bweimer @ arapahoegov. com
cc stigrant @ arapahoegov. com

Pa	art 1	Base In	forma	ation			
1.	Project Title			4th S	tree	et Paving	
2. Project Start/End points or Geographic Area Provide a map with submittal, as appropriate			4th	Street from Cedar, west 100	00 feet,left on Elm, 1000 ft, 2000ft total		
3.		NSOF (entity tha aplete and be fin the project)		To	own	of Deer Trail	
4.		tact Person, T ber, and Ema		J	Kett	ling, trustee, 303-769-400	08,kettlingj@aol.com
5.			_			olve a CDOT roadway, nt to operate service?	Yes No NO If yes, provide applicable concurrence documentation with submittal
				RCOG 204	<u>0 Fi</u>	scally Constrained Region	al Transportation Plan (2040 FCRTP)
6	What plann document(s this project?	) identifies	Lc plan:	ocal	D	eer Trail Comprehensive	Plan; supp's
	- 64 A			ther(s):			
				e link to do Ibmittal	cum	ent/s and referenced page r	number if possible, or provide documentation
7.	Identify the	project's key	elements		-	I.s.	
		ransit Capaci	ty (2040 f	CRTP)		c .	,
	Transit	Otner: Facility				Grade Separation	DOADWAY
	=	rian Facility				☐ Railway	ROADWAY paving
	=	improvement	S			Bicycle	
		ay Capacity o	Manage	d Lanes		Pedestria	n
	(2040 F	CRTP) ay Operationa	al X			Roadway paving, rec	onstruction X
			175-2110				
	Problem Sta		at specifi	c Metro V	isio	n-related subregional pro	blem/issue will the transportation
	Define the se	cope and spe	cific elem	ents of th	e pr	roject.	
	What is the	status of the p	proposed	project?			
				_		int than requested be of the project?	Yes No YES

If yes, define smaller meaningful limits, size, service level, phases, or scopes, along with the cost for each.

## **Project Financial Information and Funding Request**

Total Project Cost		\$200,000
Total amount of DRCOG Subregional Share Funding Request	\$160,000	
Outside Funding Partners (other than DRCOG Subregional Share funds)	\$\$	20% of Contribution
Town of Deer Trail seeks low or no interest loan	\$40.000	
	\$	
	\$	
	\$	
	\$	
	\$	
Total amount of funding provided by other funding partners	40000	

Funding Breakdown (year	by year)*	DRCOG will do everythi assigned at DRCOG's d	ing it can to accommodate iscretion within fiscal const	he project is selected for fur the applicants' request, find traint. Funding amounts mu or of 3% per year from 2019	ol funding will be est be provided in
	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	Total
Federal Funds	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$0
State Funds	\$ 160000	\$	\$	\$	\$160000
Local Funds	\$40000	\$	\$	\$	\$40000
Total Funding	\$200000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$200000
1. Phase to be Initiated Choose from Design, ENV, ROW, CON, Study, Service, Equip. Purchase, Other	Choose an item	Choose an item	Choose an item	Choose an item	

# Part 2 Evaluation Criteria, Questions, and Scoring

### A. Subregional significance of proposed project

WEIGHT 4

40%

Provide <u>qualitative and quantitative</u> (derived from Part 3 of the application) responses to the following questions on the subregional significance of the proposed project.

- 1. Why is this project important to your subregion? Streets in Deer Trail center have two totally incompatable characteristics, dirt roads spewing dust and senior housing just a few feet away. Further, there is large truck traffic out of the CDOT yard also spewing dust. Healthy living for seniors and other special needs households is an important mission of the Deer Trail comprehensive plan.
- 2. Does the proposed project cross and/or benefit multiple municipalities? If yes, which ones and how? 4th Steer west is a feeder street to Cedar, and eventually to I 70. In so far as the CDOT yard serves extended boundaries beyond just Deer Trail, smooth traffic operation through that feeder is obviously helpful to a wider swath of neighborhoods and muncipalities.
- Does the proposed project cross and/or benefit another subregion(s)? If yes, which ones and how? See answer to question 2. 4th Avenue west of Cedar is a significant feeder toward a wider transportation network.
- 4. How will the proposed project address the specific transportation problem described in the Problem Statement (as submitted in Part 1, #8)? There are 3 special transportation problems on 4th Ave west of Cedar: CDOT traffic from their yard, senior transit from Bijou Manor (fed funded sr housing), and student/school bus traffic in the area. Thoughtfully graded and paved surface areas address safety and transit for all 3 groups.
- 5. One foundation of a sustainable and resilient economy is physical infrastructure and transportation. How will the <u>completed</u> project allow people and businesses to thrive and prosper? The town believes and plans for the likelihood that as populations in eastern Arapahoe County grow, the CDOT yard is also likely to expand, increasing truck traffic immediately in front of Bijou Manor.
- 6. How will connectivity to different travel modes be improved by the proposed project? See map moving east from CDOT yard, passing Bijou Manor. Cedar is a major feeder to I 70 interchange. There is presently only 1 exit off I 70 into Deer Trail and only 2 paths to the CDOT yard. The issues of connectivity and dust were understood when 3rd Ave moving toward Cedar was paved, now it's time to finish 3rd Ave and pave 4th Ave to complete the intended purposes.
- 7. Describe funding and/or project partnerships (other subregions, regional agencies, municipalities, private, etc.) established in association with this project. Cash match is very negatively received in our community, evidenced by the very narrow passage of tax increase for the new Deer Trail school (Best grant had a cash match). Deer Trail does not have a business district per se, and business people in the area believe that in-kinds should have been acceptable, thus channeling needed services toward our paving project from local sources.

В.	DRCOG Board	l-approved Metro Vision TIP Focus Areas	WEIGHT	25%
		ve and quantitative (derived from Part 3 of the application) responses to to osed project addresses the three DRCOG Board-approved Focus Areas (in bo		questions
1.	improved transpo For seniors to have	project will improve mobility infrastructure and services for vulnerable portation access to health services). There are 16 senior and special needs we to navigate along mud roads in winter and after rains is hardly optima rvices the area cannot easy drive or park on dirt surfaces. Paving is the bests.	units in Bijo I. Further, tl	u Manor. he mobile
2.	and foot traffic is	project will increase reliability of existing multimodal transportation net much more workable on paved streets. CDOT has said they would like to cannot because the dirt surfaces are incompatable with their blades.		_
3.	traffic on muddy s	project will improve transportation safety and security. See answer to distrects (ex. CDOT trucks, school buses) is not a safe option.	uestion 2. 1	Truck
	traffic on muddy s		WEIGHT	
	Consistency & Objectives  Provide qualitative how the proposed	streets (ex. CDOT trucks, school buses) is not a safe option.	WEIGHT ne following	15% items on
	Consistency & Objectives  Provide qualitative how the proposed	Contributions to Transportation-focused Metro Vision  ve and quantitative responses (derived from Part 3 of the application) to the project contributes to Transportation-focused Objectives (in bold) in the a	WEIGHT ne following dopted Met	15% items on ro Vision
C.	Consistency & Objectives  Provide qualitative how the proposed plan. Refer to the MV objective 2  Will this project he	Contributions to Transportation-focused Metro Vision  ve and quantitative responses (derived from Part 3 of the application) to the project contributes to Transportation-focused Objectives (in bold) in the act expanded Metro Vision Objective by clicking on links.	WEIGHT ne following dopted Met	15% items on ro Vision
C.	Consistency & Objectives  Provide qualitative how the proposed plan. Refer to the MV objective 2  Will this project he infrastructure alreare in place?  Describe, including	Contributions to Transportation-focused Metro Vision  we and quantitative responses (derived from Part 3 of the application) to the project contributes to Transportation-focused Objectives (in bold) in the act expanded Metro Vision Objective by clicking on links.  Contain urban development in locations designated for urban growth act process and facilitate future growth in locations where urban-level	weight  we following dopted Met  and services Yes YES	15% items on ro Vision .
C.	Consistency & Objectives  Provide qualitative how the proposed plan. Refer to the MV objective 2  Will this project he infrastructure alreare in place?  Describe, including	Contributions to Transportation-focused Metro Vision  we and quantitative responses (derived from Part 3 of the application) to the project contributes to Transportation-focused Objectives (in bold) in the act expanded Metro Vision Objective by clicking on links.  Contain urban development in locations designated for urban growth a selp focus and facilitate future growth in locations where urban-level and y exists or areas where plans for infrastructure and service expansion a supporting quantitative analysis.	weight  we following dopted Met  and services Yes YES	15% items on ro Vision .

	MV objective 4	Improve or expand the region's multimodal transportation system, service connections.	es, and	1
3.	Will this project he goods, or services	elp increase mobility choices within and beyond your subregion for people,	☐ Yes YES	☐ No
		supporting quantitative analysis There are 65 residents and 10 employees, and 10 more residents on the Elm St extension. Biking and foot traffic becons healthy choices.		
	MV objective 6a	Improve air quality and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.		
4.		elp reduce ground-level ozone, greenhouse gas emissions, carbon late matter, or other air pollutants?	Yes	☐ No
	_	supporting quantitative analysis Dust pollution directly in front of Bijou Materials of the CDOT yard and other feeder and localized traffic.	inor is a k	snown
	MV objective 7b	Connect people to natural resource or recreational areas.		
5.		elp complete missing links in the regional trail and greenways network or ltimodal connections that increase accessibility to our region's open space	☐ Yes YES	☐ No
	Describe, including	supporting quantitative analysis		
	The Elm Stre	et extension is .1 mile from the town park, excellent open space asset.		
	MV objective 10	Increase access to amenities that support healthy, active choices.		
6.	• •	pand opportunities for residents to lead healthy and active lifestyles?	Yes	☐ No
	_	5. Town is planning to build community center in the park in next 2 yrs		
	MV objective 13	Improve access to opportunity.		
7.	by promoting relia	elp reduce critical health, education, income, and opportunity disparities ble transportation connections to key destinations and other amenities?  I supporting quantitative analysis	Yes	☐ No
	see question	5 and 6. Also, the Lincoln mobile health RV needs paved surfaces to drive a	nd park.	
	MV objective 14	Improve the region's competitive position.		

8.	Will this project help support and contribute the health and vitality?	o the growth of th	e subregion's economic	YES	No
	Describe, including supporting quantitative are entrance to the town rodeo stadium, serving scheduled there.	•		•	
D.	Project Leveraging			WEIGHT	20%
9.	What percent of outside funding sources		41%+ outside funding so	ources	High
	(non-DRCOG-allocated Subregional Share	20%	31-40%		Medium
	funding) does this project have?	=:	30% and below	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Low

## Part 3

# **Project Data Worksheet – Calculations and Estimates**

(Complete all subsections applicable to the project)

#### A. Transit Use

Current ridership weekday boardings

0

2. Population and Employment

Year	Population within 1 mile	Employment within 1 mile	Total Pop and Employ within 1 mile
2020	65	10	75
2040		20	140

	Transit Use Calculations	Year of Opening	2040 Weekday Estimate
3.	Enter estimated additional daily transit boardings after project is completed.  (Using 50% growth above year of opening for 2040 value, unless justified)  Provide supporting documentation as part of application submittal	n/a	n/a
4.	Enter number of the additional transit boardings (from #3 above) that were previously using a different transit route.  (Example: {#3 X 25%} or other percent, if justified)	0	0
5.	Enter number of the new transit boardings (from #3 above) that were previously using other non-SOV modes (walk, bicycle, HOV, etc.) (Example: {#3 X 25%} or other percent, if justified)	0	0
6.	= Number of SOV one-way trips reduced per day (#3 – #4 – #5)	0	0
7.	Enter the value of {#6 x 9 miles}. (= the VMT reduced per day) (Values other than the default 9 miles must be justified by sponsor; e.g., 15 miles for regional service or 6 miles for local service)	0	0
8.	= Number of pounds GHG emissions reduced (#7 x 0.95 lbs.)	0	0
9.	If values would be distinctly greater for weekends, describe the magnitude	ude of difference:	

There is no public transit (ex buses) in Deer Trail

10. If different values other than the suggested are used, please explain here:

## **B.** Bicycle Use

1. Current weekday bicyclists

0

2. Population and Employment

Year	Population within 1 mile	Employment within 1 mile	Total Pop and Employ within 1 mile
2020	65	10	75
2040	120	20	140

	Bicycle Use Calculations	Year of Opening	2040 Weekday Estimate
3.	Enter estimated additional weekday one-way bicycle trips on the facility after project is completed.	0	0
4.	Enter number of the bicycle trips (in #3 above) that will be diverting from a different bicycling route.  (Example: {#3 X 50%} or other percent, if justified)	0	0
5.	= Initial number of new bicycle trips from project (#3 – #4)	0	0
6.	Enter number of the new trips produced (from #5 above) that are replacing an SOV trip.  (Example: {#5 X 30%} (or other percent, if justified)	0	0
7.	= Number of SOV trips reduced per day (#5 - #6)	0	0
8.	Enter the value of {#7 x 2 miles}. (= the VMT reduced per day) (Values other than 2 miles must be justified by sponsor)	0	0
9.	= Number of pounds GHG emissions reduced (#8 x 0.95 lbs.)	0	0
10.	If values would be distinctly greater for weekends, describe the magnitude	de of difference:	
11.	If different values other than the suggested are used, please explain here	2:	
	There are very few bicycles in Deer Trail and most are not working. 4th S	t corridor is Very hig	h poverty area.

C. Pede	strian Use	
1. Currer	nt weekday pedestrians (include users of all non-pedaled devices)	0
2. Popula	ation and Employment	

Year	Population within 1 mile	Employment within 1 mile	Total Pop and Employ within 1 mile
2020	65	10	75
2040	120	20	140

	Pedestrian Use Calculations	Year of Opening	2040 Weekday Estimate
3.	Enter estimated additional weekday pedestrian one-way trips on the facility after project is completed	10	20
4.	Enter number of the new pedestrian trips (in #3 above) that will be diverting from a different walking route (Example: {#3 X 50%} or other percent, if justified)	0	0
5.	= Number of new trips from project (#3 – #4)	10	20
6.	Enter number of the new trips produced (from #5 above) that are replacing an SOV trip.  (Example: {#5 X 30%} or other percent, if justified)	0	0
7.	= Number of SOV trips reduced per day (#5 - #6)	0	0

12. Enter the value of {#7 x .4 miles}. (= the VMT reduced per day) (Values other than .4 miles must be justified by sponsor)	0	0
8. = Number of pounds GHG emissions reduced (#8 x 0.95 lbs.)	0	0
9. If values would be distinctly greater for weekends, describe the ma	gnitude of difference:	
10. If different values other than the suggested are used, please explain added trips are seniors/sp needs pops venturing out of house that		

	Vulnerable Populations	Population within 1 mile
	1. Persons over age 65	12 (8 Bijou Manor)
	2. Minority persons	10
Use Current Census Data	3. Low-Income households	15 stats for 4th ave corridor
	4. Linguistically-challenged persons	
	5. Individuals with disabilities	3 on oxygen
	6. Households without a motor vehicle	3
	7. Children ages 6-17	28
	8. Health service facilities served by project	1 lincoln health RV

### E. Travel Delay (Operational and Congestion Reduction)

Sponsor must use industry standard Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) based software programs and procedures as a basis to calculate estimated weekday travel delay benefits. *DRCOG staff may be able to use the Regional Travel Model to develop estimates for certain types of large-scale projects*.

1. Current ADT (average daily traffic volume) on applicable segments	48 (incl 20 CDOT)
2. 2040 ADT estimate	96 (incl 40 CDOT)
3. Current weekday vehicle hours of delay (VHD) (before project)	n/a

	Travel Delay Calculations	Year of Opening
4.	Enter calculated future weekday VHD (after project)	n/a
5.	Enter value of {#3 - #4} = Reduced VHD	0
6.	Enter value of {#5 X 1.4} = Reduced person hours of delay (Value higher than 1.4 due to high transit ridership must be justified by sponsor)	0

7. After project peak hour congested average travel time reduction per vehicle (includes persons, transit passengers, freight, and service equipment carried by vehicles).

If applicable, denote unique travel time reduction for certain types of vehicles

0

- 8. If values would be distinctly different for weekend days or special events, describe the magnitude of difference.
- 9. If different values other than the suggested are used, please explain here:

#### F. Traffic Crash Reduction

	The state of the s	
1.	Provide the current number of crashes involving moto and pedestrians (most recent Syear- period of data)	or vehicles, bicyclists,
	Fatal crashes	0
	Serious Injury crashes	0
	Other Injury crashes	no known crashes 4th Ave
	Property Damage Only crashes	0
2.	Estimated reduction in crashes applicable to the project scope (per the five-year period used above)	
	Fatal crashes reduced	0
	Serious Injury crashes reduced	0
	Other Injury crashes reduced	0
	Property Damage Only crashes reduced	0

Sponsor must use industry accepted crash reduction factors (CRF) or accident modification factor (AMF) practices (e.g., NCHRP Project 17-25, NCHRP Report 617, or DiExSys methodology).

### **G. Facility Condition**

Sponsor must use a current industry-accepted pavement condition method or system and calculate the average condition across all sections of pavement being replaced or modified.

Applicants will rate as: Excellent, Good, Fair, or Poor

#### Roadway Pavement

1. Current roadway pavement condition dirt road, poor

Choose an item

2. Describe current pavement issues and how the project will address them.

no pavement

3. Average Daily User Volume

48

#### Bicycle/Pedestrian/Other Facility

4. Current bicycle/pedestrian/other facility condition dirt, no path

Choose an item

5. Describe current condition issues and how the project will address them.

still no path but at least not riding/walking in mud

		-
6.	Average Daily User Volume	10 or less
н.	Bridge Improvements	
1.	Current bridge structural condition from CDOT  n/a	
2.	Describe current condition issues and how the project will address them.	-
3.	Other functional obsolescence issues to be addressed by project	
		:
4.	Average Daily User Volume over bridge	0
l.	Other Beneficial Variables (identified and calculated by the sponsor)	
1.	note that 3 residents of Bijou Manor are on oxygen. Road dust is big problem	
2.	CDOT would plow if surface was better	
3.		
J.	Disbenefits or Negative Impacts (identified and calculated by the sponsor)	
1.	Increase in VMT? If yes, describe scale of expected increase	Yes No
	Incr vehicle traffic if housing increases, as in expansion of Bijou Manor	
2.	Negative impact on vulnerable populations none that we see	
3.	Other:	

#### Part 4

## **Special Considerations**

Complete all answers with a YES/NO/UNSURE, and an explanation as warranted. Part 4 is not scored but will assist in project recommendation.

Is the project a construction- or implementable- ready project?

yes

- 2. Are there challenges with the project (right-of-way, environmental, utilities, etc.)?
  - If yes, explain the challenge and how agency plan to address.

no

3. Are there other environmental or controversial issues associated with the project?

#### none

4. Does the project or program benefit more than just the sponsoring agency and considered subregionally significant/transformative?

sub significant, CDOT would have better surface in and out of yard

- 5. Does the agency have capacity and expertise to manage a federal project?
  - a. Explain experience, approach, etc.

previous paving on 3rd avenue, localized oversight

- 6. Is the project a next logical phase of a project funded in previous TIP cycles? not sure
  - 7. Of the partnerships described in Section A, Question 7, are the partnerships providing funding?
    - a. Describe the partnerships and funding of such.

town has to handle cash match if CDOT doesn't contribute

8. Are there any other "special considerations" the committee should consider in evaluating the application? town is considering option to pave with melted plastic bottles instead of asphalt, much cheaper, more efficient, similar to portions of US 287 in Texas, great experiment for future use at great cost savings.