# BIKE TO WORK DAY 2017 SURVEY OF PARTICIPANTS 

## SUMMARY

DRCOG conducted an online survey of 823 randomly selected Bike to Work Day participants who registered on the Bike to Work Day site. Data were collected in early October. The sampling margin of error for a sample of 823 respondents is plus or minus 3 percentage points at the 95 percent confidence level.

## Primary survey objectives

1. Define the characteristics of new and repeat participants, comparing them in terms of:

- How they learned about BTWD
- Bicycle commuting before Bike to Work Day
- Motivations for participating
- Impact of participation on bicycle travel
- Awareness of Way to Go and DRCOG

2. Estimate the additional vehicle miles reduced by BTWD participants

## New Participants and repeat participants

For 38 percent of participants, 2017 was their first year to participate in Bike to Work Day.
New participants were more likely than repeat participants:

- to learn about BTWD from their employers or from friends and co-workers.
- to say that they never rode to work before Bike to Work Day or rode only occasionally.
- to participate because they wanted to try bicycle commuting.
- to be female.
- to be younger, between the ages of 18 and 34 .
- to have household incomes under $\$ 100,000$ per year.
- to use a commute option other than driving alone on days when they do not bike to work.
- to be unaware of Way to Go or DRCOG.

New participants commuted by bicycle less frequently than repeat participants both before and after Bike to Work Day. However, new participants showed a larger increase in bicycle commuting. Bicycle commute days increased by 11 percent among new participants and only 3 percent among repeat participants, and 6 percent overall.

New participants took fewer other bicycle trips per month than repeat participants both before and after Bike to Work Day. However, new participants showed a larger increase in other trips by bicycle. Other bicycle trips increased by 16 percent among new participants and only 8 percent among repeat participants, and 10 percent overall. Other bicycle trips include things such as shopping, entertainment, and dining. Bicycle rides that are purely recreational are not included.

New and repeat participants are similar in that they:

- did not differ significantly in terms of how participation influenced their attitudes toward bicycle commuting. Sixty-one percent of all participants said Bike to Work Day motivated them to travel more often by bicycle, either for work commuting, other types of trips, or both.
- travel a little more than nine miles from home to work.
- work in occupations that are professional, managerial or administrative.
- are likely to have college degrees.

When measured by change in bicycle travel, Bike to Work Day achieved its greatest success among new male participants, followed by new and repeat female participants.

Among both new and repeat participants, males bicycled to work more frequently than females, both before and after Bike to Work Day. However, three segments had the largest increases in bicycle commuting frequency after Bike to Work Day:

- New male participants increased their bicycle commuting frequency by 13 percent.
- New female participants increased their bicycle commuting frequency by 8 percent.
- Repeat female participants also increased their bicycle commuting frequency by 8 percent.

Male participants also bicycled more frequently than females for other types of trips before and after Bike to Work Day. The same three segments had the largest increases in bicycling for other types of trips after Bike to Work Day.

- New male participants and new female participants increased bicycling for other types of trips by 16 percent.
- Repeat female participants increased bicycle commuting by 15 percent.


## THE IMPORTANCE OF POSITIONING

It is important to promote Bike to Work Day as a way to try bicycle commuting and as a healthy way to get to work:

- Although only 5 percent of all participants participated because they wanted to try bicycle commuting, the frequency of bicycle commuting by this group increased by 234 percent after Bike to Work Day.
- Commuters who participated for health and exercise increased their frequency of bicycle commuting by 19 percent after Bike to Work Day.

Competing in the business challenge was also important. Bicycle commuting increased by 20 percent after Bike to Work Day among commuters who participated to compete in the business challenge.

## PROJECTED ADDITIONAL VEHICLE MILES REDUCED BY PARTICIPANTS

The additional vehicle miles reduced (VMR) by participants (registered and unregistered) over a 12month period are projected to be:

- 833,807 VMR from new participants
- 1,271,929 VMR from repeat participants
- 2,105,736 VMR from all participants

The total number of additional annual vehicle miles reduced by Bike to Work Day participants increased from 2,016,072 in 2016 to 2,105,736 in 2017, a 4 percent increase.

## SURVEY METHODS

DRCOG's survey of 2017 Bike to Work Day participants began with a sample of 3,843 registered participants, randomly drawn from the sampling frame of 19,691 participants. After an initial invitation email and two reminders, the final sample was 823 respondents, representing a response rate of 21 percent. The sampling margin of error for a sample of 823 respondents is plus or minus 3 percentage points at the 95 percent confidence level.

Data were collected in early October using an online questionnaire on the Survey Monkey platform.
RESPONSE

| Sent | 3,843 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Opened | $1,984(52 \%)$ |
| Click through | $870(23 \%)$ |
| Completes | $823(21 \%)$ |

## NEW AND REPEAT PARTICIPANTS

For 38 percent of participants, 2017 was their first year to participate in Bike to Work Day.

## HOW THEY ARE DIFFERENT

Most participants learned about BTWD through recommendations from employers and friends/coworkers and emails. In the case of new participants, employers had the greatest impact. Way to Go targets past participants every year with an intense email campaign, and most repeat participants were reached through email. Facebook, posters, exhibits and AM/FM radio also had measurable impact, but other media such as Web page ads, digital radio, transit ads, and twitter each reached 5 percent or less of participants.

| How did you hear about Bike to Work Day this year? (MUlTIPLE RESPONSES Permitted) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Media | New Participants | Repeat Participants | All Participants |
| Employer | 45\% | 41\% | 50\% |
| Friend/co-worker | 31\% | 20\% | 24\% |
| Email | 25\% | 65\% | 50\% |
| Facebook | 9\% | 10\% | 10\% |
| Poster | 7\% | 9\% | 8\% |
| Exhibit or table at event | 6\% | 4\% | 5\% |
| AM/FM radio | 5\% | 7\% | 6\% |

New participants were more likely to say that they never rode to work before Bike to Work Day or rode only occasionally. Repeat participants were more likely to be frequent or occasional bike commuters before Bike to Work Day, especially during the warm months of the year.

## CHOOSE THE ONE STATEMENT BELOW THAT BEST DESCRIBES YOU BEFORE PARTICIPATING IN BIKE TO Work Day

Pre-BTWD New Participants Repeat Participants All Participants

Commuting

| I never rode to work. | $38 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $25 \%$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| I rode to work <br> occasionally, when it <br> was convenient or the <br> weather was nice. | $25 \%$ |  | $35 \%$ |  |
| I rode to work frequently <br> in the <br> spring/summer/early fall <br> months, but less often <br> or not at all in the <br> winter. | $20 \%$ |  |  |  |
| I rode to work <br> frequently, year-round. |  |  |  |  |
| Totals | $17 \%$ | $26 \%$ |  |  |

New participants were more likely than others to participate because they wanted to try bicycle commuting. Repeat participants were more likely to be motivated by a desire to raise awareness and support bicycle commuting. Both new and repeat participants were highly motivated by the opportunity to participate in something fun.

Which one of the statements below best describes why you decided to participate in Bike to Work Day this year?

| Reason for <br> Participating | New Participants | Repeat Participants | All Participants |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Just a fun thing to <br> do | $39 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $37 \%$ |
| Raise awareness and <br> support bicycle <br> commuting | $16 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| Health/exercise | $10 \%$ | $12 \%$ |  |
| Commute more <br> often by bicycle | $10 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Try bicycle <br> commuting | $11 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Compete in the <br> business challenge | $6 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Win prizes | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Totals | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $2 \%$ |

It is important to promote Bike to Work Day as a way to try bicycle commuting and as a healthy way to get to work:

- Although only 5 percent of all participants participated because they wanted to try bicycle commuting, the frequency of bicycle commuting by this group increased by 234 percent after Bike to Work Day.
- Commuters who participated for health and exercise increased their frequency of bicycle commuting by 19 percent after Bike to Work Day.

Competing in the business challenge was also important. Bicycle commuting increased by 20 percent after Bike to Work Day among commuters who participated to compete in the business challenge.

New participants commuted by bicycle less frequently than repeat participants both before and after Bike to Work Day. However, new participants showed a larger increase in bicycle commuting. Bicycle commute days increased by 11 percent among new participants and only 3 percent among repeat participants, and 6 percent overall.

BICYCLE COMMUTE DAYS PER MONTH

|  | New Participants | Repeat Participants | All Participants |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bicycle commute days <br> per month before Bike to <br> Work Day | 6.29 | 8.05 | 7.39 |
| Bicycle commute days <br> per month after Bike to | 7.01 |  | 8.32 |
| Work Day | $.72(+11 \%)$ | $.27(+3 \%)$ | 7.82 |
| Change in bicycle <br> commute days per <br> month |  |  | $.43(+6 \%)$ |

New participants took fewer other bicycle trips per month than repeat participants both before and after Bike to Work Day. However, new participants showed a larger increase in other trips by bicycle. Other bicycle trips increased by 16 percent among new participants and only 8 percent among repeat participants, and 10 percent overall. Other bicycle trips include things such as shopping, entertainment, and dining. Bicycle rides that are purely recreational are not included.

Other bicycle trips per month

|  | New Participants | Repeat Participants | All Participants |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Other bicycle commute <br> trips per month before <br> Bike to Work Day | 4.34 | 5.74 | 5.22 |
| Other bicycle commute <br> trips per month after <br> Bike to Work Day | 5.02 |  | 5.76 |
| Change in other bicycle <br> trips per month | $.68(+16 \%)$ | 6.20 |  |

New participants are more likely than repeat participants to be female.
Gender

| Gender | New Participants | Repeat Participants | All Participants |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Male | $48 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $54 \%$ |
| Female | $48 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $43 \%$ |
| Refused | $4 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Totals | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

## New participants are younger than repeat participants.

Age

| Age Group | New Participants | Repeat Participants | All Participants |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 8 - 2 4}$ | $9 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 5 - 3 4}$ | $42 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $31 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3 5 - 4 4}$ | $20 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4 5 - 5 4}$ | $16 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $21 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5 5 - 6 4}$ | $10 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6 5} \mathbf{\text { or older }}$ | $1 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Refused | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Totals | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

New participants have lower household incomes than repeat participants.
Income

| Income Category | New Participants | Repeat Participants | All Participants |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Less than $\$ \mathbf{2 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $4 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| $\$ \mathbf{2 5 , 0 0 0} \mathbf{\$ 4 9 , 0 0 0}$ | $14 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| $\$ \mathbf{5 0 , 0 0 0} \mathbf{\$ 9 9 , 9 9 9}$ | $37 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 0 , 0 0 - \$ 1 4 9 , 9 9 9}$ | $20 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{\$ 1 5 0 , 0 0 0}$ or more | $16 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $21 \%$ |
| Refused | $10 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| Totals | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

New participants are less likely to drive alone when they do not commute by bicycle, and more likely to carpool, use transit, or walk.

ON DAYS WHEN YOU DO NOT RIDE A BICYCLE TO WORK, WHICH ONE MODE OF TRANSPORTATION ARE YOU MOST LIKELY TO USE FOR YOUR COMMUTE TO WORK?

| Mode | New Participants | Repeat Participants | All Participants |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Drive alone | $57 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $63 \%$ |
| Carpool | $9 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Transit | $24 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| Walk | $6 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Work at home | $4 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Always commute by <br> bicycle | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Totals | $100 \%$ |  |  |

Repeat participants are much more aware of Way to Go, and much more aware of DRCOG.
Before participating in this survey, were you aware that the Way to Go program organizes and promotes Bike to Work Day?

| Aware of Way to Go? | New Participants | Repeat Participants | All Participants |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $26 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $38 \%$ |
| No | $74 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $62 \%$ |
| Totals | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

Before participating in this survey, were you aware that the Way to Go program is part of the Denver Regional Council of Governments (DRCOG)?

| Aware of DRCOG? | New Participants | Repeat Participants | All Participants |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | $15 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $27 \%$ |
| No | $85 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $73 \%$ |
| Totals | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

## HOW THEY ARE SIMILAR

New and repeat participants did not differ significantly in terms of how participation influenced their attitudes toward bicycle commuting. Sixty-one percent of all participants said Bike to Work Day motivated them to travel more often by bicycle, either for work commuting, other types of trips, or both.

Which one of the statements below best describes how Bike to Work Day has influenced YOUR ATTITUDE TOWARD BICYCLE COMMUTING TO WORK OR FOR OTHER TYPES OF TRIPS?

| Effect of Participation | New Participants | Repeat Participants | All Participants |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commute more often by bicycle to and from work only | 16\% | 15\% | 15\% |
| Commute more often by bicycle to and from work AND for other types of trips | 37\% | 39\% | 38\% |
| Use bicycle for other types of trips only | 10\% | 7\% | 8\% |
| No influence | 37\% | 39\% | 38\% |
| Less motivated to travel by bicycle | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Totals | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |

All participants travel a little more than nine miles from home to work.
HOW MANY MILES DO YOU TRAVEL ONE WAY FROM HOME TO WORK?

| Miles | New Participants | Repeat Participants | All Participants |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean | 9.36 | 9.35 | 9.33 |
| Lower bound of <br> 95\% confidence <br> interval | 8.20 | 8.64 | 8.73 |
| Upper bound of <br> 95\% confidence <br> interval | 10.38 |  |  |

All participants are likely to work in occupations that are professional, managerial or administrative.
Which Category best describes your occupation?

| Occupation | New Participants | Repeat Participants | All Participants |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Professional, <br> managerial, <br> administrative | $73 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $79 \%$ |
| Sales, clerical, <br> service | $8 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Labor, craftsman, <br> foreman | $2 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Other | $12 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Refused | $6 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Totals | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

All participants are likely to have college degrees.
What is the highest level of education you have completed?

| Education | New Participants | Repeat Participants | All Participants |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| No HS diploma | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| HS diploma or GED | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Some college | $6 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Associate's degree | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Bachelor's degree | $50 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $48 \%$ |
| Graduate degree | $36 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $39 \%$ |
| Refused | $4 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Totals | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

## CHANGE IN BICYCLE TRAVEL BY GENDER AND PARTICIPATION TENURE

When measured by change in bicycle travel, Bike to Work Day achieved its greatest success among new male participants, followed by new and repeat female participants.

Among both new and repeat participants, males bicycled to work more frequently than females, both before and after Bike to Work Day. However, three segments had the largest increases in bicycle commuting frequency after Bike to Work Day:

- New male participants increased their bicycle commuting frequency by 13 percent.
- New female participants increased their bicycle commuting frequency by 8 percent.
- Repeat female participants also increased their bicycle commuting frequency by 8 percent.

Male participants also bicycled more frequently than females for other types of trips before and after Bike to Work Day. The same three segments had the largest increases in bicycling for other types of trips after Bike to Work Day.

- New male participants and new female participants increased bicycling for other types of trips by 16 percent.
- Repeat female participants increased bicycle commuting by 15 percent.

Change in bicycle travel by participant segment
Other Bicycle Commute Trips per

| Participant Type | Bicycle Commute Days per Month |  |  | Month |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Before | After | Change | Before | After | Change |
| New male | 7.33 | 8.30 | 0.97 (+13\%) | 5.57 | 6.48 | $\begin{gathered} 0.91 \\ (16 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| New female | 5.46 | 5.93 | $\begin{gathered} 0.46 \\ (+8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 3.06 | 3.55 | $\begin{gathered} 0.49 \\ (16 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| All new | 6.40 | 7.11 | 0.72 (+11\%) | 4.34 | 5.03 | $\begin{gathered} 0.69 \\ (16 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Repeat <br> male | 9.00 | 9.10 | $\begin{gathered} 0.10 \\ (+1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 6.23 | 6.49 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.26 \\ & (4 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
| Repeat <br> female | 6.63 | 7.15 | $\begin{gathered} 0.52 \\ (+8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 4.95 | 5.72 | $\begin{gathered} 0.76 \\ (15 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| All repeat | 8.10 | 8.37 | $\begin{gathered} 0.27 \\ (+3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 5.74 | 6.20 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.46 \\ & (8 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
| All male | 8.45 | 8.84 | $\begin{gathered} 0.39 \\ (+5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 6.01 | 6.49 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.48 \\ & (8 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
| All female | 6.15 | 6.64 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.49 \\ & (8 \%) \end{aligned}$ | 4.17 | 4.82 | $\begin{gathered} 0.65 \\ (16 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| All <br> participants | 7.39 | 7.82 | $\begin{gathered} 0.44 \\ (+6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 5.22 | 5.76 | $0.55$ <br> (11\%) |

## VEHICLE MILES REDUCED (VMR)

The total number of additional annual vehicle miles reduced by Bike to Work Day participants increased from 2,016,072 in 2016 to 2,105,736 in 2017, a 4 percent increase.

Bike to Work Day trip and vehicle miles reduced 2017

| 12 Month Calculation |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Registered | Unregistered | Total |
| Number of new riders | 7,692 | 2,110 | 9,801 |
| Number of repeat riders | 12,549 | 11,956 | 24,506 |
| Total riders | 20,241 | 14,066 | 34,307 |
| SOV Trips saved by new participants | 126,185 | 35,207 | 161,392 |
| SOV Trips saved by repeat participants | 129,417 | 98,944 | 228,361 |
| SOV Trips saved by all participants | 255,602 | 134,151 | 389,754 |
| VMR by new participants | 649,486 | 184,321 | 833,807 |
| VMR by repeat participants | 624,118 | 647,811 | $1,271,929$ |
| VMR by all participants | $1,273,604$ | 832,131 | $2,105,736$ |

Bike to Work Day trip and vehicle miles reduced 2016
12 Month Calculation

|  | Registered | Unregistered | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number of new riders | 6,522 | 1,955 | 8,476 |
| Number of repeat riders | 11,104 | 11,076 | 22,180 |
| Total riders | 17,626 | 13,030 | 30,656 |
| SOV Trips saved by new participants | 91,151 | 32,614 | 123,765 |
| SOV Trips saved by repeat participants | 147,679 | 91,656 | 239,335 |
| SOV Trips saved by all participants | 238,830 | 124,271 | 363,101 |
| VMR by new participants | 505,366 | 170,745 | 676,111 |
| VMR by repeat participants | 749,863 | 600,098 | $1,349,961$ |
| VMR by all participants | $1,255,229$ | 770,843 | $2,026,072$ |

## APPENDIX A

## CALCULATION OF 2017 VMR

## Trip and VMT Reduction Calculations (Registered Participants Only)

| Bike to Work Day Only - First Timers |  |  | Bike to Work Day Only - Repeat Riders |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Riders: | 7,692 |  | Riders: | 12,549 |  |
| x \% pre-SOV'ers | 57\% | 2017 Survey | x \% pre-SOV'ers | 67.0\% | 2017 |
| = pre-SOV Riders | 4,384 |  | = pre-SOV Riders | 8,408 |  |
| $\times 2$ trips per day = | 8,768 tr | trips reduced | $\times 2$ trips per day $=$ | 16,816 | trips reduced |
| x Average Trip Dist (1-way): | 9.36 | 2017 Survey | x Average Trip Dist.: | 9.35 | 2017 Survey |
| = VMT Reduced | 82,072 | VMT | = VMT Reduced | 157,232 | VMT |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Post-BTWD Additional "Other" Trips by Bicycle - First Timers |  |  | Post-BTWD Additional Other" Trips by Bicycle - Repeat Riders |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Riders | 7,692 |  | Riders | 12,549 |  |
| Additional trips (1-way)/month: | 0.7 | 2017 Survey | Additional trips (1-way)/month: | 0.5 | 2017 |
| $\times 2$ ways | 2 |  | x 2 ways | 2 |  |
| months per year: | 7 |  | months per year: | 7 |  |
| Additional Other trips = | 73,224 | trips reduced | Additional Other trips = | 80,818 | trips reduced |
| x Average Trip Dist.: | 2.1 | (1997 TBI Survey) | x Average Trip Dist.: | 2.1 |  |
| = VMT Reduced | 153,770 | VMT | = VMT Reduced | 169,718 VMT |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Post-BTWD New Work Trips by Bicycle |  |  |  |  |  |
| First Timers: |  |  | Past Riders (Post BTWD New Work Trips by Bicycle): |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Riders: | 7,692 |  | Riders: | 12,549 |  |
| x \% pre-SOV'ers | 57.0\% | 2017 Survey | x \% pre-SOV'ers | 67.0\% | 2017 Survey |
| = | 4,384 |  | = | 8,408 |  |
| Additional commute days/month: | 0.72 | 2017 Survey | additional days/month: | 0.27 | 2017 Survey |
| $\times 2$ trips per day $=$ | 8,768 |  | $\times 2$ trips per day $=$ | 16,816 |  |
| months per year: | 7 |  | months per year: | 7 |  |
| Additional Work trips = | 44,193 | trips reduced | Additional Work trips = | 31,783 | trips reduced |
| x Average Trip Dist.: | 9.36 | 2017 Survey | x Average Trip Dist.: | 9.35 | 2017 Survey |
| = VMT Reduced | 413,644 | VMT | = VMT Reduced | 297,168 | VMT |

Trip and VMT Reduction Calculations (Unregistered Participants Only)

| 1. Bike to Work Day First Timers |  |  | 4. Repeat Riders |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Riders: | 2,110 |  | Riders | 11,956 |  |
| x \% pre-SOV'ers | 46.0\% | 2011 Survey | x \% pre-SOV'ers | 46.0\% | 2011 Survey |
| = pre-SOV Riders | 971 |  | = pre-SOV Riders | 5,500 |  |
| $\times 2$ trips per day = | 1,941 | trips reduced | $\times 2$ trips per day $=$ | 11,000 | trips reduced |
| x Average Trip Dist (1-way): | 9.4 | 2011 Survey | x Average Trip Dist.: | 9.4 | 2011 Survey |
| = VMT Reduced | 18,246 | VMT | = VMT Reduced | 103,396 | VMT |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Post-BTWD Other New Trips by Bicycle |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First Timers: |  |  | Past Riders (Post-BTWD Other New Trips | Bicycle): |  |
| Riders: | 2,110 |  | Riders: | 11,956 |  |
| \% Who make more trips | 40.0\% | 2011 Survey | \% Who make more trips | 33.0\% | 2011 Survey |
| = Riders who made |  |  | = Riders who made |  |  |
| more bicycling trips: | 844 |  | more bicycling trips: | 3,946 |  |
| Additional trips (1-way)/month: | 1.7 | 2011 Survey | Additional trips (1-way)/month: | 0.7 | 2011 Survey |
| $\times 2$ ways | 2 |  | $\times 2$ ways | 2 |  |
| months per year: | 7 |  | months per year: | 7 |  |
| Additional Other trips = | 20,086 | trips reduced | Additional Other trips = | 38,666 | trips reduced |
| x Average Trip Dist.: | 2.1 | (1997 TBI Survey) | x Average Trip Dist.: | 2.1 |  |
| = VMT Reduced | 42,181 |  | = VMT Reduced | 81,199 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Post-BTWD New Work Trips by Bicycle |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First Timers: |  |  | Past Riders (Post BTWD New Work Trips | Bicycle): |  |
| Riders: | 2,110 |  | Riders: | 11,956 |  |
| x \% pre-SOV'ers | 46.0\% | 2011 Survey | $x$ \% pre-SOV'ers | 46.0\% | 2011 Survey |
| Adation $=$ | 971 |  | = | 5,500 |  |
| Additional commute days/month: | 0.97 | 2011 Survey | additional days/month: | 0.64 | 2011 Survey |
| $\times 2$ trips per day = | 1,941 |  | $\times 2$ trips per day $=$ | 11,000 |  |
| months per year: | 7 |  | months per year: | 7 |  |
| Additional Work trips = | 13,180 tr | trips reduced | Additional Work trips $=$ | 49,278 | trips reduced |
| x Average Trip Dist.: | 9.4 | 2011 Survey | x Average Trip Dist.: | 9.4 | 2011 Survey |
| = VMT Reduced | 123,893 | VMT | = VMT Reduced | 463,216 | VMT |

