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Today’s discussion:

Regional Share Criteria

TIP Calls for Projects

DRCOG TIP funds

Set-Aside Programs

Regional projects pot

Subregional projects pot

DRCOG Board
Dual Model – Decisions to date

Set-aside Share (August)

- Approved at August Board meeting
- $49.4 million to programs and pools

TIP Focus Areas (September)

1) Improve mobility infrastructure and services for vulnerable populations (including improved transportation access to health services)

2) Increase reliability of existing multimodal transportation network

3) Improve transportation safety and security
Regional Share Framework Eligibility Rules (January)

- Projects/programs applications only from subregions, CDOT and RTD
  - Eight Subregions – a max of three submittals each
  - RTD – a max of two submittals
  - CDOT – a max of two submittals (reaffirmation of Central 70 counts as one of CDOT’s submittals)

Subregional/Regional Share Funding Allocation (January)

- aka: the funding split
  - 80% subregional and 20% regional
Regional/Subregional Funding Split

Funding Shares for Draft 2020-2023 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) Policy Document
January 17, 2018

DRCOG Federal Funds
(FY 2020-2023)
$280 Million Total (Estimate)

Set-Asides
$49.4 Million
- Community Mobility Planning & Implementation
- TDM Services
- Regional Transportation Operations & Technology
- Air Quality Improvement
- Human Service Transportation

Regional Share
$46.1 Million
One Call for Regional Projects/Programs
Similar to structure used for current TIP.
CDOT Central 70 project: $25 mil.

Subregional Share
$184.5 Million
Individual Subregion Forum Calls for Projects
Proportionately targeted for planning purposes to predefined sub-geographic units for project identification and recommendation by eligible stakeholders within each subregion.

Subregion Shares*:
(4-year total in millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subregion</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Dollars</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adams</td>
<td>15.20%</td>
<td>$28.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arapahoe</td>
<td>19.25%</td>
<td>$35.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boulder</td>
<td>9.96%</td>
<td>$18.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broomfield</td>
<td>2.34%</td>
<td>$4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denver</td>
<td>24.15%</td>
<td>$44.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Douglas</td>
<td>9.97%</td>
<td>$18.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jefferson</td>
<td>16.81%</td>
<td>$31.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW Weld</td>
<td>2.31%</td>
<td>$4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
<td><strong>$184.5</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* based on population, VMT and employment.
Subregional Forum Governance Concepts (February)

• Membership
  • All DRCOG members whose corporate limits are wholly or partially within a subregion (county-based)
  • Elected official or designee
  • Each entity will have one vote
  • RTD and CDOT invited as non-voting

• Entities eligible to submit projects/programs
  • All DRCOG members within subregion
  • Local governments within the subregion that are not DRCOG members
  • Other state or regional entities eligible to directly receive and administer federal funds (e.g., universities, TMAs)
Next Steps

Regional Share Criteria

- TIP Policy Work Group developing criteria
  - Qualitative and quantitative elements
  - Begin discussion at March Board meeting

Subregional Share Criteria

- Subregions will be granted flexibility, but must include core components of Regional Share criteria
The 3-part **Framework** is the foundation for creating the Regional Share application

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part 1</th>
<th>Part 2</th>
<th>Part 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basic information</td>
<td>Evaluation criteria, questions, and scoring</td>
<td>Project data – calculations and estimates</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Part 1  Basic Information

Foundational project information

• Name
• Location
• Key elements
• Scope
• Cost

• Define the regional problem the project will address
  • i.e. Problem Statement
Part 2  Evaluation Criteria and Questions

A. **Regional significance** of proposed project

B. Board-approved **TIP Focus Areas**

C. Consistency and contributions to **transportation-focused Metro Vision Objectives**

D. **Leveraging** of non-Regional Share funds
Qualitative responses

Questions include:

• Regional importance?
• Cross and/or benefit multiple municipalities and subregions?
• How the specific transportation problem will be addressed?
• Connectivity to different travel modes?
• Project/program partnerships?
Focus Areas (Board-approved Sept. 2017)

- Improve mobility infrastructure and services for vulnerable populations
- Increase reliability of existing multimodal transportation network
- Improve transportation safety and security
## 2-C Consistency with Metro Vision Objectives

### Qualitative & quantitative responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metro Vision Transportation-focused Objectives (selective)</th>
<th>Qualitative &amp; quantitative responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Help locations designated for urban development</td>
<td>Connect people to natural resource or recreational areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase housing and employment in urban centers</td>
<td>Increase access to amenities that support healthy choices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve/expand multimodal network</td>
<td>Improve access to opportunity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve air quality</td>
<td>Improve the region’s competitive position</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2-D Leveraging

• Based on how much “overmatch” the project provides

• Assumes a high amount of outside funds will be contributed
Part 3  Project Data – Calculations and Estimates

Quantitative usage or benefits  
(current and predicted)

- Enter information only for a project’s key elements
- Results are used in Part 2 to validate qualitative responses
- Data entered is not scored