

Ashley Stolzmann, Chair  
Kevin Flynn, Vice Chair  
Steve Conklin, Secretary  
Wynne Shaw, Treasurer  
John Diak, Immediate Past Chair  
Douglas W. Rex, Executive Director

**AGENDA**  
**DRCOG Board Work Session**  
**Wednesday, August 4, 2021**  
**4 p.m.**  
**VIDEO/WEB CONFERENCE**  
**Denver, CO**

1. Call to Order
2. Public Comment  
The chair requests that there be no public comment on issues for which a prior public hearing has been held before the Board of Directors.
3. Summary of May 5, 2021 Board Work Session  
(Attachment A)
4. Discussion on the Greenhouse Gas (GHG) transportation planning rulemaking  
(Attachment B) Ron Papsdorf, Director, Transportation Planning and Operations
5. Discussion of the Regional Complete Streets Toolkit project  
(Attachment C) Jacob Riger, Manager, Transportation Planning and Operations
6. Adjourn

Persons in need of auxiliary aids or services, such as interpretation services or assisted listening devices, are asked to contact DRCOG at least 48 hours in advance of the meeting by calling (303) 480-6744.



**ATTACH A**

## BOARD WORK SESSION SUMMARY

May 5, 2021

**Note: Meeting held virtually via Zoom**

---

### **Directors present:**

Kevin Flynn, Vice Chair	City and County of Denver
Steve O'Dorisio	Adams County
Lynn Baca	Adams County
Jeff Baker	Arapahoe County
Claire Levy	Boulder County
Nicholas Williams	City and County of Denver
George Teal	Douglas County
Alison Coombs	Aurora
Aaron Brockett	Boulder
William Lindstedt	Broomfield
Deborah Mulvey	Castle Pines
Jason Gray	Castle Rock
Tammy Maurer	Centennial
Randy Weil	Cherry Hills Village
Nicole Frank	Commerce City
Steve Conklin	Edgewater
Linda Olson	Englewood
Bill Gippe	Erie
Lynette Kelsey	Georgetown
Jim Dale	Golden
Tracy Kraft-Tharp	Jefferson County
Jacob LaBure	Lakewood
Stephanie Walton	Lafayette
Wynne Shaw	Lone Tree
Joan Peck	Longmont
Ashley Stolzmann	Louisville
Collen Whitlow	Mead
John Diak	Parker
Neal Shah	Superior
Tim Howard	Superior
Anita Seitz	Westminster
Bud Starker	Wheat Ridge

Others present: Doug Rex, Executive Director; Chris Chovan, Rachael Minore, Adams County; Bryan Weimer, Arapahoe County; Mac Callison, Aurora; Brad Boland, Castle Rock; Lauren Pulver, Douglas County; Kent Moorman, Thornton; Danny Herrman, Herman Stockinger, CDOT; Chris Rork, Associated Students of Colorado; Ed Bowditch, Bowditch & Cassell Public Affairs; Jesse Zamora, CRL Associates, Inc.; Scott Bressler, AECOM; Zach Pierce, Citizen; and DRCOG staff.

Board Vice Chair Kevin Flynn called the session to order at 4:00 p.m.

Public Comment

There was no public comment.

Discussion of State transportation funding proposal, draft bill summary

Ron Papsdorf provided an overview of the bill to the directors. Legislative leadership and interest groups have been discussing and working on a draft state transportation funding proposal. An overview of the draft was presented at the April 7, 2021 Board Work Session and staff indicated legislator's intent to introduce the bill within a few weeks. Draft bill language was released April 29, 2021 and the bill itself was expected to be formally introduced around May 4. [As drafted, the bill](#) includes about \$5.27 billion over 10 years through a combination of new fees, stimulus, and state general funds. Approximately \$2.23 billion of the funding would be allocated through the Highway Users Tax Fund. The remaining funding is proposed to be allocated to:

- A new Nonattainment Fund for CDOT to address pollution mitigations on road-related projects in federal air quality nonattainment areas
- An expanded Multimodal and Mitigation Options Fund split 15% to CDOT and 85% to the state's transportation planning regions and MPOs
- An expanded Bridge and Tunnel Enterprise (\$522.8 million)
- Front Range Passenger Rail study (\$2.5 million)
- Three new enterprises totaling \$734.3 million for electric vehicle charging infrastructure, vehicle fleet electrification incentives, and public transit electrification.

Directors' [questions](#) that were addressed by Mr. Papsdorf and Herman Stockinger of CDOT. There was a discussion amongst directors and staff with many members supporting this proposed solution to transportation funding needs. Directors were informed that this bill would be brought to the May Board of Directors meeting to discuss a Board position.

Continued discussion of preliminary ideas for amendments to the Metro Vision

Andy Taylor presented staff ideas to the Board. The DRCOG board of directors unanimously adopted Metro Vision in January 2017. DRCOG routinely offers opportunities for local governments, stakeholders and members of the public to propose amendments to the plan. Staff also brings forward staff-initiated plan amendments for board consideration. DRCOG most recently issued a call for plan amendments in October 2018, with board approval of the revised plan in May 2019. In February staff described a process to amend Metro Vision to seek alignment with other plans, strategies or regional initiatives pursued by planning partners since the original plan was adopted. In March staff began describing potential outcome- and objective-level amendments. Mr. Taylor presented recommendations related to changes to plan structure and organization, and suggested edits to plan outcomes and objectives. Staff has reviewed ONLY outcome- and objective-level components of the plan with the Board. Staff review and board discussion of the other "strategic initiatives" included in Metro Vision will occur during future meetings.

There was a concern presented about language being removed regarding preservation of open land and making sure that it would be protected from commercial or residential

## Board Work Session Summary

May 5, 2021

Page 3

development. Mr. Taylor stated that was great feedback and staff will work on retaining this information in the reorganization. There was an additional suggestion of having separate language that specifically targets the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions and reducing the number of vehicle miles travelled (VMT).

The work session ended at 5:32 p.m.

**ATTACH B**

To: Chair and Members of the Board of Directors

From: Douglas W. Rex, Executive Director  
303-480-6701 or [drex@drco.org](mailto:drex@drco.org)

Meeting Date	Agenda Category	Agenda Item #
August 4, 2021	Discussion	4

#### SUBJECT

Update on Greenhouse Gas (GHG) transportation planning rulemaking.

#### PROPOSED ACTION/RECOMMENDATIONS

N/A

#### ACTION BY OTHERS

N/A

#### SUMMARY

House Bill 19-1261 was signed into law on May 30, 2019. The bill concerns the reduction of greenhouse gas pollution and establishing statewide greenhouse gas pollution reduction goals. The law sets statewide goals to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from 2005 levels by at least 26% by 2025, at least 50% by 2030, and at least 90% by 2050.

On January 14, 2021, Colorado released its [Greenhouse Gas Pollution Reduction Roadmap](#). The Roadmap establishes a pathway to meet the state's HB19-1261 climate targets. An executive summary of the Roadmap (also included in the full report) is available in [English](#) and in [Spanish](#).

The Roadmap shows Colorado's largest sources of GHG emissions, in order, are **transportation, electricity generation, oil and gas development and fuel use in homes, business, and industrial applications**. Findings show that meeting the 2025 and 2030 goals is achievable with existing cost-effective technologies but progressing toward these goals will require additional policies beyond the actions the state has taken already.

SB21-260 includes new requirements for CDOT guidelines and procedures for the Department and the state's MPOs related to transportation planning and projects. CDOT is embarking on a rulemaking process to develop a new pollution reduction planning framework for the transportation sector. On July 15, 2021 the Colorado Transportation Commission adopted a resolution authorizing CDOT to commence the rulemaking process to establish a greenhouse gas (GHG) pollution-reduction standard, including compliance and enforcement requirements in accordance with HB19-1261 and SB21-260.

Some of the issues that DRCOG staff have been discussing relate to 1) the stages of the regional transportation planning process (RTP vs. TIP) and on what schedule the rule should apply; 2) the GHG emissions targets DRCOG's transportation planning process will be subject to; 3) the scale and effectiveness of VMT reduction strategies; and 4) appropriate compliance enforcement.

CDOT has indicated their intent to release the proposed rule with an official rulemaking notice August 13, 2021. The Transportation Commission has asked CDOT to hold multiple rulemaking hearings around the state during the written comment period.

PREVIOUS DISCUSSIONS/ACTIONS
------------------------------

N/A

PROPOSED MOTION
-----------------

N/A

ATTACHMENTS
-------------

1. Staff presentation
2. CDOT Memo, July 2, 2021
3. CDOT Memo, July 7, 2021
4. CDOT GHG Briefing Memo, July 13, 2021

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
------------------------

If you need additional information, please contact Douglas W. Rex, Executive Director, at 303-480-4701 or [drex@drcog.org](mailto:drex@drcog.org); or Ron Papsdorf, Division Director, Transportation Planning & Operations, at 303-480-6747 or [rpapsdorf@drcog.org](mailto:rpapsdorf@drcog.org).



# Greenhouse Gas Transportation Planning Rulemaking

Board Work Session  
August 4, 2021

Ron Papsdorf  
Division Director, Transportation  
Planning & Operations

# Background

- HB19-1261: reduce greenhouse gas pollution and establish statewide GHG reduction goals.
- GHG Pollution Reduction Roadmap: establishes pathway to meet HB19-1261 climate targets.
- SB21-260: new requirements for CDOT guidelines and procedures for the department and MPOs related to transportation planning and projects.



- By **July 1, 2022** CDOT shall establish and propose to the Transportation Commission for its review **implementing procedures and guidelines** that require CDOT and MPOs to take **additional steps** in the planning process for **regionally significant transportation capacity projects** to account for the impacts on the amount **of statewide GHG pollution** and statewide **vehicle miles traveled** that are expected to result from such projects.
- The guidelines and procedures shall **apply to adoption of the next 10-Year Plan** and subsequent planning cycles and shall fully evaluate the potential environmental and health impacts on **disproportionately impacted communities**.
- Other requirements specific to CDOT for environmental study process for regionally significant projects and its public engagement program.

# SB21-260, Section 30 – Minimum Requirements

- Minimum requirements for CDOT and MPOs –
  - **Implement relevant rules and regulations** issued by Air Quality Control Commission, pursuant to CRS 25-7-105;
  - **Reduce GHG emissions** to help achieve the statewide GHG pollution reduction targets (HB19-1261);
  - Modify guidance documents to ensure same level of **analytical scrutiny** is given to **GHG pollutants** as given to other air pollutants, including consideration of impacts on GHG emissions of **induced demand** resulting from regionally significant transportation capacity projects; and
  - **Consider the role of land use** in the transportation planning process and develop strategies to **encourage land use decisions** that reduce VMT and GHG emissions

## SB21-260, Section 51

- On or after **October 1, 2022**: Unless CDOT has adopted implementing guidelines and procedures that satisfy the requirements of Section 30 and **DRCOG** and NFRMPO have **updated their RTP** to comply with the guidelines and procedures, **expenditures from certain MMOF revenues** shall only be made for multimodal projects that will **help bring the RTP into compliance** with the requirements of Section 30.



# Key Issues for DRCOG

- The stages of the regional transportation planning process (RTP vs. TIP) and on what schedule the rule should apply
- GHG emissions targets DRCOG's transportation planning process will be subject to
- Scale and effectiveness of VMT reduction strategies
- Appropriate compliance enforcement



## DRAFT Rulemaking Timeline

subject to change and refinement due to TC action and rulemaking development



## Next Steps – Further Conversations

- August 18 Board Meeting: review proposed rule
- August 27 Board Workshop Mini Session: Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions – GHG laws, rules and DRCOG
- August 28 Board Workshop: Greenhouse Gas Mitigation – A Peer Review
- September 1 Board Work Session: Board direction/position on proposed rule?





**THANK YOU!**  
**QUESTIONS?**

Ron Papsdorf | [rpapsdorf@drcog.org](mailto:rpapsdorf@drcog.org)



# COLORADO

## Department of Transportation

Office of Policy and Government Relations  
2829 W. Howard Place  
Denver, CO 80204-2305

### MEMORANDUM

**DATE:** July 02, 2020

**TO:** Transportation Commission

**FROM:** Rebecca White, DTD Director  
Theresa Takushi, Greenhouse Gas Climate Action Specialist

**SUBJECT:** GHG Pollution Reduction Planning Update and Next Steps

---

#### Purpose

This memo explains the status of the GHG Transportation Pollution Reduction Planning Rule.

#### Action

To approve the final changes to the GHG Policy Memo.

#### Background

One of the key recommendations stemming from the Governor's Greenhouse Gas Pollution Reduction Roadmap is the adoption of a new pollution reduction planning framework for the transportation sector. CDOT staff have been updating the Commission on a monthly basis as this concept has evolved.

Last month, staff provided TC with a number of key updates, including new language provided in SB260. The TC also received a draft policy memo that discussed key policy issues inherent in the rule and provided larger context for this work. This month, staff will present a final copy of the memo, which has been refined based on input from the TC and stakeholders. Additionally, staff will seek approval from the TC to officially commence a rulemaking to incorporate the new GHG standards into the statewide planning rules.

#### Next Steps

CDOT staff will provide monthly updates throughout the rulemaking process and will continue to work closely with Commissioners selected to serve on the GHG working committee.





**COLORADO**

Department of Transportation

# GHG Policy Memo & Pollution Reduction Planning for Transportation

Transportation Commission Briefing - July 14, 2021





## Progress Since June

Updating stakeholders on SB260 language and new approach to rulemaking.

Continued engagement on policy paper and key policy issues inherent in rule.

Upcoming rulemaking opportunity noticed on CDOT rule and GHG website with sign up link.

Coordination with TC inter-agency ad hoc committee members.



# Rulemaking Notice

Rule Number	Rule Contact	Rule Title	Proposed Revisions	Deadline	Public Comments	Sign Up for Rulemaking Updates
<a href="#"><u>2 CCR 604-1</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>Natalie Lutz</u></a>	Emerging Small Business (ESB) Program	Proposed Redline ( <a href="#"><u>PDF</u></a> )	December 4, 2020	<a href="#"><u>Written Comments</u></a>	
<a href="#"><u>2 CCR 601-22</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>Natalie Lutz</u></a> <a href="#"><u>Theresa Takushi</u></a>	Statewide Transportation Planning Process and Transportation Planning Regions				<a href="#"><u>Greenhouse Gas Pollution Reduction Planning Rulemaking Sign Up</u></a>

<https://www.codot.gov/business/rules/stakeholder-engagement-protocol-workshops>



# DRAFT Rulemaking Timeline

subject to change and refinement due to TC action and rulemaking development

## Authorize Rulemaking


Transportation Commission authorize staff to commence rulemaking and delegates a Hearing Officer to conduct rulemaking hearing.

## Rulemaking Hearing

Opportunity for Public Testimony and Submission of Written Comments

## Rule Effective

Rule becomes effective.





# Public Engagement in Rulemaking Process

## User-friendly and Inclusive Rulemaking Process

- Party Status is not necessary- all interested parties are encouraged to fully participate in the rulemaking process
  - <https://www.codot.gov/business/rules/stakeholder-engagement-protocol-workshops>
- Multiple Opportunities for Public Comment
  - Department rulemaking often includes one or more stakeholder sessions/opportunities to review potential rules and issue so that we may consider stakeholder comments even before filing the rules
  - Submission of written comments prior to the Rulemaking Hearing
  - Oral testimony and submission of written comments at Rulemaking Hearing



## CDOT GHG Policy Paper

- Explains intent of rule and key policy issues
- Builds understanding around concept and its intricacies
- Provides initial drafting for rulemaking and format to advance regulatory concepts in a more plain-english format





## Key Comment Areas Based on TC and Stakeholder Feedback

- **Timeline of rule & how stakeholders can engage**
  - How equity will be addressed
- **Clarity on Language - regionally significant projects, mitigation/offset**
- **How the scenarios relate to the rule itself and the range**
  - Help establish the GHG target levels
  - For use in the cost benefit analysis
- **More clarification around vehicle miles traveled (VMT)**
- **MPO Role/relationship to CDOT/authority**



## Additional Commision feedback on policy paper



## Next Steps on GHG Rulemaking

Proposed resolution to commence rulemaking process.

- This step would officially begin both the timeline and process steps under the APA.

Statewide public meeting on July 22 (tentative).

Continued engagement with key stakeholder groups.



# COLORADO

## Department of Transportation

Office of Policy and Government Relations  
2829 W. Howard Place  
Denver, CO 80204-2305

### MEMORANDUM

**DATE:** July 07, 2020

**TO:** Transportation Commission

**FROM:** Rebecca White, DTD Director  
Theresa Takushi, Greenhouse Gas Climate Action Specialist  
Herman Stockinger, Deputy Executive Director and OPR Director  
Natalie Lutz, Rules, Policies, and Procedures Administrator

**SUBJECT:** **Authorize Commencement of Permanent Rulemaking for 2 CCR 601-22, Rules Governing Statewide Transportation Planning Process and Transportation Planning Regions**

---

#### Purpose

To authorize the Colorado Department of Transportation (“the Department”) to commence the rulemaking process by opening the Rules Governing Statewide Transportation Planning Process and Transportation Planning Regions (“the Planning Rules”), 2 CCR 601-22 for the establishment of a greenhouse gas (“GHG”) pollution-reduction standard, including compliance and enforcement requirements, in accordance with House Bill 19-1261 and Senate Bill 21-260.

#### Action

To pass a resolution authorizing the Department to commence rulemaking to update the Planning Rules for the establishment of a GHG pollution-reduction standard, including compliance and enforcement requirements, and delegate authority to an Administrative Hearing Officer to conduct a public hearing.

#### Background

Senate Bill 21-260 was enacted into law and directs the Transportation Commission to adopt procedures and guidelines requiring the Department and Metropolitan Planning Organizations (“the MPOs”) to take additional steps in the planning process for regionally significant transportation projects to account for the impacts on the amount of statewide GHG pollution and statewide vehicle miles traveled that are expected to result from those projects. To accomplish this requirement, SB 21-260 specifies implementing relevant rules and regulations pursuant to § 25-7-105, C.R.S.; reducing GHG emissions to help achieve statewide GHG pollution reduction targets established in House Bill 19-1261 (now codified in § 25-7-102(2)(g) and 105(1)(e), C.R.S.); and considering the role of land use in the transportation planning process.

Pursuant to § 43-1-106(8)(k), C.R.S., the Transportation Commission has broad authority to make all necessary and reasonable orders, rules, and regulations to carry out its authority and duties. One of the Transportation Commission’s duties is to assure the preservation and enhancement of Colorado’s environment in the planning, selection, construction, and operation of all transportation projects in Colorado. Additionally, the Transportation Commission has the authority to promulgate rules regarding the formation of the state plan through a statewide planning process pursuant to § 43-1-1103(5), C.R.S. The state plan encompasses a multitude factors, such as land use planning, multi-modal transportation considerations, targeting of infrastructure investments, safety enhancements, strategic mobility and multimodal options, environmental stewardship, and reduction of greenhouse gas



emissions. In 2012, the Transportation Commission codified the Planning Rules to establish the statewide transportation planning process and the formation of the state plan.

On May 20, 2021, the Transportation Commission established the Ad Hoc Agency Coordination Committee (“AAC Committee”) and appointed Commissioner Hickey, Commissioner Thiebaut, and Commissioner Vasquez. Since Commissioner Thiebaut’s term ended at the end of June, Chair Hall appointed Commissioner Stuart to serve on the ACC Committee. The purpose of the ACC Committee is to act as liaison for the Commission throughout the rulemaking process, work with staff to amend the Planning Rules and ensure affected and interested parties are provided with notice and opportunity to comment under the requirements of the State Administrative Procedure Act.

#### Details

The Department proposes the Transportation Commission amend the Planning Rules to integrate the establishment of a GHG pollution-reduction standard in accordance with House Bill 19-1261 and Senate Bill 21-260. The proposed amendments will be contained included in a new section “Transportation GHG Planning” and consist of the following provisions that outline the Department and MPO applicability, including:

- New definitions to define items such as the Ten-Year Plan, Multimodal Mitigation Options Fund, Disproportionately Impacted Communities, GHG Reduction Measures, and more.
- Statewide and MPO level “GHG Transportation Planning Reduction Levels”, including emissions reduction tables covering the 2005 Baseline and target levels for future compliance years
- Compliance requirements, including setting out details of what must happen by October 1, 2022 (per SB 21-260 requirements) and the process for the state and MPOs to submit their plans for review.
- Enforcement requirements that detail what actions must be taken if the Department or an MPO are unable to meet requirements, including a restriction on the use of certain funds.

#### Next Steps

Staff and the ACC Committee will proceed with the next steps to comply with the rulemaking requirements set forth by the Administrative Procedure Act, including gathering public comments from stakeholders and holding a public rulemaking hearing.

#### Attachments

None.





**DATE:** July 13, 2021

**TO:** GHG Pollution Standard Stakeholders

**FROM:** Colorado Department of Transportation

**RE:** Transportation GHG Roadmap Briefing Update

***Executive Summary***

The passage of HB-1261 set Colorado on a course to dramatically reduce greenhouse gas emissions across all sectors of the economy. As the leading source of Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions in Colorado (and nationwide), transportation has a critical role to play in helping achieve these goals. The state's 2020 Greenhouse Gas Pollution Reduction Roadmap (Roadmap) identified nine different strategies for transportation. Of these, two are underway now--the Employee Trip Reduction Rule proposed in May by the Air Quality Control Commission (AQCC) and a rulemaking on pollution reduction planning for transportation discussed in this memo; one has been accomplished through SB 260 - securing new revenue to fund infrastructure and incentives for electric cars, trucks and buses; and one has begun through HB 1117 and HB 1271 - offering incentives for land use decisions by local governments that reduce vehicle miles traveled (VMT) and GHG emissions. Collectively, these strategies, as they are implemented over the next several years, combined with the effects of low and zero emission vehicle rules, are designed to achieve the 2030 target of reducing GHG pollution from transportation by 12.7 million tons per year below 2005 levels. Importantly, the pollution reduction planning rule that this memo describes, while an essential and important element of this strategy, is only one element of a comprehensive strategy and is not intended to achieve the targets in isolation.

This paper focuses on the intricacies of establishing a pollution-reduction framework that is among the first of its kind in the U.S. The thinking shared here reflects months of still-ongoing conversations with transportation planners, elected officials, industry, environmental groups, other state transportation departments and thought leaders across the country. In particular, the state's five metropolitan planning organizations (MPOs) - CDOT's partners in transportation planning - have provided countless hours of input. Our intent here is to describe the collective and draft work and thinking to date and elevate those issues that merit particular focus in the coming weeks and months as these rules and policies move forward. For that reason, the

adopted, final rule should be viewed as the official outcome of this process.

This paper also discusses the broader context for this effort. Making progress towards the transportation targets will also require actions by other agencies and across a number of areas, such as ongoing focus on the medium and heavy duty truck sector, and contributing to the development of post-2025 light duty vehicle standards. This sector encompasses the millions of individual choices people make every day that have an impact on climate, and the variety of strategies outlined below are all collectively necessary to achieve the state's ambitious and necessary climate goals. The policies contemplated by CDOT and the Transportation Commission are neither the first nor the last steps needed to achieve the totality of Roadmap goals for the transportation sector, and should be viewed as one step among many.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

### **I. Overview**

### **II. Embracing the challenge of addressing greenhouse gas pollution at CDOT**

#### **II.A. Staffing and Governance**

#### **II.B. Electrification and Clean Vehicles**

#### **II.C. Expanding Transportation Choice and Multimodal Options**

#### **II.D. Improving Modeling and Planning Conventions within CDOT**

#### **II.E. Bringing more voices into the transportation conversation**

### **III. Pollution Reduction Planning Approach**

#### **III.A. Tackling outstanding questions**

### **IV. Conclusion**

### **I. Overview**

In response to the new legislative language in SB260<sup>1</sup> and months of stakeholder discussions on this concept, CDOT proposed in July of 2021 that the Transportation Commission (TC) undertake a formal rulemaking process for pollution reduction planning, which would amend the current state planning rules in order to reduce greenhouse gas pollution from transportation. This would separate targets for CDOT and MPO transportation plans. This rule will include establishment of processes to demonstrate and enforce compliance.

From a broader standpoint, this proposal helps the state make progress towards its legislatively adopted GHG reduction goals<sup>2</sup> and fulfill a key recommendation from the [Greenhouse Gas Pollution Reduction Roadmap](#) to reduce vehicle miles traveled by “Integrat(ing) State GHG Pollution Standards and Analysis in Regional, and Statewide Plans.” Altogether, the Roadmap

---

<sup>1</sup> SB260 spells out statutory requirements for the TC to adopt procedures and guidelines requiring the Department and Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) to “[i]mplement relevant rules and regulations issued pursuant to [Section 25-7-105](#)” (CRS) and “[o]therwise reduce greenhouse gas emissions to “help achieve the statewide greenhouse gas pollution reduction targets established in [Section 25-7-102 \(2\)\(g\)](#).”

<sup>2</sup> The 2019 Climate Action Plan to Reduce Pollution (HB 19-1261) set a series of statewide greenhouse gas reduction goals (at least 26% in 2025, 50% in 2030 and 90% in 2050).

includes nine recommendations for the transportation sector, including improving the performance of light, medium, and heavy duty vehicles, transportation demand management, and incentivizing smart local land use, electrification infrastructure and fleet turnover. The sum of emissions reductions from all of these strategies, once fully developed, would be designed to add up to the 2030 transportation sector targets set in the Roadmap and to align with the 2050 goals adopted in HB 19-1261.

**The 9 recommendations put forth in the Greenhouse Gas Roadmap are:**

- 1. State GHG pollution standards for transportation plans.**
- 2. Trip reduction/Transportation Demand Management (TDM) requirements and encouraging telecommuting for large employers.**
- 3. Clean trucking strategy with multiple components including infrastructure investments, incentives for fleet turnover, and evaluation of regulatory options.**
- 4. Secure new revenue to fund infrastructure and incentives to transition to electric cars, trucks, and buses.**
- 5. Offer incentives for land use decisions by local governments that reduce vehicles miles traveled, reduce GHG and other pollutants, and support greater access to housing near jobs.**
- 6. Indirect source standards for some types of new development.**
- 7. Expand public transit, including front range rail and RTD completing the statutorily required Fastracks system that voters passed in 2004.**
- 8. Develop an EV Equity study to ensure access to EV's for all Coloradans.**
- 9. Provide input into development of new clean car standards by both the federal government and for state-based standards.**

The Transportation Commission rule would focus on the connection between public sector-funded transportation projects and vehicle travel; namely that what we build, combined with the emissions of vehicles themselves, influences driving patterns and commensurate GHG pollution. As this briefing paper will discuss, this connection is exceedingly complex in practice, particularly given the number of independent actors -- namely every traveler and vehicle owner-- who have discretion over their personal travel choices and will not be governed by this rule and policy. Thus, the rules must isolate what role state and regional governments play in affecting travel through decisions about where and how to build infrastructure. Upon completion of this rule, Colorado will become only the second state in the nation to establish GHG-related reduction requirements on transportation planning--and the first in the Intermountain West. Colorado's policy would be unique in structure, leveraging the competencies and authorities of specific institutions that govern transportation planning within the state.

Given the intricacies involved with establishing this largely unprecedented rule and the importance of doing so in a manner that reflects the Colorado Way, there are a number of key details of particular importance in this rule: what the pollution standards should be for the state and regions; the precise mechanics of how the compliance and enforcement cycle should



operate and the underlying dynamics between the two relevant agencies (CDOT and CDPHE) and commissions (TC and AQCC); initial implementation timelines; and -- importantly -- the accounting for specific policy choices and mitigations within project plans.

This document seeks to address all of these key details and other related considerations as this process moves forward.

## **II. Embracing the Challenge of Addressing Greenhouse Gas Pollution at CDOT**

In 2020, transportation surpassed electricity as the leading source of GHG pollution in Colorado. In recognition of the importance of this issue, the Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT) and the Transportation Commission have embarked on a massive undertaking over the last two years to reimagine the Department's approach to clean transportation, working in tandem with the Colorado Energy Office (CEO), the Colorado Department of Public Health and the Environment (CDPHE), local partners, and stakeholders across the transportation sector. These changes are reflected in areas including **staffing and governance, enhanced focus on electrification and clean vehicles, expanding transportation choice and multimodal options, and undertaking significant improvements to modeling and planning conventions within the Division of Transportation Development**. Importantly, these efforts go hand in hand with an enhanced focus on improving community outreach across the state, and in disproportionately impacted communities, to ensure that Coloradans voices are represented in the choices that we make and the priorities that we establish as a Department.

### **II.A. Staffing and Governance:**

In order for CDOT to equip itself as an organization to address today's challenges, we must prioritize them within the organization. Within the Department, the last few years have brought significant improvements towards integrating air quality and climate considerations throughout the organization--as we strive to improve the quality and efficiency of departmental output overall and across disciplines.

Two years ago, CDOT established the Office of Innovative Mobility (OIM), which integrates the Department's multimodal efforts through the Division of Transit and Rail with an emerging focus on incorporating electrification and other zero emissions vehicles into our system and equipping our infrastructure to accommodate them. This office reports directly to the executive director. OIM has recruited new leadership to elevate the role of DTR, along with expanding expertise on electrification and mobility choices.

The Division of Transportation Development (DTD), which houses CDOT's planning and modeling functions as well as its research arm, has also strengthened its institutional capacity, both overall and with particular respect to the environmental impacts within CDOT's influence. New leadership within the research division has made air quality a priority, including instituting a new air quality monitoring program to test state of the art technology for measuring pollution in communities living adjacent to the highways. Moreover, DTD has hired the Department's first ever GHG specialist, and an expert to focus on partnering with local communities to more fully

contemplate land use implications when designing infrastructure projects across the state.

Importantly, most of CDOT’s work “on the ground” takes place in our five planning regions, all of which have strengthened their capacity to integrate multimodal factors into project plans -- with several regions bringing on specialists in areas like transit and multimodal planning. Indeed, improving our air is an all-of-CDOT effort that requires technical capacity and collaboration across the organization.

### **MPO Staffing**

Just as CDOT needs to equip itself to meet the GHG challenge, the MPOs may need to make adjustments in their planning process. Each MPO is different and each has their own unique challenges based on the land area they serve, funding and staffing levels and the industries that make up their region’s overall economic base. CDOT will continue to be a good partner to the MPOs and provide technical assistance, data and partnering opportunities that is appropriate in the context of that region’s unique needs. Denver will have different needs than Pueblo and CDOT will continue to be nimble to provide the appropriate assistance to each region.

## **II.B. Electrification and clean vehicles:**

Colorado has a lot to gain from electrifying the transportation sector because of the rapid gains we are making with decarbonizing our electrical generation systems, which results in vehicles that are both cleaner today and will become cleaner over time as more renewable sources are added to the grid. Electrification of vehicles can also provide reliability benefits to the grid by spreading peak loads over a longer period of the day, making use of excess renewable energy resources that are currently curtailed, and potentially - as technology develops - serving as flexible and resilient energy storage by sending electricity from vehicles back to the grid at times when it is most needed.

One key advancement in 2019 was the exploration and eventual adoption of a ZEV standard, through AQCC’s Colorado Low Emission Automobile Regulation<sup>3</sup>, with Colorado becoming the first state in more than a decade and the first non-coastal state to do so and the first ever to do so with support from the auto manufacturing industry. The rule, which included incentives for early action, has helped motivate manufacturers to focus on making ZEV stock available to Colorado consumers faster. CDOT played a key role in securing the negotiated agreement of the auto industry for our ZEV rule.


In addition to supporting greater vehicle choice for Coloradans via the ZEV standard, CDOT is also collaborating with its partners to invest in charging infrastructure that fills geographic gaps that exist in the charging network. Some areas of particular focus include the electrification of the state’s 26 Scenic & Historic Byways as well as other rural and recreational destinations such

---

<sup>3</sup> 5 C.C.R. § 1001-24, Part C.

as state parks, ski resorts, trailheads, and the like. These investments play multiple roles: providing “range confidence” for drivers to support greater EV adoption, fostering local economic development for smaller communities [seeking EV tourism](#) and bringing charging options to areas less likely to see private investment in the short-term. On a regional scale, CDOT also actively participates in planning coordination with our 7 neighboring states via the REV West Partnership as a means of fostering more seamless EV charging for interstate travel across the Intermountain West.

Separate from the passenger vehicle market, CDOT also works closely with transit agencies across the state to support the electrification of their fleets, from planning and education to vehicle purchases, charging equipment installation, and sharing of data and best practices. Since 2019 CDOT has awarded more than \$21 million in Volkswagen Settlement funds to 10 agencies for the purchase of 39 electric buses statewide while providing training and support to many other fleets beginning their transition process. Senate Bill 260 adds ongoing funding for this purpose through a new clean transit enterprise housed at CDOT. CDOT’s goal is to deploy at least 1,000 transit ZEVs statewide by 2030.




Additionally, CDOT staff is collaborating with CEO, CDPHE, the Colorado Motor Carriers Association (CMCA), and stakeholders across the state to develop a Clean Trucking Strategy that will reduce the GHG and air quality impacts of medium and heavy duty (MHD) freight and delivery vehicles while maintaining the important economic benefits that this sector provides. As part of that effort, Colorado signed an MOU with 14 other states and the District of Columbia to target a 30% MHD ZEV market share by 2030 and a full 100% MHD ZEV market share by

Finally, it is important that CDOT leads by example in the realm of transportation electrification. The percentage of new alternative fuel vehicles ordered by CDOT for our own fleet has continually increased from FY18 to today, with more than 90% of the 61 FY20 vehicles ordered being alternative fuel or electric, and many of them replacing half ton pickup trucks. With the FY21 vehicle order, 41% of the CDOT light duty fleet will be compressed natural gas (CNG), Hybrid, plug-in hybrid electric vehicles (PHEV), or EV. In terms of medium and heavy-duty vehicles, CDOT Maintenance & Operations has purchased 9 plug-in hybrid electric aerial/lift trucks that reduce idling when the vehicles are working on signs, bridges, lights and other structures. CDOT is also in the process of procuring and piloting 2 electric street sweepers partially funded through VW Settlement grants, as well as exploring opportunities for acquiring all-electric pickups as they begin to enter the market.

### **II.C. Expanding transportation choice and multimodal options:**

As in the electrification sphere, there has been a significant transformation in how CDOT supports multimodal options throughout the state. At a leadership level, CDOT created the Office of Innovative Mobility and brought the existing transit division under senior leadership. A primary focus area has been building upon the existing success of the Bustang network, and there is a real strategy behind the development of this transit option that is only growing its appeal for possible users; it is not an accident that Bustang's farebox recovery and popularity are so strong.

CDOT is not only maintaining its existing high-quality transit service, but also going further with expanded Bustang-Outrider service to four new routes. This effort complements the buildout of new and expanded mobility hubs along I-25 that will foster multimodal connections between Bustang routes and local transit services while laying the groundwork for future Front Range Passenger Rail service. From a data and performance tracking standpoint, CDOT is also currently developing a "transit emissions dashboard" to track GHG emissions from our Bustang and Outrider commuter services and how emissions decrease with increased ridership and eventual electrification of the vehicles. CDOT expects to expand the dashboard to include non-CDOT transit services over time.



Over the last year, CDOT also launched multiple efforts supporting main streets throughout the state, through a first of its kind effort to bolster active transportation and outdoor commerce on state and local roads that anchor communities. The [Safer Main Street program](#), focused on the Denver metropolitan area, awarded about \$60 million to more than 30 capital infrastructure projects focused on improving the safety of urban arterial roads. Statewide, the [Revitalizing Main Streets Program](#) has awarded close to \$6 million to more than 100 projects statewide that range from fixing sidewalks, to improving lighting for pedestrians, to helping cities and towns implement safety barriers for outdoor commercial space on roadways. This successful program received an initial \$30 million as part of state stimulus, and CDOT is evaluating applications for forthcoming awards. Moreover, SB260 included future funding to ensure that this important program continues.

CDOT is also one of several agencies focused on reducing emissions through Transportation Demand Management (TDM) — by providing incentives, supporting strategic planning, and leading by example. This past year, the new CanDo Telework Grant awarding \$234,000 for 41 projects to local governments and non-profit organizations to support teleworking both during the pandemic and over the longer-term.

CDOT has taken a variety of planning measures including completed Phase 1 and Phase 2 of the State TDM Plan, which assessed various strategies for their return on investment and found telework and vanpools to be the best performance. Staff have also updated the State TDM website, developed a new Healthy Communities Coordinator position to support TDM projects and programs, and implemented many of these strategies during the construction phases of the Grand Avenue Bridge replacement in Glenwood Springs and I-25 Gap project in Douglas

County, among others.

Finally, CDOT is committed to GHG emissions reductions within our own operations and to the extent possible for our contractors. The new Flexible Work Arrangement Policy Directive makes working from home for our staff 2 to 3 days a week the “norm” instead of the exception. For days when employees are working in CDOT offices, internal employee TDM-Transportation Demand Management efforts including the “Reboot Your Commute” program encourage biking, walking, carpool, vanpool, and transit for the return to work this summer.

#### **II.D. Improving modeling and planning conventions within the department:**

The Department has embarked on, and continues to advance, significant improvements in planning and modeling conventions. These continue to evolve and will be complemented by further improvements and steps to codify best practices.

**Planning elements including NEPA and 1601:** SB260 established new requirements for environmental studies on large projects. Many of these requirements, including additional modeling and monitoring for air quality, are already being implemented on CDOT’s largest projects. CDOT is modeling additional metrics such as fine particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) and induced demand for major projects currently underway, and such analysis will become a consistent expectation in project reviews moving forward. CDOT is also exploring advanced mitigation to proactively identify ways to offset negative impacts of projects, as well as include elements that yield positive benefits for the community during construction and beyond. In the spirit of these efforts, CDOT is also improving internal policies, such as requiring for the first time that communities follow the Department’s process for approving new interchanges, which includes consideration and incorporation of transportation demand management strategies. This may be an area for future consideration given Colorado’s rapid growth and the impact of new interchanges on VMT and GHG emissions.

**Improving Travel Modeling:** For the last several years, CDOT has worked to develop Colorado’s first-ever statewide travel model, which has included building out a travel forecasting team. A key point in this process was the choice between available travel model structures and software, selecting the newer “activity-based model” (ABM) form over the older and more traditional “trip-based model” form; CDOT adapted the ABM used at DRCOG, expanding it to statewide scale. While the ABM form is becoming common in large metropolitan areas across the US, very few statewide models have yet been built using this structure, which is important for evaluating factors like induced demand and the benefits of active transportation. The advantage of the ABM form is that it includes a much more detailed depiction of both land use and person/household characteristics than does the trip-based form, permitting ABMs to be sensitive to numerous factors that are known to have significant effects on travel choice. This will become a powerful tool for CDOT’s future analysis of its efforts to reduce GHG emissions. CDOT recognizes that each MPO has different modeling capabilities and we are working with MPO staff to determine how CDOT can support access to CDOT’s model or upgrades to the MPO’s

own tools.

CDOT's ABM provides capabilities that are crucial to the GHG analysis now on-going, including:

- Induced demand analysis: CDOT's model permits a thorough evaluation of the effects of roadway capacity expansion on the amount motorists choose to drive, and thus the subsequent impact on GHG emissions.
- Explicit inclusion of walking and biking in the set of modes available for any given trip (older trip-based models as a rule do not include these modes).
- Direct estimates of whether employees work from home or at another location.
- Depiction of household and employment locations at a high level of detail, greatly enhancing CDOT's ability to evaluate the effect on travel demand of various development patterns.
- Depiction of Colorado residents at a level of detail similar to that provided by the US Census, permitting sensitivity to demographic characteristics that affect people's travel choices (e.g., student and worker status, age, income, etc.)

## **II.E. Bringing more voices into the transportation conversation:**

Transportation planning should be a conversation with our neighbors about the real needs that affect their daily lives. To that end, one of CDOT's first efforts during the Polis Administration was to undertake an unprecedented outreach process that took Department leadership and staff to all 64 Colorado counties, to discuss a wide range of transportation needs and priorities that should guide the Department's capital program.

Out of this process, the Department developed a [ten year plan](#) responsive to community needs -- focused on key priorities like fixing roads and bridges across the state ("fix it first"), addressing strategic choke points on the interstate system like Floyd Hill and I-270, and placing a new focus on the safety and vitality of our main streets which carry multiple modes of transportation. The plan also focuses on better integrating transit into critical corridors like I-70 and I-25 to recognize that we can't build our way out of congestion and must take a multifaceted approach to accommodate Colorado's ongoing growth. This includes increasing CDOT's Bustang transit service and investing in new mobility hubs that increase access to transit and carpooling.

As we move to project implementation, and leveraging the relationships built out of the ten year plan process, CDOT is endeavoring to use new approaches to improve our communication with (and involvement of) communities around project planning and execution. This means coordinating early while projects are being designed, and remaining available for community input and dialogue as we manage tactical details such as construction schedules.

CDOT is piloting improvements to our community outreach processes in designing the I-270

process. Out of the necessity created by COVID-19, CDOT adapted public meetings to a virtual format and found that pre-recorded “virtual open houses” — available in both English and Spanish — significantly expanded participation beyond traditional public meetings. By incorporating holistic virtual opportunities in our public outreach portfolio, community members who may not have the ability to join live events have an opportunity to thoroughly engage with the project team. CDOT established a standing advisory group for the I-270 project that includes key local government partners as well as neighboring jurisdictions, community leaders, business owners, environmental justice advocates, and others. The goal of this “steering committee” is to preview ongoing analysis, identify project concerns, and establish a communication network with local communities. Early mitigation implementation is running parallel to these outreach efforts — a dozen air quality monitors will be installed along the I-270 corridor before the project even begins construction.

As these types of improvements are refined, they must become part of CDOT’s standard operating procedure, to ensure predictable and streamlined processes as well as consistent best practice. A key requirement in SB260 will help make this happen. The legislation requires the establishment of a new Environmental Justice and Equity Office within CDOT in order to “work directly with disproportionately impacted communities in the project planning, environmental study and project delivery phases of transportation capacity projects.” CDOT is currently in the process of establishing this Office.

#### **Working with Disproportionately Impacted Communities**

Specific to the transportation planning rule, CDOT is looking at ways to involve disproportionately impacted communities, not only as part of the rulemaking process, but also through the mitigation of GHGs during project delivery, construction, and ongoing maintenance. This includes both targeted, small group discussions and open public meetings.

### **III. Pollution Reduction Planning Approach**

The purpose of establishing greenhouse gas pollution standards for transportation plans is to determine and limit the GHG emissions which would result from the transportation system if the plan was implemented. Models (as described above) are used to assess the expected impacts that a project (or collection of projects) will have on consumer driving behavior. The goal is for planning level decisions to consider these impacts, among other considerations, and ensure that as state and MPO plans are updated and developed, projects within them fit within a fixed target when measuring cumulative emissions impacts.

From an air pollutant standpoint, connecting transportation planning to emissions is not a new policy area. In fact, transportation conformity provisions within the Clean Air Act approach ozone much the same way. Transportation conformity ensures that federally funded or approved highway and transit activities within a nonattainment area are consistent with



(“conform to”) a state’s plan to reduce emissions. Colorado’s front range has been in ozone nonattainment for many years, which has required the North Front Range and DRCOG MPOs to demonstrate conformity with each plan adoption and amendment.

### **III.A. Major policy issues and outstanding questions:**

The following section provides CDOT’s thinking to date--as informed by stakeholder discussions--on the major policy issues integral to this rule. Specific elements, including definitions, compliance and enforcement will be established in the formal rulemaking. The following sections outline CDOT’s current thinking based on pre-rulemaking stakeholder engagement.

**How the pollution reduction planning levels will be determined:** Unlike most air quality regulations, this rule cannot rely on known technological improvements (e.g. a scrubber or industrial process change) to determine reduction levels. Instead, we must estimate (i.e. model) the long-term change in travel decisions resulting from a series of potential infrastructure changes and investment decisions. A further challenge is selecting those decisions under the control of CDOT and the MPOs in order to align the reduction levels with the actions of those entities subject to the regulation and further to align the reduction levels to correspond to the upcoming planning cycles and corresponding modeling that is done as part of the plans. This timing is a key consideration that will be further discussed as part of the rulemaking.

To make this determination, CDOT modeled a series of scenarios to evaluate the impact of different measures or investments that CDOT or the MPOs could use to comply with the GHG reduction limits. These scenarios also will be used to calculate the potential costs and benefits of this rulemaking; a required component of the rule.

**CDOT selected a number of scenarios to model and determine their impact on VMT and GHGs.**

**1. Travel Choices**

- Additional sidewalk and bike lanes, increased work from home

**2. Travel Choices + Transit**

- Increase in transit service and decrease in fares

**3. Travel Choices + Transit + Land Use**

- Change in development patterns

**4. Acceleration of Vehicle Electrification**

As noted above, it is important to select scenarios that are under the control or influence of MPOs and CDOT. Land use is an area that merits particular consideration. MPOs and CDOT can play a role in incentivizing land use decisions that will be more efficient to accommodate

integrated transportation flows, reducing overall costs and increasing environmental and economic benefits and, perhaps more importantly, partner with local governments interested in transit-oriented development or infill. For example, as cities pursue downtown revitalization and housing, they also seek to add pedestrian features and calm traffic on state highways (which in many cases function as main streets). The traditional role of state highways are as corridors for freight and through-traffic; however more pedestrian-oriented state highways can attract infill housing development that could ultimately reduce greenfield development and the resulting congestion. For this reason, the impact of changes in land use is considered in these scenarios, and in the GHG reduction ranges in the proposed rule. However, it is important to note that land use changes occur slowly as new development or redevelopment occurs. Thus, the potential GHG reductions achieved by land use are best attributed to later target years (e.g. 2040) in the rulemaking.

**Magnitude of the GHG Reductions:** Based on the scenario development outlined above, CDOT envisions that this rule could reduce emissions by 0.5-1.5 million metric tons in 2030. CDOT anticipates providing a range of reductions for each compliance year in the rulemaking and soliciting input on these ranges. Ultimately, the rulemaking should ensure that the statewide and sub-regional emission reduction targets reflect a realistic upper range of feasible emissions reductions that CDOT and its partner MPOs can achieve. No one solution alone can address these issues - be it electrification or multimodal expansion - but a market basket of best practices and compliance options that can be suited to the project at hand can do so successfully. By working towards realistic but ambitious reduction totals, we can determine the realm of the possible and address the challenge before us.

**Offset Measures:** In order to maintain a stable and efficient transportation planning process, CDOT believes it is necessary to provide for the use of Offset Measures should a plan be unable to demonstrate compliance. Offset Measures (OMs) reduce GHG but are not “regionally significant” and are thus not included in the set of projects modeled for compliance. For example, offsets could include:

- Measures that reduce vehicle miles traveled, including new segments of sidewalk, or a connection to multi-use resources (e.g. neighborhood retail) that could decrease driving in that neighborhood.
- Operational measures that reduce emissions due to improvements to vehicles traveling through the system in the most emissions-efficient way (e.g. ramp metering).
- Features to facilitate clean vehicle turnover above and beyond what could be assumed to occur without the rule given other incentives for electrification. For example, a highway project along a key freight corridor might include targeted investments in heavy-duty charging to accelerate turnover of the rolling stock within that corridor, specifically. While it will be important to avoid “double counting” with other policies, this readiness for zero emission vehicles, especially

in the medium and heavy duty truck space, will be a critical need in future highway planning that this rule can and should accelerate.

Because these Offset Measures are expected to change over time, the draft rule will outline a process (likely a subsequent policy directive) for establishing an Offset Measure regime. This directive will include a list of approved Offset Measures, a “score” in terms of GHG reductions for different types of projects, as well as a clear evaluation process for how modeling/estimating for OMs should be conducted and approved -- including transparency measures -- to ensure a public conversation about that process as well as a resulting policy that can be nimble and iterative. This evaluation rubric could include metrics for assessing impact “hotspots” within residential neighborhoods, including potentially providing a higher level of credit to interventions based on community impact and health equity. .

**The Role of the Transportation Commission:** As noted elsewhere in this paper, the approach contemplated in this paper responds to two recent pieces of legislation (HB19-1261 and SB21-260). Specifically, the passage of SB 260 establishes additional requirements on the TC in this space, which is reflected in the approach that is being developed.

In HB19-1261, now codified in part at §§25-7-102(2) and 105(1)(e), C.R.S., the General Assembly declared that “climate change adversely affects Colorado’s economy, air quality and public health, ecosystems, natural resources, and quality of life[,]” and that “many of these impacts disproportionately affect” certain disadvantaged communities.” §25-7-102(2)(d), C.R.S. The Colorado General Assembly’s updated GHG reduction goals are outlined in a footnote on Page 1. Section 25-7-105(1)(e), C.R.S., sets forth the framework for developing GHG abatement rules consistent with the statewide GHG pollution reduction goals in §25-7-102(2)(g), C.R.S. It is expected that the TC rule will, at the outset, set an ambitious target for the pollution reduction planning, under the assumption that this policy will account for a meaningful portion of sector-wide progress in total GHG reductions., but nonetheless be one of many policies contributing towards that goal. Colorado’s transportation planning process is a cooperative process designed to coordinate regional transportation planning and is guided by statewide transportation policies set by CDOT and the TC and by the TC’s Rules Governing Statewide Transportation Planning Process and Transportation Planning Regions, 2 CCR 601-22 (“Statewide Planning Rules”). The TC’s authority to promulgate and adopt the Statewide Planning Rules can be found in §43-1-1103(5), C.R.S., which requires the TC to promulgate rules and regulations governing state transportation planning. The TC also has broad rulemaking authority pursuant to §43-1-106(8)(k), which grants the TC the power to make all necessary and reasonable orders, rules and regulations in order to carry out its authority and duties.

**The Role of MPOs:** MPOs are necessary entities in this rulemaking given their federally-required role in transportation planning. Colorado’s 5 MPOs have been close partners in developing this policy approach, providing CDOT regular and constructive feedback. CDOT will continue to work with the MPOs as the final details of the draft rulemaking are developed.

Listed below are several issues MPOs have raised to date:

- How to set statewide and regional GHG reduction levels without double-counting projects that appear in both CDOT and MPO plans.
- How to account for Colorado's rapidly growing population in setting GHG reduction levels.
- Differences in modeling capabilities across MPOs.
- Concerns about MPO ability to influence or change land use patterns.
- Importance of aligning analysis timing requirements with federal air quality staging periods (to prevent onerous, near continuous modeling burden).
- Applying enforcement provisions equally to MPOs and CDOT.

#### **MPO Planning Factors**

CDOT has a close working relationship with the State's Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs). The MPOs are required to implement a performance-driven planning process that:

- (1) Support the economic vitality of the metropolitan area, especially by enabling global competitiveness, productivity, and efficiency;
- (2) Increase the safety of the transportation system for motorized and non-motorized users;
- (3) Increase the security of the transportation system for motorized and non-motorized users;
- (4) Increase accessibility and mobility of people and freight;
- (5) Protect and enhance the environment, promote energy conservation, improve the quality of life, and promote consistency between transportation improvements and state and local planned growth and economic development patterns;
- (6) Enhance the integration and connectivity of the transportation system, across and between modes, for people and freight;
- (7) Promote efficient system management and operation;
- (8) Emphasize the preservation of the existing transportation system;
- (9) Improve the resiliency and reliability of the transportation system and reduce or mitigate stormwater impacts of surface transportation; and
- (10) Enhance travel and tourism.

**Personal Choice:** The Department recognizes that even the best model is imperfect, especially when modeling human behavior. Despite the efforts of CDOT, MPOs and transit agencies to build projects in ways that incorporate new technologies or offer additional travel and mode choice, individual Coloradans are ultimately in charge of how they get from point A to point B, and they may not behave precisely as the models predict. There is a rich and growing body of research on how humans interact with the transportation system including how travel decisions are made and the factors that influence the uptake of emerging and potential future mobility options. In general, people make travel choices based on travel time to their destination, cost and convenience, but different people assign different weights to these factors

and can also bring in additional preferences that reflect unique lifestyle choices, personal values and goals. Once travel preferences are established, they become ingrained habits that can be difficult to change. However, changes in life circumstances such as changing jobs, moving to a new home, having children, changes in health status or improvements to the transportation choices offered can trigger individuals to reassess travel habits. By expanding travel options, and designing projects in a way that incentivizes more efficient behavior including through a connection to sustainable land use, and by providing a wider variety of safe and convenient travel options in addition to driving an individual car, planners provide the opportunity for individuals to make different choices, but whether travelers actually shift into new modes of travel depends on which factors appeal to each unique traveler. This policy is focused on expanding options available to consumers.

Over time, as the state of the modeling practice improves in evaluating the many measures under consideration to reduce GHG emissions, CDOT's modeling tools will also improve in their ability to evaluate the effects of such measures. This is an important reason to include requirements in the rule to re-evaluate reduction levels-and adjust as necessary.

**VMT as a Strategy:** It is important to note that although VMT reduction is one strategy that can help to reduce GHG in the short-term, as more vehicles convert to electric technology, VMT is less of a factor in the creation of GHG emissions in the longer term. However, some stakeholders have suggested basing the emissions budget around a 10% reduction in VMT, a percentage discussed in the GHG Roadmap, or specifically making VMT the compliance mechanism of this rule. It's important to note that the metric of VMT, which was intended as a 10% reduction relative to business as usual in 2030, was included in a scenario as part of the GHG Roadmap to help achieve a future budget year emissions goals, but the percentage was never intended to be a required strategy for CDOT or the MPOs to achieve the GHG reduction levels. In fact, the proposed rule will show the combined impact of electric vehicles and reduced travel so that stakeholders can understand the varying influence these measures have over time. It's also important to note that this rule is not the only policy relevant to reducing VMT. Other measures such as the ETRP (trip reduction) rule, for example, are more relevant to the user side relative to the infrastructure itself.

**Enforcement:** Understanding how CDOT and MPOs comply with the pollution reduction planning standards will be complex and will require coordination over many years to come in order to ensure the modeling is reflecting the changes that are occurring in Colorado with respect to population, land use, and transportation electrification. Also, considering how CDOT and the MPOs factor in the cost of pollution reduction will be an important consideration. With significant public input, CDOT intends to recommend that the Transportation Commission (TC) develop an enforcement mechanism, likely related to the conditions and flexibility of federal funds that the TC approves for use by CDOT as well as those typically sub-allocated to MPO areas. There is significant precedent for tying the flexibility of federal aid highway funds to whether certain targets (such as road and bridge condition) are met. Many of the details around enforcement will be developed through the

rulemaking process.

#### **IV. Conclusion and Next Steps**

It is important to stress again that the Pollution Reduction Planning rule alone is not intended to achieve all of the emissions reductions identified in the Greenhouse Gas Roadmap for the transportation sector, and the Administration will concurrently advance policy dialogue in other areas, such as clean trucking, future car standards beyond 2025, additional incentives for compact land use and electric vehicle use, and major multimodal investments like Front Range rail, simultaneously with development of this policy. These efforts will require collaboration across multiple governing bodies with their respective expertise and authorities in order to achieve total Roadmap savings for the transportation sector. Each of these and additional policy tools will require rigorous review in assessing the impacts and efficacy observed over the years to come. Invariably, questions will require ongoing dialogue following this proposition and the creation of parallel CDOT policies, and the Department readily makes itself available for such conversations.

It is also important to note that this memo does not address the Air Quality Control Commission's role in measuring and confirming progress in the transportation sector, but is focused on briefing the TC ahead of their initiation of rulemaking specifically applicable to CDOT and sub recipients of transportation dollars. However, CDOT anticipates that the Air Pollution Control Division (APCD) will be responsible for verifying emission reductions and reporting along with CDOT to the AQCC on the determinations of the verification. Following the formal introduction of the TC rule, APCD staff will develop a proposed approach, in coordination with CDOT staff, for accomplishing verification. This verification process will be brought to the AQCC for consideration and will be memorialized in the form of a resolution adopted by the AQCC. The verification resolution will draw from the approach the APCD utilizes for verifying emission reductions from Clean Energy Plans overseen by the PUC.

CDOT staff will seek approval from the TC to officially commence a rulemaking to incorporate the new GHG standards into the statewide planning rules at their July meeting. If approved, the rulemaking could begin with a notice as soon as July 30, 2021. CDOT has updated its [Stakeholder Engagement](#) webpage, where stakeholders can sign up to be part of the rulemaking.

**ATTACH C**

To: Chair and Members of the Board of Directors

From: Douglas W. Rex, Executive Director  
303-480-6701 or [drex@drcog.org](mailto:drex@drcog.org)

Meeting Date	Agenda Category	Agenda Item #
August 4, 2021	Discussion	5

**SUBJECT**

Update on Regional Complete Streets Toolkit project.

**PROPOSED ACTION/RECOMMENDATIONS**

N/A

**ACTION BY OTHERS**

N/A

**SUMMARY**

Complete Streets are safe, context sensitive, inclusive, equitable, and flexible. They provide pedestrians, bicyclists, transit riders and other multimodal travelers the same access to safe comfortable streets as motorists.

DRCOG is developing a Regional Complete Streets Toolkit for the Denver region. The Toolkit will provide guidance for local governments to plan, design, and implement Complete Streets. It will provide strategies and give support to decision makers, planners, and designers to ensure that multimodal elements are appropriately and effectively incorporated into transportation projects. The Toolkit will also:

- Support connectivity and the development of a safe and comfortable transportation network for all modes and all users.
- Promote the use of the latest design criteria and guidelines for multimodal facilities.
- Establish a vision for how local governments could adopt and apply a complete streets policy.
- Create awareness and provide guidance on a variety of street design measures available to local jurisdictions in planning and engineering safe and comfortable streets for all users of the regional transportation system.

The Complete Streets Toolkit is also integrated with the 2050 Regional Transportation Plan (2050 RTP) and the 2024-2027 Transportation Improvement Program (2024-2027 TIP). The Toolkit's street typologies are incorporated in Chapter 2 of the 2050 RTP to work in tandem with the Regional Roadway System. And the Toolkit is intended to assist project sponsors in developing multimodal projects for the 2024-2027 TIP that help implement the 2050 RTP's project and program investment priorities and the Metro Vision Plan's outcomes and objectives.

The draft Toolkit will be reviewed by the project's Steering Committee in late July, with a public comment period planned in mid-August to mid-September. At the August 4 Board Work Session, DRCOG staff will provide an update on the Complete Streets Toolkit project.

**PREVIOUS DISCUSSIONS/ACTIONS**

N/A



PROPOSED MOTION
-----------------

N/A

ATTACHMENTS
-------------

Staff presentation

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
------------------------

If you need additional information, please contact Douglas W. Rex, Executive Director, at 303-480-4701 or [drex@drcog.org](mailto:drex@drcog.org); or Jacob Riger, Manager, Long Range Transportation Planning, at 303-480-6751 or [jriger@drcog.org](mailto:jriger@drcog.org)

# Regional Complete Streets Toolkit Update

Board Work Session  
August 4, 2021

Jacob Riger, AICP  
Alvan-Bidal Sanchez, AICP


The Toolkit provides:

- 1) guidance for local governments to **plan, design and implement Complete Streets**, and
- 2) strategies and support to decision makers, planners and designers to **ensure multimodal elements are incorporated into transportation projects**.



# Project goals

- Support connectivity and the development of a safe and comfortable transportation network for all modes and all users.
- Promote the use of the latest design criteria and guidelines for multimodal facilities.
- Establish a vision for how local governments could adopt and apply a Complete Streets policy.
- Develop a multimodal street design typology.
- Develop a Complete Streets toolkit to create awareness and provide guidance on a variety of street design measures
- Provide project definition design/function guidance for project sponsors applying for funding



# Function of the Toolkit?



Inform DRCOG project prioritization



Encourage cross-jurisdictional collaboration



Incentivize Complete Streets planning/design



Provide resources

# What is a street typology?

- A collection of common street designs
- Each prioritizes users and various elements based on the context and character
- Based on roadway function, modal priorities, and built environment
- Does not replace functional classification
- Changes along segments of a roadway
- Aspirational


# Street types in the Denver region

1. **Downtown Commercial Street**
2. **Downtown Mixed Use Street**
3. **Neighborhood Main Street**
4. **Mixed Use Street**
5. **Regional Connector Street**
6. **Neighborhood Connector Street**
7. **Industrial Street**
8. **Special Street**
9. **Rural Road**
10. **Mountain Road**
11. **Limited Access Highway**





# Regional Complete Streets Typology map

Denver Downtown




Western Clear Creek County





# 2050 Metro Vision Regional Transportation Plan



## Integrated into 2050 Metro Vision Regional Transportation Plan

Regional Complete Street typologies are included in the 2050 RTP to assist with defining investment priorities and strategies.

# What will the Toolkit include?

## Neighborhood Main Street

Neighborhood Main Streets are generally located in smaller communities or commercial areas, support a continuous building face with ground-floor retail, include a highly activated pedestrian realm, and support gathering and community events.



## Modal Priority



## Design Element Compatibility

Pedestrian elements		
H Sidewalks	H Street furniture	
H Lighting	H Shade	
General roadway elements		
H Traffic calming		M Travel lanes
H Access management		L Medians
Bicycle/micromobility elements		
H Bicycle/micromobility parking		M Bicycle facilities
Transit elements		
H Transit stops	H Mobility hubs	L Transit lanes
H Transit signal priority		
Intersection and crossing elements		
H Crosswalks	H Curb extensions	M Signalization
H Curb ramps	H Hardened centerlines	M Bikeways at intersections
H Raised crossings		M Protected corners
		L Median refuges
Curbside elements		
H Loading	H Wayfinding	
H Placemaking	H Parking	
Landscaping and irrigation elements		
H Street trees		
H Green infrastructure		

## For each street type:

- Illustrative graphic
- Modal priority
- Design element compatibility

# What will the Toolkit include?

## PEDESTRIAN ELEMENTS

### Street Furniture

Street furniture includes an array of elements, including benches, trash and recycling receptacles, bollards, transit stops and shelters, decorative planters, and more. Seating is a critical component to each street and includes temporary and permanent fixtures such as chairs, benches, seat walls, steps, and raised planters. The location and type of seating element should respond to adjacent land uses, available shade from either structures or street trees, the presence of parallel parking buffering the seating area from vehicular traffic, and the width of the amenity zone.

Trash and recycling receptacles are important for active, busy streetscapes and are necessary to minimize litter. Receptacles should be located in areas with high volume of travel and all transit stops. Other street furniture such as bollards, transit stops and shelters, and decorative planters are important for many types of streets. Site-specific conditions and project goals will dictate where these elements are most appropriate.

Street furniture is most applicable to Downtown Commercial Streets, Downtown Mixed-Use Streets, Neighborhood Main Streets, Mixed-Use Streets, and Special-Use Streets.

#### Planning and design guidance

Permanently installed furnishings must not impede pedestrian access to adjacent structures or create conflicts with the opening of car doors and access to fire hydrants.

Durable, vandal resistant materials and designs should be selected to withstand both climate and urban environments, as well as sun damage and deter pest infiltration. Internal trash or recycling bins should be readily accessible by and convenient for maintenance crews.



Street furniture includes an array of elements and should not impede pedestrian access.

## PEDESTRIAN ELEMENTS

### Shade

Providing much-needed shade in the arid, high altitude climate in the Denver Region, is important. During warm seasons, shade can provide relief, make streets more attractive, provide an opportunity for public art, and create a comfortable and pedestrian-friendly environment. Shade is a critical element of inviting plazas and reclaimed spaces, and also helps to reduce the urban heat island effect.

Shade as a design element is most applicable to Downtown Commercial Streets, Downtown Mixed-Use Streets, Neighborhood Main Streets, Mixed-Use Streets, and Special-Use Streets.


#### Planning and design guidance

Consider providing shade structures or native trees adjacent to street seating and within the vicinity of transit stops. Large shade trees are ideal for delineating outdoor spaces; select appropriate species that support a diverse urban forest. Consider daily temperature differences and a locations' orientation to the sun when planning and designing shade to be most effective during the hottest part of the day. Also consider any conflicts with sightlines or visibility.

#### Supporting resources

[Colorado State University – Native Trees for Colorado Landscape](#)

[Environmental Protection Agency – Heat Island Resources](#)



Shade can provide much needed relief and creates a pedestrian-friendly environment.

## High-level design element guidance for multiple categories:

- Pedestrian
- General roadway
- Bicycle and micromobility
- Transit
- Intersections and crossings
- Curbside
- Landscaping





**THANK YOU!**  
**QUESTIONS?**

Jacob Riger, AICP | [jriger@drcog.org](mailto:jriger@drcog.org)

Alvan-Bidal Sanchez, AICP | [asanchez@drcog.org](mailto:asanchez@drcog.org)