Spec	cific Issue	DRCOG Staff Potential Fix	Local Tech. Input Mtg #1	DRCOG Staff Response
#1	Problematic open space definition	Use open space definition	Concern about impact of change on allocation	
#2	Open space parcels	Judge by functional boundaries, not parcels	Concern related to below	agree with need for better purpose/definition
#3	Open space and subdivision relationship		Issues with 160 acre cutoff, treating different kinds of parks differently; need clarification through better purpose or title	language; have group reconsider role of open space after specific issue #14
#4	Undevelopable parcels	Let other considerations classify these parcels	Consider in concert with open space?	
#5	Lot size to calculate density	Consider number of units on residential lots	< OK with staff suggestion	working to implement in new run
#6	Treatment of subdivisions	Add and improve definitions	< Mostly OK with suggestion (consider looking at size to determine half, instead of just count)	working to implement in new run
#7	Non-commercial/industrial employment	Consider parcels with other uses for employment calculation	not presented	n/a
#8	Reliance on previous inventories	Consider other steps to capture land similar to other urban land, but without subdivision (if necessary)	not presented	n/a
#9	Rural loopholes	Consider including certain uses as urban; consider excluding certain uses from rural test, let context determine	not presented	n/a
#10	Airports and subdivision	Custom delineation of airport properties	presented, but not much chance for feedback	n/a
#11	Including rights-of-way (ROW)		presented, but not much chance for feedback	n/a
#12	Treatment of groupings	Add new definitions ("grouping", "residential grouping")	not presented	n/a
#13	Surrounding parcels	Substitute and define new terms ("contiguous", "adjacent areas")	not presented	n/a
#14	Unused classes create complication	Group all non-urban	not presented	n/a