	0.0%	H	\$1,721,234	0.0%	M	\$1,738,509	1.0%	P
Maryland	\$5,857,438	\$5,773,227	H	\$5,773,227	0.0%	H	\$5,715,495	-1.0%	H	\$5,947,282	3.0%	P

State	FY2006 HH	FY2015 Current Law Actual		Current Law			Option 1: 99% HH Rule			Option 2: No HH		
				FY2016 Estimate	% Change FY'15 to FY'16		FY2016 Estimate	% Change FY'15 to FY'16		FY2016 Estimate	% Change FY'15 to FY'16	
Massachusetts	\$8,209,095	\$8,091,074	H	\$8,091,074	0.0%	H	\$8,010,163	-1.0%	H	\$7,233,650	-10.6%	P
Michigan	\$11,255,715	\$11,093,893	H	\$11,093,893	0.0%	H	\$10,982,954	-1.0%	H	\$11,008,304	-0.8%	P
Minnesota	\$5,499,667	\$5,420,599	H	\$5,420,599	0.0%	H	\$5,366,393	-1.0%	H	\$5,633,624	3.9%	P
Mississippi	\$3,272,711	\$3,225,660	H	\$3,225,660	0.0%	H	\$3,193,403	-1.0%	H	\$3,062,938	-5.0%	P
Missouri	\$7,118,429	\$7,016,089	H	\$7,016,089	0.0%	H	\$6,945,928	-1.0%	H	\$6,603,781	-5.9%	P
Montana	\$1,746,341	\$1,721,234	H	\$1,721,234	0.0%	H	\$1,721,234	0.0%	M	\$1,721,234	0.0%	M
Nebraska	\$2,294,938	\$2,261,944	H	\$2,261,944	0.0%	H	\$2,239,325	-1.0%	H	\$1,936,930	-14.4%	P
Nevada	\$2,461,387	\$2,426,000	H	\$2,426,000	0.0%	H	\$2,604,992	7.4%	P	\$2,877,745	18.6%	P
New Hampshire	\$1,746,341	\$1,721,234	H	\$1,721,234	0.0%	H	\$1,721,234	0.0%	M	\$1,721,234	0.0%	M
New Jersey	\$10,262,972	\$10,115,423	H	\$10,115,423	0.0%	H	\$10,014,269	-1.0%	H	\$9,314,552	-7.9%	P
New Mexico	\$2,066,188	\$2,036,483	H	\$2,036,483	0.0%	H	\$2,023,717	-0.6%	P	\$2,235,608	9.8%	P
New York	\$24,283,431	\$23,934,312	H	\$23,934,312	0.0%	H	\$23,694,969	-1.0%	H	\$20,580,594	-14.0%	P
North Carolina	\$9,368,926	\$9,234,231	H	\$9,234,231	0.0%	H	\$9,430,140	2.1%	P	\$10,417,513	12.8%	P
North Dakota	\$1,746,341	\$1,721,234	H	\$1,721,234	0.0%	H	\$1,721,234	0.0%	M	\$1,721,234	0.0%	M
Ohio	\$13,816,810	\$13,618,168	H	\$13,618,168	0.0%	H	\$13,481,986	-1.0%	H	\$12,857,496	-5.6%	P
Oklahoma	\$4,278,286	\$4,216,778	H	\$4,216,778	0.0%	H	\$4,174,610	-1.0%	H	\$3,986,094	-5.5%	P
Oregon	\$4,134,370	\$4,074,931	H	\$4,074,931	0.0%	H	\$4,144,681	1.7%	P	\$4,578,645	12.4%	P
Pennsylvania	\$17,879,977	\$17,622,920	H	\$17,622,920	0.0%	H	\$17,446,691	-1.0%	H	\$15,027,616	-14.7%	P
Puerto Rico	\$4,374,950	\$4,312,052	H	\$4,312,052	0.0%	H	\$4,268,931	-1.0%	H	\$4,187,547	-2.9%	P
Rhode Island	\$1,746,341	\$1,721,234	H	\$1,721,234	0.0%	H	\$1,721,234	0.0%	M	\$1,721,234	0.0%	M
South Carolina	\$4,791,543	\$4,722,656	H	\$4,722,656	0.0%	H	\$4,906,795	3.9%	P	\$5,420,556	14.8%	P
South Dakota	\$1,746,341	\$1,721,234	H	\$1,721,234	0.0%	H	\$1,721,234	0.0%	M	\$1,721,234	0.0%	M
Tennessee	\$6,760,219	\$6,663,028	H	\$6,663,028	0.0%	H	\$6,596,398	-1.0%	H	\$7,026,351	5.5%	P

State	FY2006 HH	FY2015 Current Law Actual		Current Law			Option 1: 99% HH Rule			Option 2: No HH		
				FY2016 Estimate	% Change FY'15 to FY'16		FY2016 Estimate	% Change FY'15 to FY'16		FY2016 Estimate	% Change FY'15 to FY'16	
Texas	\$20,326,073	\$20,033,848	H	\$20,033,848	0.0%	H	\$20,458,108	2.1%	P	\$22,600,153	12.8%	P
Utah	\$1,866,772	\$1,839,934	H	\$1,839,934	0.0%	H	\$1,938,762	5.4%	P	\$2,141,758	16.4%	P
Vermont	\$1,746,341	\$1,721,234	H	\$1,721,234	0.0%	H	\$1,721,234	0.0%	M	\$1,721,234	0.0%	M
Virginia	\$7,864,960	\$7,751,887	H	\$7,751,887	0.0%	H	\$7,674,368	-1.0%	H	\$8,257,838	6.5%	P
Washington	\$6,450,052	\$6,357,321	H	\$6,357,321	0.0%	H	\$6,550,448	3.0%	P	\$7,236,306	13.8%	P
West Virginia	\$2,773,538	\$2,733,663	H	\$2,733,663	0.0%	H	\$2,706,326	-1.0%	H	\$2,340,375	-14.4%	P
Wisconsin	\$6,390,390	\$6,298,516	H	\$6,298,516	0.0%	H	\$6,235,531	-1.0%	H	\$6,293,501	-0.1%	P
Wyoming	\$1,746,341	\$1,721,234	H	\$1,721,234	0.0%	H	\$1,721,234	0.0%	M	\$1,721,234	0.0%	M
American Samoa	\$472,317	\$465,527	H	\$465,527	0.0%	H	\$460,872	-1.0%	H	\$215,154	-53.8%	M
Guam	\$873,170	\$860,617	H	\$860,617	0.0%	H	\$860,617	0.0%	M	\$860,617	0.0%	M
Northern Marianas	\$218,293	\$215,155	H	\$215,155	0.0%	H	\$215,154	0.0%	M	\$215,154	0.0%	M
Virgin Islands	\$873,170	\$860,617	H	\$860,617	0.0%	H	\$860,617	0.0%	M	\$860,617	0.0%	M
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$349,268,129</b>	<b>\$344,246,760</b>		<b>\$344,246,760</b>	<b>0.0%</b>		<b>\$344,246,760</b>	<b>0.0%</b>		<b>\$344,246,760</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	

**Source:** CRS analysis on 8/28/15. FY2015 ACL allotments 1/30/2015; FY2016 estimates assume 2014 U.S. Census state population date for the population age 60 and over and FY2015 funding amounts.

**Notes:** H = State receives a grant amount based on its FY2006 hold harmless amount or an amount reduced from its FY2006 hold harmless amount; M = State receives a minimum grant amount; P = State receives a grant amount based on its population 60+.

**Table 2. Part CI, Congregate Meals**

FY2006 Hold Harmless (HH), FY2015 Current Law Actual, FY2016 Current Law Estimate, and Comparison of FY2016 Estimated Allotments Under S. 192 (Option 1: 99% of HH Rule) and Burr Amendment (Option 2: No HH) Proposals

State	FY2006 HH	FY2015 Current Law Actual		Current Law			Option 1: 99% HH Rule			Option 2: No HH		
				FY2016 Estimate	% Change FY'15 to FY'16		FY2016 Estimate	% Change FY'15 to FY'16		FY2016 Estimate	% Change FY'15 to FY'16	
Alabama	\$6,068,408	\$6,448,535	P	\$6,394,423	-0.8%	P	\$6,433,516	-0.2%	P	\$6,655,792	3.2%	P
Alaska	\$1,919,299	\$2,169,045	M	\$2,169,045	0.0%	M	\$2,169,045	0.0%	M	\$2,169,045	0.0%	M
Arizona	\$6,567,487	\$8,927,512	P	\$8,989,571	0.7%	P	\$9,044,530	1.3%	P	\$9,357,015	4.8%	P
Arkansas	\$4,163,564	\$4,163,564	H	\$4,163,564	0.0%	H	\$4,121,928	-1.0%	H	\$4,110,331	-1.3%	P
California	\$34,919,214	\$43,329,544	P	\$43,475,784	0.3%	P	\$43,741,576	1.0%	P	\$45,252,832	4.4%	P
Colorado	\$4,151,035	\$6,058,702	P	\$6,109,116	0.8%	P	\$6,146,465	1.4%	P	\$6,358,823	5.0%	P
Connecticut	\$5,241,452	\$5,241,452	H	\$5,241,452	0.0%	H	\$5,189,037	-1.0%	H	\$4,966,110	-5.3%	P
Delaware	\$1,919,299	\$2,169,045	M	\$2,169,045	0.0%	M	\$2,169,045	0.0%	M	\$2,169,045	0.0%	M
Dist. of Columbia	\$1,919,299	\$2,169,045	M	\$2,169,045	0.0%	M	\$2,169,045	0.0%	M	\$2,169,045	0.0%	M
Florida	\$25,239,035	\$30,882,211	P	\$30,904,637	0.1%	P	\$31,093,574	0.7%	P	\$32,167,846	4.2%	P
Georgia	\$7,902,087	\$11,007,936	P	\$11,042,060	0.3%	P	\$11,109,567	0.9%	P	\$11,493,398	4.4%	P
Hawaii	\$1,940,597	\$2,169,045	M	\$2,169,045	0.0%	M	\$2,169,045	0.0%	M	\$2,169,045	0.0%	M
Idaho	\$1,930,797	\$2,169,045	M	\$2,169,045	0.0%	M	\$2,169,045	0.0%	M	\$2,169,045	0.0%	M
Illinois	\$17,286,541	\$17,286,541	H	\$17,286,541	0.0%	H	\$17,113,676	-1.0%	H	\$16,211,779	-6.2%	P
Indiana	\$8,105,861	\$8,266,358	P	\$8,196,523	-0.8%	P	\$8,246,633	-0.2%	P	\$8,531,551	3.2%	P
Iowa	\$5,081,501	\$5,081,501	H	\$5,081,501	0.0%	H	\$5,030,686	-1.0%	H	\$4,377,314	-13.9%	P
Kansas	\$4,089,903	\$4,089,903	H	\$4,089,903	0.0%	H	\$4,049,004	-1.0%	H	\$3,740,536	-8.5%	P
Kentucky	\$5,570,252	\$5,744,377	P	\$5,697,438	-0.8%	P	\$5,732,270	-0.2%	P	\$5,930,318	3.2%	P
Louisiana	\$5,645,998	\$5,645,998	H	\$5,645,998	0.0%	H	\$5,602,784	-0.8%	P	\$5,796,359	2.7%	P
Maine	\$1,996,153	\$2,169,045	M	\$2,169,045	0.0%	M	\$2,169,045	0.0%	M	\$2,190,815	1.0%	P
Maryland	\$5,893,683	\$7,240,922	P	\$7,200,273	-0.6%	P	\$7,244,292	0.0%	P	\$7,494,580	3.5%	P

State	FY2006 HH	FY2015 Current Law Actual		Current Law			Option 1: 99% HH Rule			Option 2: No HH		
				FY2016 Estimate	% Change FY'15 to FY'16		FY2016 Estimate	% Change FY'15 to FY'16		FY2016 Estimate	% Change FY'15 to FY'16	
Massachusetts	\$9,780,267	\$9,780,267	H	\$9,780,267	0.0%	H	\$9,682,464	-1.0%	H	\$9,115,621	-6.8%	P
Michigan	\$12,926,499	\$13,443,686	P	\$13,327,566	-0.9%	P	\$13,409,045	-0.3%	P	\$13,872,323	3.2%	P
Minnesota	\$6,398,439	\$6,846,987	P	\$6,820,533	-0.4%	P	\$6,862,231	0.2%	P	\$7,099,318	3.7%	P
Mississippi	\$3,891,114	\$3,891,114	H	\$3,891,114	0.0%	H	\$3,852,203	-1.0%	H	\$3,859,819	-0.8%	P
Missouri	\$8,467,047	\$8,467,047	H	\$8,467,047	0.0%	H	\$8,382,377	-1.0%	H	\$8,321,879	-1.7%	P
Montana	\$1,919,299	\$2,169,045	M	\$2,169,045	0.0%	M	\$2,169,045	0.0%	M	\$2,169,045	0.0%	M
Nebraska	\$2,738,802	\$2,738,802	H	\$2,738,802	0.0%	H	\$2,711,414	-1.0%	H	\$2,440,859	-10.9%	P
Nevada	\$2,459,165	\$3,446,533	P	\$3,484,037	1.1%	P	\$3,505,337	1.7%	P	\$3,626,445	5.2%	P
New Hampshire	\$1,932,677	\$2,169,045	M	\$2,169,045	0.0%	M	\$2,169,045	0.0%	M	\$2,169,045	0.0%	M
New Jersey	\$12,190,488	\$12,190,488	H	\$12,190,488	0.0%	H	\$12,068,583	-1.0%	H	\$11,737,909	-3.7%	P
New Mexico	\$2,064,322	\$2,718,255	P	\$2,706,613	-0.4%	P	\$2,723,160	0.2%	P	\$2,817,244	3.6%	P
New York	\$28,963,855	\$28,963,855	H	\$28,963,855	0.0%	H	\$28,674,216	-1.0%	H	\$25,935,027	-10.5%	P
North Carolina	\$9,360,466	\$12,624,403	P	\$12,612,306	-0.1%	P	\$12,689,412	0.5%	P	\$13,127,827	4.0%	P
North Dakota	\$1,919,299	\$2,169,045	M	\$2,169,045	0.0%	M	\$2,169,045	0.0%	M	\$2,169,045	0.0%	M
Ohio	\$16,393,785	\$16,393,785	H	\$16,393,785	0.0%	H	\$16,229,847	-1.0%	H	\$16,202,617	-1.2%	P
Oklahoma	\$5,080,736	\$5,080,736	H	\$5,080,736	0.0%	H	\$5,029,929	-1.0%	H	\$5,023,152	-1.1%	P
Oregon	\$4,301,949	\$5,533,521	P	\$5,543,288	0.2%	P	\$5,577,177	0.8%	P	\$5,769,867	4.3%	P
Pennsylvania	\$21,279,716	\$21,279,716	H	\$21,279,716	0.0%	H	\$21,066,919	-1.0%	H	\$18,937,335	-11.0%	P
Puerto Rico	\$4,370,999	\$5,158,961	P	\$5,069,792	-1.7%	P	\$5,107,371	-1.0%	H	\$5,277,017	2.3%	P
Rhode Island	\$1,950,184	\$2,169,045	M	\$2,169,045	0.0%	M	\$2,169,045	0.0%	M	\$2,169,045	0.0%	M
South Carolina	\$4,787,216	\$6,536,987	P	\$6,562,575	0.4%	P	\$6,602,695	1.0%	P	\$6,830,816	4.5%	P
South Dakota	\$1,919,299	\$2,169,045	M	\$2,169,045	0.0%	M	\$2,169,045	0.0%	M	\$2,169,045	0.0%	M
Tennessee	\$7,154,118	\$8,555,440	P	\$8,506,684	-0.6%	P	\$8,558,690	0.0%	P	\$8,854,390	3.5%	P



State	FY2006 HH	FY2015 Current Law Actual		Current Law			Option 1: 99% HH Rule			Option 2: No HH		
				FY2016 Estimate	% Change FY'15 to FY'16		FY2016 Estimate	% Change FY'15 to FY'16		FY2016 Estimate	% Change FY'15 to FY'16	
Texas	\$20,307,718	\$27,192,650	P	\$27,361,622	0.6%	P	\$27,528,898	1.2%	P	\$28,480,012	4.7%	P
Utah	\$1,962,783	\$2,581,502	P	\$2,592,990	0.4%	P	\$2,608,842	1.1%	P	\$2,698,977	4.6%	P
Vermont	\$1,919,299	\$2,169,045	M	\$2,169,045	0.0%	M	\$2,169,045	0.0%	M	\$2,169,045	0.0%	M
Virginia	\$7,857,858	\$10,031,513	P	\$9,997,624	-0.3%	P	\$10,058,745	0.3%	P	\$10,406,271	3.7%	P
Washington	\$6,444,227	\$8,734,066	P	\$8,760,873	0.3%	P	\$8,814,433	0.9%	P	\$9,118,968	4.4%	P
West Virginia	\$3,305,947	\$3,305,947	H	\$3,305,947	0.0%	H	\$3,272,888	-1.0%	H	\$2,949,268	-10.8%	P
Wisconsin	\$7,586,993	\$7,665,166	P	\$7,619,435	-0.6%	P	\$7,666,016	0.0%	P	\$7,930,875	3.5%	P
Wyoming	\$1,919,299	\$2,169,045	M	\$2,169,045	0.0%	M	\$2,169,045	0.0%	M	\$2,169,045	0.0%	M
American Samoa	\$594,843	\$594,843	H	\$594,843	0.0%	H	\$588,895	-1.0%	H	\$271,131	-54.4%	M
Guam	\$959,650	\$1,084,523	M	\$1,084,523	0.0%	M	\$1,084,523	0.0%	M	\$1,084,523	0.0%	M
Northern Marianas	\$240,408	\$271,131	M	\$271,131	0.0%	M	\$271,131	0.0%	M	\$271,131	0.0%	M
Virgin Islands	\$959,650	\$1,084,523	M	\$1,084,523	0.0%	M	\$1,084,523	0.0%	M	\$1,084,523	0.0%	M
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$383,859,881</b>	<b>\$433,809,090</b>		<b>\$433,809,090</b>	<b>0.0%</b>		<b>\$433,809,090</b>	<b>0.0%</b>		<b>\$433,809,090</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	

**Source:** CRS analysis on 8/28/15. FY2015 ACL allotments 1/30/2015; FY2016 estimates assume 2014 U.S. Census state population date for the population age 60 and over and FY2015 funding amounts.

**Notes:** H = State receives a grant amount based on its FY2006 hold harmless amount or an amount reduced from its FY2006 hold harmless amount; M = State receives a minimum grant amount; P = State receives a grant amount based on its population 60+.

**Table 3. Part C2, Home Delivered Meals**

FY2006 Hold Harmless (HH), FY2015 Current Law Actual, FY2016 Current Law Estimate, and Comparison of FY2016 Estimated Allotments Under S. 192 (Option 1: 99% of HH Rule) and Burr Amendment (Option 2: No HH) Proposals

State	FY2006 HH	FY2015 Current Law Actual		Current Law			Option 1: 99% HH Rule			Option 2: No HH		
				FY2016 Estimate	% Change FY'15 to FY'16		FY2016 Estimate	% Change FY'15 to FY'16		FY2016 Estimate	% Change FY'15 to FY'16	
Alabama	\$2,871,070	\$3,300,791	P	\$3,286,865	-0.4%	P	\$3,286,682	-0.4%	P	\$3,286,908	-0.4%	P
Alaska	\$906,082	\$1,071,165	M	\$1,071,165	0.0%	M	\$1,071,165	0.0%	M	\$1,071,165	0.0%	M
Arizona	\$3,492,443	\$4,569,697	P	\$4,620,824	1.1%	P	\$4,620,567	1.1%	P	\$4,620,884	1.1%	P
Arkansas	\$1,823,332	\$2,048,767	P	\$2,029,827	-0.9%	P	\$2,029,714	-0.9%	P	\$2,029,853	-0.9%	P
California	\$18,372,773	\$22,178,957	P	\$22,347,446	0.8%	P	\$22,346,199	0.8%	P	\$22,347,737	0.8%	P
Colorado	\$2,207,560	\$3,101,249	P	\$3,140,211	1.3%	P	\$3,140,036	1.3%	P	\$3,140,252	1.3%	P
Connecticut	\$2,250,669	\$2,477,235	P	\$2,452,440	-1.0%	P	\$2,452,463	-1.0%	H	\$2,452,472	-1.0%	P
Delaware	\$906,082	\$1,071,165	M	\$1,071,165	0.0%	M	\$1,071,165	0.0%	M	\$1,071,165	0.0%	M
Dist. of Columbia	\$906,082	\$1,071,165	M	\$1,071,165	0.0%	M	\$1,071,165	0.0%	M	\$1,071,165	0.0%	M
Florida	\$13,422,360	\$15,807,580	P	\$15,885,618	0.5%	P	\$15,884,732	0.5%	P	\$15,885,825	0.5%	P
Georgia	\$4,202,405	\$5,634,597	P	\$5,675,846	0.7%	P	\$5,675,529	0.7%	P	\$5,675,920	0.7%	P
Hawaii	\$906,082	\$1,071,165	M	\$1,071,165	0.0%	M	\$1,071,165	0.0%	M	\$1,071,165	0.0%	M
Idaho	\$906,082	\$1,071,165	M	\$1,071,165	0.0%	M	\$1,071,165	0.0%	M	\$1,071,165	0.0%	M
Illinois	\$7,248,698	\$8,052,146	P	\$8,005,949	-0.6%	P	\$8,005,502	-0.6%	P	\$8,006,053	-0.6%	P
Indiana	\$3,680,728	\$4,231,275	P	\$4,213,181	-0.4%	P	\$4,212,946	-0.4%	P	\$4,213,236	-0.4%	P
Iowa	\$2,001,426	\$2,180,137	P	\$2,161,672	-0.8%	P	\$2,161,552	-0.9%	P	\$2,161,700	-0.8%	P
Kansas	\$1,651,950	\$1,856,324	P	\$1,847,209	-0.5%	P	\$1,847,106	-0.5%	P	\$1,847,233	-0.5%	P
Kentucky	\$2,519,176	\$2,940,356	P	\$2,928,600	-0.4%	P	\$2,928,437	-0.4%	P	\$2,928,638	-0.4%	P
Louisiana	\$2,548,201	\$2,865,596	P	\$2,862,446	-0.1%	P	\$2,862,287	-0.1%	P	\$2,862,484	-0.1%	P
Maine	\$907,706	\$1,082,445	P	\$1,081,902	-0.1%	P	\$1,081,841	-0.1%	P	\$1,081,916	0.0%	P
Maryland	\$3,112,229	\$3,706,388	P	\$3,701,088	-0.1%	P	\$3,700,882	-0.1%	P	\$3,701,136	-0.1%	P

State	FY2006 HH	FY2015 Current Law Actual		Current Law			Option 1: 99% HH Rule			Option 2: No HH		
				FY2016 Estimate	% Change FY'15 to FY'16		FY2016 Estimate	% Change FY'15 to FY'16		FY2016 Estimate	% Change FY'15 to FY'16	
Massachusetts	\$4,011,142	\$4,526,417	P	\$4,501,616	-0.5%	P	\$4,501,365	-0.6%	P	\$4,501,674	-0.5%	P
Michigan	\$5,980,491	\$6,881,377	P	\$6,850,643	-0.4%	P	\$6,850,261	-0.5%	P	\$6,850,732	-0.4%	P
Minnesota	\$2,922,134	\$3,504,745	P	\$3,505,894	0.0%	P	\$3,505,698	0.0%	P	\$3,505,940	0.0%	P
Mississippi	\$1,691,196	\$1,914,158	P	\$1,906,115	-0.4%	P	\$1,906,009	-0.4%	P	\$1,906,140	-0.4%	P
Missouri	\$3,647,365	\$4,134,686	P	\$4,109,638	-0.6%	P	\$4,109,409	-0.6%	P	\$4,109,691	-0.6%	P
Montana	\$906,082	\$1,071,165	M	\$1,071,165	0.0%	M	\$1,071,165	0.0%	M	\$1,071,165	0.0%	M
Nebraska	\$1,076,330	\$1,211,914	P	\$1,205,382	-0.5%	P	\$1,205,315	-0.5%	P	\$1,205,398	-0.5%	P
Nevada	\$1,307,807	\$1,764,166	P	\$1,790,867	1.5%	P	\$1,790,767	1.5%	P	\$1,790,890	1.5%	P
New Hampshire	\$906,082	\$1,071,165	M	\$1,071,165	0.0%	M	\$1,071,165	0.0%	M	\$1,071,165	0.0%	M
New Jersey	\$5,350,993	\$5,839,280	P	\$5,796,594	-0.7%	P	\$5,796,271	-0.7%	P	\$5,796,669	-0.7%	P
New Mexico	\$1,097,826	\$1,391,385	P	\$1,391,255	0.0%	P	\$1,391,177	0.0%	P	\$1,391,273	0.0%	P
New York	\$11,862,865	\$12,931,863	P	\$12,807,632	-1.0%	P	\$12,806,917	-1.0%	P	\$12,807,798	-1.0%	P
North Carolina	\$4,977,985	\$6,462,013	P	\$6,482,984	0.3%	P	\$6,482,623	0.3%	P	\$6,483,069	0.3%	P
North Dakota	\$906,082	\$1,071,165	M	\$1,071,165	0.0%	M	\$1,071,165	0.0%	M	\$1,071,165	0.0%	M
Ohio	\$7,203,180	\$8,056,613	P	\$8,001,424	-0.7%	P	\$8,000,978	-0.7%	P	\$8,001,528	-0.7%	P
Oklahoma	\$2,218,398	\$2,499,780	P	\$2,480,610	-0.8%	P	\$2,480,471	-0.8%	P	\$2,480,642	-0.8%	P
Oregon	\$2,196,712	\$2,832,426	P	\$2,849,364	0.6%	P	\$2,849,205	0.6%	P	\$2,849,401	0.6%	P
Pennsylvania	\$8,777,372	\$9,442,665	P	\$9,351,925	-1.0%	P	\$9,351,403	-1.0%	P	\$9,352,046	-1.0%	P
Puerto Rico	\$2,324,539	\$2,640,701	P	\$2,605,977	-1.3%	P	\$2,614,294	-1.0%	H	\$2,606,011	-1.3%	P
Rhode Island	\$906,082	\$1,071,165	M	\$1,071,165	0.0%	M	\$1,071,165	0.0%	M	\$1,071,165	0.0%	M
South Carolina	\$2,545,887	\$3,346,067	P	\$3,373,298	0.8%	P	\$3,373,110	0.8%	P	\$3,373,342	0.8%	P
South Dakota	\$906,082	\$1,071,165	M	\$1,071,165	0.0%	M	\$1,071,165	0.0%	M	\$1,071,165	0.0%	M
Tennessee	\$3,591,903	\$4,379,246	P	\$4,372,610	-0.2%	P	\$4,372,366	-0.2%	P	\$4,372,667	-0.2%	P

State	FY2006 HH	FY2015 Current Law Actual		Current Law			Option 1: 99% HH Rule			Option 2: No HH		
				FY2016 Estimate	% Change FY'15 to FY'16		FY2016 Estimate	% Change FY'15 to FY'16		FY2016 Estimate	% Change FY'15 to FY'16	
Texas	\$10,799,838	\$13,919,016	P	\$14,064,436	1.0%	P	\$14,063,651	1.0%	P	\$14,064,618	1.0%	P
Utah	\$991,871	\$1,321,385	P	\$1,332,850	0.9%	P	\$1,332,776	0.9%	P	\$1,332,867	0.9%	P
Vermont	\$906,082	\$1,071,165	M	\$1,071,165	0.0%	M	\$1,071,165	0.0%	M	\$1,071,165	0.0%	M
Virginia	\$4,178,884	\$5,134,799	P	\$5,138,984	0.1%	P	\$5,138,697	0.1%	P	\$5,139,051	0.1%	P
Washington	\$3,427,102	\$4,470,679	P	\$4,503,268	0.7%	P	\$4,503,017	0.7%	P	\$4,503,327	0.7%	P
West Virginia	\$1,319,658	\$1,475,026	P	\$1,456,453	-1.3%	P	\$1,460,276	-1.0%	H	\$1,456,472	-1.3%	P
Wisconsin	\$3,373,301	\$3,923,544	P	\$3,916,546	-0.2%	P	\$3,916,327	-0.2%	P	\$3,916,597	-0.2%	P
Wyoming	\$906,082	\$1,071,165	M	\$1,071,165	0.0%	M	\$1,071,165	0.0%	M	\$1,071,165	0.0%	M
American Samoa	\$136,498	\$136,498	H	\$136,498	0.0%	H	\$135,133	-1.0%	H	\$133,896	-1.9%	M
Guam	\$453,041	\$535,583	M	\$535,583	0.0%	M	\$535,583	0.0%	M	\$535,583	0.0%	M
Northern Marianas	\$113,260	\$133,896	M	\$133,896	0.0%	M	\$133,896	0.0%	M	\$133,896	0.0%	M
Virgin Islands	\$453,041	\$535,583	M	\$535,583	0.0%	M	\$535,583	0.0%	M	\$535,583	0.0%	M
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$181,216,329</b>	<b>\$214,233,030</b>		<b>\$214,233,030</b>	<b>0.0%</b>		<b>\$214,233,030</b>	<b>0.0%</b>		<b>\$214,233,030</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	

**Source:** CRS analysis on 8/28/15. FY2015 ACL allotments 1/30/2015; FY2016 estimates assume 2014 U.S. Census state population date for the population age 60 and over and FY2015 funding amounts.

**Notes:** H = State receives a grant amount based on its FY2006 hold harmless amount or an amount reduced from its FY2006 hold harmless amount; M = State receives a minimum grant amount; P = State receives a grant amount based on its population 60+.

**Table 4. Part D, Preventive Services**

FY2006 Hold Harmless (HH), FY2015 Current Law Actual, FY2016 Current Law Estimate, and Comparison of FY2016 Estimated Allotments Under S. 192 (Option 1: 99% of HH Rule) and Burr Amendment (Option 2: No HH) Proposals

State	FY2006 HH	FY2015 Current Law Actual		Current Law			Option 1: 99% HH Rule			Option 2: No HH		
				FY2016 Estimate	% Change FY'15 to FY'16		FY2016 Estimate	% Change FY'15 to FY'16		FY2016 Estimate	% Change FY'15 to FY'16	
Alabama	\$337,809	\$311,357	H	\$311,357	0.0%	H	\$308,243	-1.0%	H	\$301,476	-3.2%	P
Alaska	\$106,594	\$98,247	H	\$98,247	0.0%	H	\$98,248	0.0%	M	\$98,248	0.0%	M
Arizona	\$410,919	\$378,742	H	\$378,742	0.0%	H	\$392,535	3.6%	P	\$423,829	11.9%	P
Arkansas	\$214,532	\$197,733	H	\$197,733	0.0%	H	\$195,756	-1.0%	H	\$186,179	-5.8%	P
California	\$2,161,730	\$1,992,458	H	\$1,992,458	0.0%	H	\$1,972,525	-1.0%	H	\$2,049,741	2.9%	P
Colorado	\$259,740	\$239,401	H	\$239,401	0.0%	H	\$266,758	11.4%	P	\$288,025	20.3%	P
Connecticut	\$264,812	\$244,076	H	\$244,076	0.0%	H	\$241,635	-1.0%	H	\$224,942	-7.8%	P
Delaware	\$106,594	\$98,247	H	\$98,247	0.0%	H	\$98,248	0.0%	M	\$98,248	0.0%	M
Dist. of Columbia	\$106,594	\$98,247	H	\$98,247	0.0%	H	\$98,248	0.0%	M	\$98,248	0.0%	M
Florida	\$1,579,267	\$1,455,604	H	\$1,455,604	0.0%	H	\$1,441,048	-1.0%	H	\$1,457,053	0.1%	P
Georgia	\$494,452	\$455,734	H	\$455,734	0.0%	H	\$482,158	5.8%	P	\$520,597	14.2%	P
Hawaii	\$106,594	\$98,247	H	\$98,247	0.0%	H	\$98,248	0.0%	M	\$98,248	0.0%	M
Idaho	\$106,594	\$98,247	H	\$98,247	0.0%	H	\$98,248	0.0%	M	\$98,248	0.0%	M
Illinois	\$852,878	\$786,094	H	\$786,094	0.0%	H	\$778,233	-1.0%	H	\$734,318	-6.6%	P
Indiana	\$433,072	\$399,161	H	\$399,161	0.0%	H	\$395,169	-1.0%	H	\$386,439	-3.2%	P
Iowa	\$235,487	\$217,047	H	\$217,047	0.0%	H	\$214,877	-1.0%	H	\$198,272	-8.7%	P
Kansas	\$194,367	\$179,147	H	\$179,147	0.0%	H	\$177,356	-1.0%	H	\$169,429	-5.4%	P
Kentucky	\$296,405	\$273,195	H	\$273,195	0.0%	H	\$270,463	-1.0%	H	\$268,616	-1.7%	P
Louisiana	\$299,820	\$276,343	H	\$276,343	0.0%	H	\$273,580	-1.0%	H	\$262,548	-5.0%	P
Maine	\$106,800	\$98,437	H	\$98,437	0.0%	H	\$98,248	-0.2%	M	\$99,234	0.8%	P
Maryland	\$366,183	\$337,509	H	\$337,509	0.0%	H	\$334,134	-1.0%	H	\$339,469	0.6%	P

State	FY2006 HH	FY2015 Current Law Actual		Current Law			Option 1: 99% HH Rule			Option 2: No HH		
				FY2016 Estimate	% Change FY'15 to FY'16		FY2016 Estimate	% Change FY'15 to FY'16		FY2016 Estimate	% Change FY'15 to FY'16	
Massachusetts	\$471,949	\$434,993	H	\$434,993	0.0%	H	\$430,643	-1.0%	H	\$412,895	-5.1%	P
Michigan	\$703,661	\$648,561	H	\$648,561	0.0%	H	\$642,076	-1.0%	H	\$628,351	-3.1%	P
Minnesota	\$343,817	\$316,895	H	\$316,895	0.0%	H	\$313,726	-1.0%	H	\$321,566	1.5%	P
Mississippi	\$198,985	\$183,404	H	\$183,404	0.0%	H	\$181,570	-1.0%	H	\$174,832	-4.7%	P
Missouri	\$429,147	\$395,543	H	\$395,543	0.0%	H	\$391,588	-1.0%	H	\$376,942	-4.7%	P
Montana	\$106,594	\$98,247	H	\$98,247	0.0%	H	\$98,248	0.0%	M	\$98,248	0.0%	M
Nebraska	\$126,640	\$116,724	H	\$116,724	0.0%	H	\$115,557	-1.0%	H	\$110,559	-5.3%	P
Nevada	\$153,876	\$141,827	H	\$141,827	0.0%	H	\$152,133	7.3%	P	\$164,261	15.8%	P
New Hampshire	\$106,594	\$98,247	H	\$98,247	0.0%	H	\$98,248	0.0%	M	\$98,248	0.0%	M
New Jersey	\$629,595	\$580,295	H	\$580,295	0.0%	H	\$574,492	-1.0%	H	\$531,672	-8.4%	P
New Mexico	\$129,169	\$119,055	H	\$119,055	0.0%	H	\$118,186	-0.7%	P	\$127,608	7.2%	P
New York	\$1,395,778	\$1,286,483	H	\$1,286,483	0.0%	H	\$1,273,618	-1.0%	H	\$1,174,735	-8.7%	P
North Carolina	\$585,707	\$539,844	H	\$539,844	0.0%	H	\$550,724	2.0%	P	\$594,629	10.1%	P
North Dakota	\$106,594	\$98,247	H	\$98,247	0.0%	H	\$98,248	0.0%	M	\$98,248	0.0%	M
Ohio	\$847,522	\$781,158	H	\$781,158	0.0%	H	\$773,346	-1.0%	H	\$733,903	-6.0%	P
Oklahoma	\$261,015	\$240,576	H	\$240,576	0.0%	H	\$238,170	-1.0%	H	\$227,525	-5.4%	P
Oregon	\$258,464	\$238,225	H	\$238,225	0.0%	H	\$242,051	1.6%	P	\$261,348	9.7%	P
Pennsylvania	\$1,032,740	\$951,872	H	\$951,872	0.0%	H	\$942,353	-1.0%	H	\$857,773	-9.9%	P
Puerto Rico	\$273,504	\$252,088	H	\$252,088	0.0%	H	\$249,567	-1.0%	H	\$239,024	-5.2%	P
Rhode Island	\$106,594	\$98,247	H	\$98,247	0.0%	H	\$98,248	0.0%	M	\$98,248	0.0%	M
South Carolina	\$299,548	\$276,092	H	\$276,092	0.0%	H	\$286,559	3.8%	P	\$309,404	12.1%	P
South Dakota	\$106,594	\$98,247	H	\$98,247	0.0%	H	\$98,248	0.0%	M	\$98,248	0.0%	M
Tennessee	\$422,621	\$389,528	H	\$389,528	0.0%	H	\$385,633	-1.0%	H	\$401,062	3.0%	P

State	FY2006 HH	FY2015 Current Law Actual	Current Law		Option 1: 99% HH Rule			Option 2: No HH				
			FY2016 Estimate	% Change FY'15 to FY'16	FY2016 Estimate	% Change FY'15 to FY'16	FY2016 Estimate	% Change FY'15 to FY'16				
Texas	\$1,270,703	\$1,171,202	H	\$1,171,202	0.0%	H	\$1,194,761	2.0%	P	\$1,290,011	10.1%	P
Utah	\$116,703	\$107,565	H	\$107,565	0.0%	H	\$113,224	5.3%	P	\$122,251	13.7%	P
Vermont	\$106,594	\$98,247	H	\$98,247	0.0%	H	\$98,248	0.0%	M	\$98,248	0.0%	M
Virginia	\$491,685	\$453,184	H	\$453,184	0.0%	H	\$448,652	-1.0%	H	\$471,355	4.0%	P
Washington	\$403,231	\$371,656	H	\$371,656	0.0%	H	\$382,549	2.9%	P	\$413,047	11.1%	P
West Virginia	\$155,270	\$143,112	H	\$143,112	0.0%	H	\$141,681	-1.0%	H	\$133,588	-6.7%	P
Wisconsin	\$396,901	\$365,822	H	\$365,822	0.0%	H	\$362,164	-1.0%	H	\$359,231	-1.8%	P
Wyoming	\$106,594	\$98,247	H	\$98,247	0.0%	H	\$98,248	0.0%	M	\$98,248	0.0%	M
American Samoa	\$13,324	\$12,281	H	\$12,281	0.0%	H	\$12,281	0.0%	M	\$12,281	0.0%	M
Guam	\$53,297	\$49,124	H	\$49,124	0.0%	H	\$49,124	0.0%	M	\$49,124	0.0%	M
Northern Marianas	\$13,324	\$12,281	H	\$12,281	0.0%	H	\$12,281	0.0%	M	\$12,281	0.0%	M
Virgin Islands	\$53,297	\$49,124	H	\$49,124	0.0%	H	\$49,124	0.0%	M	\$49,124	0.0%	M
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$21,318,874</b>	<b>\$19,649,520</b>		<b>\$19,649,520</b>			<b>\$19,649,520</b>	<b>0.0%</b>		<b>\$19,649,520</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	

**Source:** CRS analysis on 8/28/15. FY2015 ACL allotments 1/30/2015; FY2016 estimates assume 2014 U.S. Census state population date for the population age 60 and over and FY2015 funding amounts.

**Notes:** H = State receives a grant amount based on its FY2006 hold harmless amount or an amount reduced from its FY2006 hold harmless amount; M = State receives a minimum grant amount; P = State receives a grant amount based on its population 60+.

