Part 1 Base Informa		tion					
1.	Project Title			Marsh	nall Road (SH 17	0) Underpass	
2.	Geographic	t/End points or Area p with submittal		US 36	Davidson Mesa	Underpass to	south of Marshall Road
3.	Project Spor	nsor (entity that was plete and be finar the project)		Town	of Superior		
4.	-	tact Person, Tit ber, and Email	le,		riniello, Public W Osuperiorcolora		r, 303-499-3675x111,
5.	•	•	_	•	involve a CDOT ment to operate	•	Yes No If yes, provide applicable concurrence documentation with submittal
6.	What planning			cal	O Fiscally Construction Superior Trai		al Transportation Plan (2040 FCRTP)
	p. 0,000.		Provide	Other(s): rovide link to document/s and referenced page number if possible, or provide documentation ith submittal			
7.	Identify the	project's key e					
	Grade Separation Rapid Transit Capacity (2040 FCRTP) Transit Other: Transit Priority Lanes Bicycle Facility Pedestrian Facility Safety Improvements Roadway Capacity or Managed Lanes (2040 FCRTP) Roadway Operational Grade Separation Roadway Railway Bicycle Pedestrian Roadway Pavement Reconstruction/Rehab Bridge Replace/Reconstruct/Rehab Study Design Other:			ent Reconstruction/Rehab Reconstruct/Rehab			
8.							

9.	9. Define the scope and specific elements of the project. This project would construct an underpass of Marshall Road to accommodate a 10 ftwide multi-use concrete trail connecting the US 36 Davidson Mesa underpass to Marshall Road.					
10.	10. What is the status of the proposed project? Conceptual Design					
11.	11. Would a smaller federal funding amount than requested be acceptable, while maintaining the original intent of the project? ☐ Yes ☐ No					
	If yes, define smaller meaningful limits, size, service level, phases, or sco	pes, along with the cost	for each.			
A.	Project Financial Information and Funding Request					
1.	Total Project Cost		\$1,800,000			
2.	Total amount of DRCOG Subregional Share Funding Request (no greater than \$20 million and not to exceed 50% of the total project cost)	\$1,440,000	80% of total project cost			
3.	Outside Funding Partners (other than DRCOG Regional Share funds) List each funding partner and contribution amount.	\$\$ Contribution Amount	% of Contribution to Overall Total			

(no greater than \$20 million and not to exceed 50% of the total project cost)		of total project cost
3. Outside Funding Partners (other than DRCOG Regional Share funds) List each funding partner and contribution amount.	\$\$ Contribution Amount	% of Contribution to Overall Total Project Cost
Town of Superior	\$360,000	20%
Total amount of funding provided by other funding partners (private, local, state, Subregion, or federal)	\$360,00	20%

Funding Breakdown (yea	r by year)*	*The proposed funding plan is not guaranteed if the project is selected for funding. While DRCOG will do everything it can to accommodate the applicants' request, final funding will be assigned at DRCOG's discretion within fiscal constraint. Funding amounts must be provided in year of expenditure dollars using an inflation factor of 3% per year from 2018.			
	FY 2020 FY 2021 FY 2022 FY 2023 Total			Total	
Federal Funds (Regional)	\$0	\$300,000	\$1,140,000	\$0	\$1,440,000
Federal Funds (Subregional)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	\$0	\$60,000	\$300,000	\$0	\$360,000

Total Funding	\$0	\$360,000	\$1,440,000	\$0	\$1,800,000		
4. Phase to be Initiated Choose from Design, ENV, ROW, CON, Study, Service, Equip. Purchase, Other		Design	CON				
	5. By checking this box, the applicant's Chief Elected Official (Mayor or County Commission Chair) or City/County Manager for local governments or Agency Director or equivalent for others, has						

5. By checking this box, the applicant's Chief Elected Official (Mayor or County Commission Chair) or City/County Manager for local governments or Agency Director or equivalent for others, has certified it allows this project request to be submitted for DRCOG-allocated funding and will follow all DRCOG policies and state and federal regulations when completing this project, if funded.



Part 2 Evaluation Criteria, Questions, and Scoring

A. Regional significance of proposed project

WEIGHT

40%

Provide <u>qualitative and quantitative</u> (derived from Part 3 of the application) responses to the following questions on the regional significance of the proposed project.

- 1. Why is this project regionally important? As Figure A illustrates the Marshall Road Underpass is located at the junction of two regional multi-use trails. The US 36 Bikeway is a concrete 12-ft. wide multi-use trail connecting the City of Boulder and communities along the US 36 Corridor. Perpendicular to this is a developing multi-use trail that runs northeast through Louisville and into Lafayette. Several grade-separated underpasses have been built or are in planning to provide a safe route to access open space and recreational amenities. Southwest of Marshall Road is an extensive network of trails on Superior, Boulder and Boulder County Open Space lands extending to Eldorado Canyon State Park on the west and connecting to Jefferson County on the south. The Marshall Road underpass will connect these two trail networks with a safe crossing of a high-speed highway.
- 2. Does the proposed project cross and/or benefit multiple municipalities? If yes, which ones and how? The Marshall Road underpass will provide a safe trail connection between the Louisville and Superior trail networks. These trail networks also connect to trail networks in Lafayette and Boulder, providing benefits to residents in those communities.
- **3.** Does the proposed project cross and/or benefit another **subregion(s)**? If yes, which ones and how? *City & County of Broomfield residents can use the US 36 Bikeway and the Marshall Road underpass to access the Superior and Boulder County trail networks southwest of the project.*
- 4. How will the proposed project address the specific transportation problem described in the Problem Statement (as submitted in Part 1, #8)? Currently, users of the US 36 Davidson underpass must cross Marshall Road (SH 170) to access the Superior and Bolder County trail network located southwest of Marshall Road. Near this location, SH 170 is posted at 50 mph and the traffic volume is 6,000 vehicles per day presenting a potentially dangerous at-grade crossing. The project will provide a safe grade separation of Marshall Road, eliminating the vehicle-bicycle conflicts.
- **5.** One foundation of a sustainable and resilient economy is physical infrastructure and transportation. How will the **completed** project allow people and businesses to thrive and prosper? The project will provide a safe pedestrian and bike connection for nearby residential, employment, retail and recreation areas facilitating trip making by alternative modes, which use less energy than vehicular modes.
- **6.** How will connectivity to different travel modes be improved by the proposed project? *The project will improve the safety of bicycle and pedestrian trail users.*
- 7. Describe funding and/or project partnerships (other subregions, regional agencies, municipalities, private, etc.) established in association with this project. The attached letters of support from Boulder County and the City of Louisville indicate support of the project. Superior will be the lead agency but the local match will be split among these partners.

В.	DRCOG Board-	approved Metro Vision TIP Focus Areas	WEIGHT	30%	
	Provide <u>qualitative and quantitative</u> (derived from Part 3 of the application) responses to the following questions on how the proposed project addresses the three DRCOG Board-approved Focus Areas (in bold).				
1.	•	project will improve mobility infrastructure and services for vulnerable pop tation access to health services). Nearby vulnerable trail users will now had tunities.	·-	_	
2.	Describe how the project will increase reliability of existing multimodal transportation network. Trail users will now be able to reliably cross Marshall Road without having to wait for an acceptable gap in a high volume, high speed traffic flow.				
3.	•	roject will improve transportation safety and security. The project will pross of Marshall Road eliminating vehicle/trail user crossing conflicts on this h	_		
C.	Consistency & Objectives	Contributions to Transportation-focused Metro Vision	WEIGHT	20%	
	how the proposed	e and quantitative responses (derived from Part 3 of the application) to the project contributes to Transportation-focused Objectives (in bold) in the addrespanded Metro Vision Objective by clicking on links.	_		
	MV objective 2	Contain urban development in locations designated for urban growth and	d services		
1.	infrastructure alrea	Ip focus and facilitate future growth in locations where urban-level day exists or areas where plans for infrastructure and service expansion ail will serve existing jurisdictions where infrastructure is in place.	X Yes	□ No	
	MV objective 3	Increase housing and employment in urban centers.			
2.	and between urbar	p establish a network of clear and direct multimodal connections within centers, or other key destinations? The project will provide multi-use etween Superior, Louisville and Boulder County trail networks.	X Yes	□ No	
	MV objective 4	Improve or expand the region's multimodal transportation system, service connections.	ces, and		
3.	goods, or services?	p increase mobility choices within and beyond the region for people, The project provides multi-use trail connections between Superior, Her County trail networks thereby encouraging use of non-motorized	⊠ Yes	□ No	

	MV objective 6a	Improve air quality and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.		
4.	monoxide, particul greenhouse gas en	Ip reduce ground-level ozone, greenhouse gas emissions, carbon ate matter, or other air pollutants? The project is expected to reduce nissions by 72.87 lbs. per day upon project opening; and 143.26 lbs. per art 3 B & C for greenhouse gas emission reduction calculations.	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	MV objective 7b	Connect people to natural resource or recreational areas.		
8.	improve other mul assets? As Figure A regional multi-use connecting the City this is a developing Several grade-sepa access open space network of trails of Eldorado Canyon S	Ip complete missing links in the regional trail and greenways network or timodal connections that increase accessibility to our region's open space a illustrates the Marshall Road Underpass is located at the junction of two trails. The US 36 Bikeway is a concrete 12-ft. wide multi-use trail of Boulder and communities along the US 36 Corridor. Perpendicular to a multi-use trail that runs northeast through Louisville and into Lafayette. The provide a safe route to and recreational amenities. Southwest of Marshall Road is an extensive in Superior, Boulder and Boulder County Open Space lands extending to tate Park on the west and connecting to Jefferson County on the south. I underpass will connect these two trail networks with a safe crossing of a sty.	⊠ Yes	□ No
	MV objective 10	Increase access to amenities that support healthy, active choices.		
5.	providing a safe un	pand opportunities for residents to lead healthy and active lifestyles? By aderpass that connects several trail networks residents of Louisville and nave access to many miles of safe multi-use trails connecting to many tunities.	⊠ Yes	□ No
	MV objective 13	Improve access to opportunity.		
6.	by promoting relia	Ip reduce critical health, education, income, and opportunity disparities ble transportation connections to key destinations and other amenities? wide a safe trail crossing of a busy highway.	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	MV objective 14	Improve the region's competitive position.		
7.	and vitality? By pro Louisville and Supe	Ip support and contribute to the growth of the region's economic health oviding a safe underpass that connects several trail networks, residents of trior will now have access to many miles of safe multi-use trails connecting all opportunities which will contribute to the region's attractiveness as a pork.	⊠Yes	☐ No

D. Project Leveraging		weighт 10%
8. What percent of outside funding sources		80%+ outside funding sources High
(non-DRCOG-allocated Regional Share	20%	60-79%Medium
funding) does this project have?		59% and belowLow

			3
ח	_	~ +	-<
Г	a	ΙL	J

Project Data Worksheet – Calculations and Estimates

(Complete all subsections applicable to the project)

A. Transit Use

- 1. Current ridership weekday boardings
- 2. Population and Employment

Year	Population within 1 mile	Employment within 1 mile	Total Pop and Employ within 1 mile
2020			
2040			

	Transit Use Calculations	Year of Opening	2040 Weekday Estimate
3.	Enter estimated additional daily transit boardings after project is completed. (Using 50% growth above year of opening for 2040 value, unless justified) Provide supporting documentation as part of application submittal		
4.	Enter number of the additional transit boardings (from #3 above) that were previously using a different transit route. (Example: {#3 X 25%} or other percent, if justified)		
5.	Enter number of the new transit boardings (from #3 above) that were previously using other non-SOV modes (walk, bicycle, HOV, etc.) (Example: {#3 X 25%} or other percent, if justified)		
6.	= Number of SOV one-way trips reduced per day $(#3 - #4 - #5)$		
7.	Enter the value of {#6 x 9 miles} . (= the VMT reduced per day) (Values other than the default 9 miles must be justified by sponsor; e.g., 15 miles for regional service or 6 miles for local service)		
8.	= Number of pounds GHG emissions reduced (#7 x 0.95 lbs.)		
9.	If values would be distinctly greater for weekends, describe the magnitude	de of difference:	
10	If different values other than the suggested are used, please explain her	e:	

B. Bicycle Use

- 1. Current weekday bicyclists 300 bicyclists
- 2. Population and Employment

Year	Population within 1 mile	Employment within 1 mile	Total Pop and Employ within 1 mile
2020	1,749	4,290	6,039
2040	4,022	5,713	9,735

	Bicycle Use Calculations	Year of Opening	2040 Weekday Estimate
3.	Enter estimated additional weekday one-way bicycle trips on the facility after project is completed.	300	400
4.	Enter number of the bicycle trips (in #3 above) that will be diverting from a different bicycling route. (Example: {#3 X 50%} or other percent, if justified)	250	300
5.	= Initial number of new bicycle trips from project (#3 – #4)	50	100
6.	Enter number of the new trips produced (from #5 above) that are replacing an SOV trip. (Example: {#5 X 30%} (or other percent, if justified)	15	30
7.	= Number of SOV trips reduced per day (#5 - #6)	35	70
8.	Enter the value of {#7 x 2 miles} . (= the VMT reduced per day) (Values other than 2 miles must be justified by sponsor)	70	140
9.	= Number of pounds GHG emissions reduced (#8 x 0.95 lbs.)	66.5	133
10. If values would be distinctly greater for weekends, describe the magnitude of difference:			

11. If different values other than the suggested are used, please explain here:

C. Pedestrian Use

1. Current weekday pedestrians (include users of all non-pedaled devices)

50

2. Population and Employment

Year	Population within 1 mile	Employment within 1 mile	Total Pop and Employ within 1 mile
2020	1,749	4,290	6,039
2040	4,022	5,713	9,735

Dadastrian Usa Calculations	Year	2040
Pedestrian Use Calculations	of Opening	Weekday Estimate

12.	Enter the value of {#7 x .4 miles}. (= the VMT reduced per day) (Values other than .4 miles must be justified by sponsor)	6.7	10.8
7.	= Number of SOV trips reduced per day (#5 - #6)	17	27
6.	Enter number of the new trips produced (from #5 above) that are replacing an SOV trip. (Example: {#5 X 30%} or other percent, if justified)	8	11
5.	= Number of new trips from project (#3 – #4)	25	38
4.	Enter number of the new pedestrian trips (in #3 above) that will be diverting from a different walking route (Example: {#3 X 50%} or other percent, if justified)	25	37
3.	Enter estimated additional weekday pedestrian one-way trips on the facility after project is completed	50	75

10. If different values other than the suggested are used, please explain here:

D. Vulnerable Populations				
	Vulnerable Populations	Population within 1 mile		
	1. Persons over age 65	297		
Use Current	2. Minority persons	632		
Census Data	3. Low-Income households	15		
	4. Linguistically-challenged persons	0		
	5. Individuals with disabilities	102		
	6. Households without a motor vehicle	28		
	7. Children ages 6-17	207		
	8. Health service facilities served by project	3		

E. Travel Delay (Operational and Congestion Reduction)			
Sponsor must use industry standard Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) based software programs and procedures as a basis to calculate estimated weekday travel delay benefits. DRCOG staff may be able to use the Regional Travel Model to develop estimates for certain types of large-scale projects.			
1. Current ADT (average daily traffic volume) on applicable segments	0		
2. 2040 ADT estimate	0		
3. Current weekday vehicle hours of delay (VHD) (before project)	0		

				Vers		
	Travel Delay Calculations			Year of Opening		
4.	Enter calculated future weekday VHD (after project)			0		
5.	Enter value of {#3 - #4} = Reduced VHD			0		
6.	Enter value of {#5 X 1.4} = Reduced person hours of delay (Value higher than 1.4 due to high transit ridership must be justified by	by sponsor)		0		
7.	After project peak hour congested average travel time reduction per vehicle (includes persons, transit passengers, freight, and service equipment carried by vehicles). If applicable, denote unique travel time reduction for certain types of vehicles			0		
8.	If values would be distinctly different for weekend days or special events, describe the magnitude of difference.					
9.	9. If different values other than the suggested are used, please explain here:					
F.	Traffic Crash Reduction					
1.	Provide the current number of crashes involving motor vehicle and pedestrians (most recent 5-year period of data)	s, bicyclists,				
	Fatal crashes	0				
	Serious Injury crashes	0	Sponsor r	nust use industry		
	Other Injury crashes	4	accepted	crash reduction factors		
	Property Damage Only crashes	0		(CRF) or accident modification factor (AMF) practices (e.g., NCHRP Project 17-25, NCHRP		
2.	Estimated reduction in crashes <u>applicable to the project scope</u> (per the five-year period used above)		NCHRP Pi			
	Fatal crashes reduced	0	Report 617, or DiExSys methodology).			
	Serious Injury crashes reduced	0	_			
	Other Injury crashes reduced	2				
	Property Damage Only crashes reduced	0				
G.	Facility Condition					
Sponsor must use a current industry-accepted pavement condition method or system and calculate the average condition across all sections of pavement being replaced or modified. Applicants will rate as: Excellent, Good, Fair, or Poor						
Ro	adway Pavement					
1.	1. Current roadway pavement condition					
2.	Describe current pavement issues and how the project will address them.					
3.	3. Average Daily User Volume					
Bicycle/Pedestrian/Other Facility						
4.	Current bicycle/pedestrian/other facility condition			Choose an item		

5.	Describe current condition issues and how the project will address them.	
6.	Average Daily User Volume	0
н.	Bridge Improvements	
1.	Current bridge structural condition from CDOT	
2.	Describe current condition issues and how the project will address them.	
3.	Other functional obsolescence issues to be addressed by project	
4.	Average Daily User Volume over bridge	
I.	Other Beneficial Variables (identified and calculated by the sponsor)	
1.		
2.		
3.		
J.	Disbenefits or Negative Impacts (identified and calculated by the sponsor)	
1.	Increase in VMT? If yes, describe scale of expected increase	☐ Yes ⊠ No
2.	Negative impact on vulnerable populations	
	No	
3.	Other:	