

## AGENDA

### TIP Review Work Group – Mtg. 10

Friday, May 13, 2016

9:00 a.m. - 11:00 a.m.

1290 Broadway

Independence Pass Board Room - Ground floor, West side

1. Call to Order
2. April 15, 2016 - Meeting Summary  
(Attachment A)
3. Discussion on guidelines for regional funding allocation process.  
(Attachment B)
4. Discussion on meeting dates.  
(Attachment C)
5. Adjournment

#### Upcoming meeting dates

To be determined

Persons in need of auxiliary aids or services, such as interpretation services or assisted listening devices, are asked to contact DRCOG at least 48 hours in advance of the meeting by calling (303) 480-6744.



**We make life better!**



## ATTACHMENT A

### MEETING SUMMARY

### TIP REVIEW WORK GROUP – Mtg. 9

Wednesday, April 15, 2016 - 9:00 a.m.

#### PARTICIPANTS PRESENT:

Jeanne Shreve	Adams County
Kent Moorman	Adams County, City of Thornton
Bryan Weimer	Arapahoe County
Mac Callison	Arapahoe County, City of Aurora
Steve Klausing	Business
Danny Herrmann	CO Dept. of Transportation
Janice Finch	Denver, City and County
David Gaspers	Denver, City and County
Doug Rex	DRCOG
Steve Cook	DRCOG
Art Griffith	Douglas County
John Cotten	Douglas County, City of Lone Tree
Mike Salisbury	Environmental
Steve Durian	Jefferson County
Dave Baskett	Jefferson County, City of Lakewood
Ken Lloyd	RAQC
Ted Heyd	TDM/Non-motor

DRCOG Staff: Todd Cottrell, Will Soper, Brad Calvert, Casey Collins

#### Call to Order

The meeting was called to order at 9:03 a.m.

#### February 3, 2016 Summary

The summary was accepted as written.

#### Discussion of draft timelines for the adoption of the 2020-2025 TIP

Todd Cottrell presented two alternative draft timeline proposals for the adoption of the next TIP - assuming either the 'traditional' model or the 'dual' model for the next TIP cycle.

The draft timelines note the Work Group will tentatively evaluate both models over the next six months and bring a model recommendation for the Board's consideration in October 2016. The TIP Review Work Group will then turn its focus on the remaining white paper recommendations and present its conclusions to the Board in early 2017.

The timeline also proposes the formation of a TIP Policy Group in mid 2017, which will lead the detailed discussions related to the 2020-2025 TIP policy document scheduled to be completed in March 2018. A call for projects is expected to start in May 2018.

Suggestions from members of the Work Group on the draft schedule included:

- Add expected dates for the completion of the draft TIP Policy document and when DRCOG committees are scheduled to act on recommending the TIP Policy document to the Board.
- Consider moving up the Board's anticipated formation of the TIP Policy Group from May 2017 to February or March.
- Make the Board aware of a need to form a TIP Policy Group in October 2016 with the Work Group TIP model recommendation.

Discussion of topics to be addressed regarding the Dual Model for TIP project selection

Steve Cook presented a list of topics identified for further discussion over the next six months to evaluate the Dual Model, along with a framework to guide the evaluation process.

Suggestions of other topics included:

- Need to discuss the adequacy of Phase I funding target percentages.
- Need to consider the frequency of TIP calls.
- Having off-the-top funding programs compete at the same time as the TIP.
- Need a more precise definition of regional and subregion concepts. Need to determine subregion description, by county or some another geographic unit (i.e., TPR, CDOT region, etc.).
- Having a list of "pre-qualified" projects (a regional priority list) that would identify the projects with the greatest regional benefit.
- For subregions, need to have some kind of a 'standard' for municipal participation. (to make smaller jurisdictions more comfortable about process)
- Include person miles traveled, not just vehicle miles, in the funding allocation criteria.
- Need to determine who will measure project benefits. Is it DRCOG or subregion?
- Consider unique eligibility aspects (i.e., maintenance /reconstruction) Is it set by DRCOG or subregion?
- More discussion needed on defederalizing certain funds.

Doug Rex said next meeting's focus could be the regional pot. A member suggested overlaying CDOT region maps in the geographic discussion.

The work group agreed on holding a monthly meeting every 2<sup>nd</sup> Friday at 9:00 a.m. Staff will email Outlook meeting invites to the work group.

The meeting adjourned at 10:48 a.m. The next meeting is scheduled for May 13, 2016 at 9:00 a.m.

## ATTACHMENT B

To: TIP Review Work Group  
From: Todd Cottrell, Senior Transportation Planner  
303 480-6737 or [tcottrell@drcoq.org](mailto:tcottrell@drcoq.org)

Meeting Date	Agenda Category	Agenda Item #
May 13, 2016	Discussion	3

### SUBJECT

Discussion on considerations and guidelines for the regional funding allocation component of the Dual Model.

### PROPOSED ACTION/RECOMMENDATIONS

Discussion

### ACTION BY OTHERS

N/A

### SUMMARY

At the April 15, 2016 meeting, staff presented background information and conceptual topics related to the Dual TIP Project Selection Model. The Dual Model topics fell into three general categories: the overall dual model process, the regional funding allocation, and the subregional funding allocation.

The May 13 discussion will focus on the regional funding allocation process. Attachment B-1 is similar to what was presented last month for the regional allocation section, but updated to reflect and expand upon topics discussed at the last meeting.

### PREVIOUS DISCUSSIONS/ACTIONS

N/A

### PROPOSED MOTION

N/A

### ATTACHMENT

B-1 Dual TIP Selection Model Discussion

### ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

If you need additional information, please contact Todd Cottrell, at 303-480-6737 or [tcottrell@drcoq.org](mailto:tcottrell@drcoq.org).

# ATTACHMENT B-1

## Regional Funding Allocation Process Discussion

(May 13, 2016)

### Regional Funding Allocation

1. What is the purpose of the regional funding allocation?
2. How should eligible regional projects/programs be defined?
  - a. Should a definition be established up front? “Regional projects/programs are ... that accomplish ... or do ... or touch... or include...”
  - b. What is the regional value/benefit of a proposed project/program?
  - c. Additional considerations:
    - i. Facility type **hierarchy** high to low (freeways → major regional arterials → principal arterial?) (rail transit → BRT → regional routes → etc.)
    - ii. **Size** (width: X number of lanes or lane miles; length: facility is X miles long)
    - iii. **Volume** (# of vehicles, persons, users, etc.)
    - iv. **Cost** (Projects over \$xx million?)
    - v. **“Regional”** (Project crosses more than one county? Other measurable methods)
3. What types of projects/programs should be eligible for the regional allocation?
  - a. Roadways: Capacity projects?; Large Reconstruction?; Operational?
    - i. Assume ALL will have multimodal elements
  - b. Transit: Physical facilities – stations and travelways? Rolling stock? Operations?
  - c. Bicycle facilities: Serve defined “priority” corridors? Serve “regional” attraction/facility?
  - d. Pedestrian facilities: Regionwide/county/citywide defined efforts – e.g. ADA? Missing sidewalks? Serve a “regional” attraction/facility?
  - e. Other? (regional pavement maintenance, asset management program, others?) Set-aside “pool” vs. funding out of regional allocation
4. What type of evaluation criteria should be used for final selection?
  - a. Rigorous/Detailed criteria - similar to current TIP?
  - b. Broad/Simple criteria (yes/no, essay, high-medium-low)?
  - c. Something in the middle?
  - d. None? Talley votes or sum of individual rankings? Other methods?
5. How should the application process happen?
  - a. Timing with subregional allocation selections?

## ATTACHMENT C

To: TIP Review Work Group  
From: Todd Cottrell, Senior Transportation Planner  
303 480-6737 or [tcottrell@drco.org](mailto:tcottrell@drco.org)

Meeting Date	Agenda Category	Agenda Item #
May 13, 2016	Discussion	4

### SUBJECT

Discussion on meeting dates for the TIP Review Work Group.

### PROPOSED ACTION/RECOMMENDATIONS

N/A

### ACTION BY OTHERS

N/A

### SUMMARY

At the April 15, 2016 meeting, the Work Group suggested holding meetings on the 2<sup>nd</sup> Friday of each month at 9:00 a.m. However, it has come to our attention some members are unable to attend due to previously scheduled standing meetings.

As a result, staff would like to canvas the Work Group for other meeting dates and times over the next 6 months. Please bring you calendars!

### PREVIOUS DISCUSSIONS/ACTIONS

[April 15, 2016](#) TIP Review Work Group

### PROPOSED MOTION

At the discretion of the Work Group

### ATTACHMENT

N/A

### ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

If you need additional information, please contact Todd Cottrell, at 303-480-6737 or [tcottrell@drco.org](mailto:tcottrell@drco.org).