Lack of staff capacity to fully understand and go after grants. resources etc and devote time needed.

lack of availa ble infrastructure

development barriers in mountains (water rights, development costs, NIMBY)

Availability of

Leveraging

state and

federal

funding

Clear

council

policy

Water/Cost

Data bases and data systems, too many gaps between them, they don't 'talk'

People that "get it".

"well...not everyone

but don't care. I.e.,

can live here" is a

quote heard from

resistance from

residents to AH in

areas/redeveloping

officials and

older

areas.

some residents

concern with density and housing types other than SFD

Anti-growth

sentiment in

general

e the biggest housing-related about nges facing your jurisdiction?

Business sector needs more housing options

to support employees

challenges however

they are not proactive

enough in support of

sound housing policy

Funding for

Affordable

Development

Housing

due to labor

or strategy

How to preserve existing affordable units before the market drives scrapes and replacement of higher end units

Providing affordable for sale housing

Cost of Construction/Inability to include affordable housing within a market rate project

Development

costs

Community support for added development

٦b۲,

Educating public that "affordable" means the full housing ladder. including people like themselves that got in the door before it became too expensive

lack of understanding about what "affordable housing"

means

On a per acre basis multi-family is the highest water use. This is very challenging for us given significant water constraints

Clear Council policy

lack of new available housing due to size of town, Wastewater capacity for new development.

Concerns regarding density from surrounding single-family residential areas

Lack of current data/assessment on needs

> Lack of political will and resident desire

across jurisdictions

Need more

aligned goals

HUD

Community support that is louder than NIMBY voices

> General public doesn't understand the connection between housing affordability and supporting a local workforce

are not

New home price points affordable

Lack of current streamlined development review process

complicated & extensive development process with few opportunities for administrative review

Charter height and density limits

Lack of staffing resources

lack of staff resources

units

Calibrating standards so the market actually produces

Too many federal/state restrictions with housing and development financing

the big sing-related opportunities facing your jurisdiction? Underutilized large, institutional type Regional sites (churches, collaborations dying strip malls, etc.) We have any. No Existing "naturally More State and Lots of people room or will occuring affordable" desire for and how to preserve legislature for growth are them while enabling Redevelopment have been recognizing within the solutions property upgrades Areas more the issues Town. proactive transit Greenfield Possible investment = growth in the development creation of developable more region = Emerging/ripening areas, active Access to new land opportunities discussion across multi-jurisdictional demand for private Inclusionary audiences regarding for increasing housing partnership housing partners housing needs density requirements Political The need for some political housing challenges Funding Starting from support, are impacting other housing is will, and New housing is assistance for sectors, shining a being built - it's well to a point. growing new projects light on the matter of getting understood at importance of especially at (Prop 123) lower price - points the elected regional and

virtually no affordable housing, so lots of room for improvement

Good regional (and national)

examples for

approaches to

reform zoning

and process

needing provide

more housing

Some

NOAH

Comprehensive Plan to set clear housing goals and policy direction

New Funding Mechanisms (i.e., Prop 123)

level

land for new. dense development Support for affordable housing projects from key decision makers

state levels

TOD

/ housing diversity

What challenges are bigger than what your jurisdiction can handle alone?

Room 1: state-wide or metro effort around education to deal with NIMBY piece

legislative items impacting communities

cost of development

coordination with increasing transit opportunities (e.g. employment access) engage the business sector because elected officials listen to them

role clarification what each stakeholder can or should play

city/county/state

communication (business sector, resident attitudes)

figuring out state items together

legislative pushes too focused on large cities

What opportunities might benefit from regional coordination?

Room 1:

guiding of communities layers (mayors, commissioners, staff) - don't need to come up with own ideas

Opportunity to outline what you can and can't do with certain funding - not just local interpretation

how to tackle for smaller communities, different settings (e.g. rural, mountains)

e.g. small communities can't compete for LIHTC under CHFA's model

system prioritizes large jurisdictions

if it's undeveloped in the city, there's an infrastructure issue that the state could invest in bring down cost of land that way

water/land

shared funding opportunities

shaping programs, interpretation of programs/funding

find opportunities to save time in review especially with same building code (clearinghouse?) identify
opportunities for
cross-collaboration
(especially small
communities)

alignment between services and programs (not just housing, but human services offerings)

Room 2:

Lack of

needs

understanding

about changing

demographics that

will impact housing

Competing values.

example...Housing

wanting to grow as

a region. Managing

the trade offs

affordability. Not

What challenges are bigger than what your jurisdiction can handle alone?

This is complex. Every jurisdictions' codes have gotten more complicated. Tools on the surface seem great. Navigating the complexity takes time

Which "levers" actually work in different contexts? (e.g., produce "affordable units")

understanding of the tools. Which ones will work?

Concerns with growth in general and lack of understanding about housing challenges in the region (and nation)

Local role in addressing regional housing needs

Statutory

County

limitations of

government

challenges with workforce and essential workers

Difficult to obtain enough funding for housing development when a small city

regional investments infrastructure

> **Building trust** across counties and cities

spatial and economic decisions

elected officials in the regional context, DRCOG in a unique position to connect the dots between different

parts of the region.

Proving data to

Water!

Utilities and related fees (we are not a full service city)

Who has what

authority to use the

different tools. For

example: Counties

cannot land bank.

Cities can.

Room 2:

What opportunities might benefit from regional coordination?

access to spatial and economic data. workforce data

> proforma templates that can breakdown what it takes to build units

Data explanations to elected officials. More difficult forlocal government can't do

Pattern book of densities and real examples

what housing types and densities look like

virtual tour of

Transportation investments and identifying locations for housing. Urban centers and corridors

communications to change the dialogue

What challenges are bigger than what your jurisdiction can handle alone?

Costs, need for new waste water Treatment Plant, Lack of desire for growth by land owners Homelessness is a challenge that is larger than one jurisdiction

water is one of the larger challenges that could benefit from regional collaboration Funding to support affordability gap in market Infrastructure costs; water, sewer and road infrastructure

Educating public and local elected officials to understand the affordable housing needs.

Room 3: Having enough water supply to support new growth

What opportunities might benefit from regional coordination?

Encouraging / developing more affordable housing near transit - TOD areas for example Water would benefit from regional coordination Helping to raise awareness in the community about regional projects and programs that are happening. This can help increase a sense of working with others.

Regional trainings for elected officials

State / regional public subsidies to support affordable housing development Helping to raise awareness in the community about regional projects and programs that are happening. This can help increase a sense of working with others.

Coordination
between
communities with
higher job centers
coordinating with
adjacent
communities with
fewer jobs, and
more residential.

Room 3: What challenges are bigger than what your jurisdiction can handle alone?

Homelessness

Homelessness

Staff capacity to leverage grants/understand resources and potential opportunities/impacts from the various programs and pending legislation, et

Homelessness

Access to current data / needs analysis

The market

housing developers with mixed income experience

Multi-family owners who'd rather keep their units vacant than lowering rental rates

Room 4: Cost of Housing

What opportunities might benefit from regional coordination?

Political will is there

Model zoning ordinance provisions to support affordability, mixed-income housing, ADUs, etc

construction defects / middle-income education/facilitation of conversations

Funding

Clarity on Prop 123 and what the 90 fast track actually means

Linking politicians who set policy with the staff who actually have to do the work Serving as gap between local control preference and state mandates re zoning

Room 4: