

DRAFT - Meeting Summary

Broomfield Subregional Forum

September 10, 2018

8:00 AM

Council Conference Room

1 Descombes Drive

Broomfield, CO 80021

1. Introductions - Meeting opened at 8:01

Chair Beacom, Mayor Ahrens, Councilmembers Groom, Kreeger and Shaff (arrived approx 8:30)

DRCOG- Todd Cottrell

CDOT - Andy Stratton, Danny Hermann, JoAnn Mattheson

RTD - Paul Derocher (on the phone)

Broomfield Staff: Kevin Standbridge, Katie Allen, Tom Schomer, Sarah Grant

2. Public Comment

No members of the public present

3. Review of Meeting Summary from August 24, 2018 Subregional Forum

Chair Beacom asked for any comments regarding the meeting summary. No comments.

4. Regional TIP Projects, Match Requirements & Commitments

a. SH 7 (Brighton to Boulder) Preliminary & Environmental Engineering

Sarah Grant reviewed the project and funding commitments for 50/50 match as discussed at the 8-24 meeting. At that meeting the Forum wanted to ask our partners at the Adams and Boulder County Subregions if they would be interested in leveraging a 60/40 match. Ms. Grant shared that at the Boulder County Subregional Forum met on Friday, September 7th. The Forum voted for a 60/40 match if both Adams County and Broomfield also contributed, if either one does not vote for a 60/40, then Boulder would match at 50/50. Adams County will meet this Wednesday to discuss final commitments.

b. US 36 Bikeway Realignment & Safety Project

Sarah Grant reviewed the US 36 realignment project and current estimated costs for the project

that are subject to change with final detailed review of budget/scope. The estimated budget impact for 50/50 and 60/40 was provided.

Councilmember Kreeger had a question about budget impact to federalize. Staff responded the estimated budget impact is estimated at about \$500,000, but that can vary depending on the economic conditions that are present when project is implemented, compared to known conditions today. as well as the budget impact of time to implement the project, though, even without federalizing the project, funding with local dollars we still would have to involve CDOT as we are in their ROW for part of the project.

Todd Cottrell also mentioned that the Boulder County corridor communities felt that this was a good regional project and important to Boulder County residents and that if funding falls through on this round, to convene with Boulder County communities on strategies to implement the project.

5. Regional Projects: Inter-subregional Support Requests

a. Boulder County Request: US 287: Longmont to US 36/Broomfield

Sarah presented the the Boulder County request and informed the Forum that US 287 was identified in the 2014 NAMS as a candidate BRT corridor, this study would further that with a feasibility study. The request is for \$9,524 of non-federal match for the \$500,000 project.

The match commitments were then reviewed for the three projects, showing the options that showed the most potential budget impact. Staff provided clarification to Forum members about projects costs and matches.

Council member Kreeger had a question about US 287 and if we could get a 60/40 match on the project. In order to get to a 60/40 match Broomfield could contribute an additional \$50,000, to reduce the regional request, but there is not opportunity to discuss other funding match scenarios from other jurisdictions as Boulder County has completed their commitments to the projects.

Councilmember Groom stated that she felt the funding match as requested is fine and that it has no impact on the Broomfield Subregional fund either.

Action: The Forum voted unanimously to approve the Boulder County request for \$9,524 non-federal source for US 287: BRT Study.

The Forum continued to discuss the Broomfield match to the two Broomfield submittals.

Action: The Forum voted unanimously to support SH 7 with a 60/40 match, should Adams County also contribute a 60/40 match. If Adams County does not then Broomfield would support a 50/50 match.

Action: The Forum voted unanimously to support the US 36 Realignment project with a 60/40 match.

6. Subregional Project Criteria & Potential Projects

Chair Beacom introduced the topic with a suggestion that DRCOG remind us of the process that is involved with the Subregional projects and that next meeting we would be able to go more in depth on this topic.

Due to limited time Councilmember Groom requested that the Forum suggest what they would like to talk about for the duration of the meetings regarding the Subregional Call and that she could extend her stay until 10:15. Councilmember Kreeger suggested to talk about types of projects to consider for the next meeting. Chair Beacom suggested that we have a short review of the Subregional Call.

Mr. Cottrell reviewed with the Forum that the Subregional will likely be due end of March or mid-April and that the call would likely open in late January. We have until the end of the year to decide if we would like to add criteria or change the weighting and to discuss types of projects we would like to put on our list. We can continue to refine the project list and submittals through January/February.

Councilmember Kreeger would like to discuss some creative and forward thinking projects for the Subregional round. Suggested the Forum discuss autonomous vehicles, Uber/Lyft (private transportation network companies) and public-private partnerships to bring transit to the North Area of Broomfield. Also suggested we could look at supporting public transit services such as Broomfield's Easy Ride and RTD's Call N Ride. The pilot could also look at other neighborhoods like Lac Amora.

Paul Desrocher from RTD shared some current pilots going on the Denver Metro that RTD is monitoring with the Regional Mobility Working Group, that Broomfield is participating in. Another local example is the Lone Tree Link partnership with Lyft and another TNC (Transportation Network Company) pilot in Centennial a couple years ago. RTD is also working on First and Final Mile study which will come up with some pilot projects and program suggestions, US 36 & Broomfield Station is one of the stations that is being studied.

Mayor Ahrens suggested that we look at circulators near Flatiron Crossing and Interlocken areas and create partnerships with the employers in the area to increase transit ridership. Mayor also suggested that we look at 120th Ave for BRT and how we might be able to get this NAMS project moving forward.

Chair Beacom asked if there were any other ideas at this time and if none suggest that we schedule the meeting at 8:30 to better accommodate morning schedules, the Forum members supported an 8:30 AM meeting. The invitation to coordinate will come from the Manager's Office to schedule the next meetings.

Chair Beacom suggested that the meeting close and if there are further questions that staff will be available.

7. Meeting Adjourned - 10:15 AM