

**Arapahoe Transportation Forum: County Transportation Priorities & Funding
Technical Committee Meeting #16
February 20, 2020; 11:00am-1:00pm
6934 S. Lima St., Centennial (Open Space's, Bijou Room)
Draft Agenda**

Objectives:

- Update on Executive Committee direction on distribution formula
 - Discuss potential source of funds
-

11:00 Welcome and introductions

11:05 Public Comment session – Please let the facilitator know if you would like to share

11:15 Approve: Revised and Approve Tech. Committee's Meeting Summary:

- Jan 16,2020 Summary

11:20 FYI – Direction from Executive Committee – two points (further discussion on this at next meeting)

1. **Present:** Continue to develop details on a possible countywide funding mechanism with a distribution formula of: 55% capital/ 45% Maintenance – all to jurisdictions
 - Simple
 - Sell to voters that jurisdictions will continue to value and contribute to multimodal and regional efforts
2. **Discussion:** Need more description/data on how “by lane miles” is simple and fair(the right distribution); AND/OR if there is another option.
 - What are the criteria for how to distribute? Simple, fair, ?, ?
 - Which option best meets the criteria?
 - How to communicate the best distribution method?

11:30 Source – What is the right source for generating a possible countywide funding mechanism?

- **Discussion:** What are the key questions/criteria for which source is best?
- **Presentation & Discussion:** Possible options and possible revenue generation
 - Option: Property Tax – 1mil = \$12.1 million annually
 - Option: Sales Tax – 0.25 = \$26.5 million annually
 - Option: Vehicle Registration - \$10 cap = \$5.9 million annually (based on # of reg. 2018)
 - Option: ?

Next Steps

- Next meetings:
 - Mar 19, Technical Committee Meeting – Lima Plaza, Open Space's Bijou Room
 - Apr 16, Technical Committee Meeting – Lima Plaza, Arapahoe Boardroom

1:00 Adjourn

REMINDERS:

Process Steps

- Draft best option (governance & distribution) - Tech. Committee and Forum Exe. Committee
- Decide whether to take next step, decide whether to go for a countywide funding mechanism –
- Public Involvement/Education
- Public Polling
- Decide whether to seek a countywide funding mechanism (refined)
- Ballot language
- Submit Ballot language
- Election

Draft Best Option for Funding Mechanism (showing where agreement was made)

- 1) **Source:**
- 2) **Governance:** (? Who collects/distributes, manages, decides ?)
- 3) **Distribution**
 - a. **Formula:** 55% Capital + 45% Maintenance

55% Capital	45% Maintenance
<p>Definition</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New asset, replacement of entire structure (full life cycle, starts at 0) • Requires higher level of structural engineering • Improvements, obvious ones and <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Operational – physical improvements ○ Mobility/Capacity – may include corridor-wide technical improvements (e.g., adaptive signal systems, I-V) ○ Safety - big physical improvements • Not smaller technology improvements, must be corridor wide improvements 	<p>Definition</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Components (not whole replacement) – e.g., bridge deck replacement (not bridge replacement), roadway overlay, chip, or slurry (not complete rebuild or improvements)
<p>Formula</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Jurisdictions receive amount based on • Jurisdictions receive (?all, ?some and some for grant-type process) 	<p>Formula</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Jurisdictions receive amount based on • Jurisdictions receive

- b. **Additional Distribution agreements:** ???

4) **Other elements**

- Include a sunset, with renew options (**AGREEMENT: 11/21/19 Tech Mtg**)

Extra information, in case

- **Definition of Multimodal/Commuter (11/2019 mt):** Commuter focused (not recreation); Countywide focused; Not trails (covered by Open Space)

DRAFT