

CASOA™

COMMUNITY ASSESSMENT SURVEY
FOR OLDER ADULTS™

Aurora, CO

Community Assessment Survey for Older Adults

September 2022



8001 Terrace Ave Middleton, WI 53562
info.polco.us • 608-709-8683

Table of Contents

Section 1: Introduction	1
Section 2: Key Findings	6
Section 3: Understanding the Report	15
Section 4: Community Readiness	16
Section 5: Community Livability Topics	19
Section 6: Overall Community Quality	
Section 6A: Place to Live and Retire	22
Section 6B: Recommend and Remain in Community	24
Section 7: Community Design	
Section 7A: Housing	26
Section 7B: Land Use	29
Section 7C: Mobility	31
Section 8: Employment and Finances	
Section 8A: Employment	34
Section 8B: Finances	37
Section 9: Equity and Inclusivity	
Section 9A: Community Inclusivity	40
Section 9B: Equity	43
Section 10: Health and Wellness	
Section 10A: Health Care	45
Section 10B: Independent Living	48
Section 10C: Mental Health	51
Section 10D: Physical Health	54
Section 10E: Safety	58
Section 11: Information and Assistance	
Section 11A: Information on Available Older Adult Services	60
Section 11B: Quality of Older Adult Services	64
Section 12: Productive Activities	

Section 12A: Caregiving	66
Section 12B: Civic Engagement	69
Section 12C: Social Engagement	73
Section 13: Economic Contribution	77
Section 14: Community Needs	80
Section 15: Full Results (with No Opinion)	85
Section 16: Full Results (excluding No Opinion)	110
Section 17: National Benchmark Comparisons	134
Section 18: Methods	150

Section 1: Introduction



About the Community Assessment Survey for Older Adults®

The Community Assessment Survey for Older Adults (CASOA)® provides a statistically valid survey of the strengths and needs of older adults as reported by older adults themselves. This report is intended to enable local governments, community-based organizations, the private sector and other community members to understand more thoroughly and predict more accurately the services and resources required to serve an aging population. With this data, community stakeholders can shape public policy, educate the public and assist communities and organizations in their efforts to sustain a high quality of life for older adults.



The results of this exploration will provide useful information for planning and resource development as well as strengths advocacy efforts and stakeholder engagement. The ultimate goal of the assessment is to create empowered communities that support vibrant older adult populations.

This report summarizes how older residents view their community and its success in creating a thriving environment for older adults. Aspects of livability are explored within six community dimensions: Community Design, Employment and Finances, Equity and Inclusivity, Health and Wellness, Information and Assistance, and Productive Activities. Overall community quality also is assessed.

Domain of Community Livability	Description	Community Livability Topics
 <p>Overall Community Quality</p>	Measuring community livability starts with assessing the quality of life of those who live there, and ensuring that the community is attractive, accessible, and welcoming to residents of all ages.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Place to Live and Retire Recommend and Remain in Community
 <p>Community Design</p>	A well-designed community enhances the quality of life for its residents by encouraging smart land use and zoning, ensuring that affordable housing is accessible to all, and providing mobility options to support residents aging in place.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Housing Mobility Land Use
 <p>Employment and Finances</p>	Communities that work to foster sustainable growth, create jobs and workforce training for persons of all ages, and promote equitable economies ensure older adults are able to sustain their financial well-being through retirement and not outlive their life investments.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Employment Finances
 <p>Equity and Inclusivity</p>	A community is often greater than the sum of its parts. Having a sense of community entails not only a sense of membership and belonging, but also feelings of safety and trust in the other members of the community.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Equity Community Inclusivity
 <p>Health and Wellness</p>	The amenities available in the communities have a direct impact on the health and wellness of residents, and thus, on their quality of life overall.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Safety Physical Health Mental Health Health Care Independent Living
 <p>Information and Assistance</p>	Government programs, policies and information assistance can support successful aging initiatives allowing older residents to remain independent contributors to community quality.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Quality of Older Adult Services Information on Available Older Adult Services
 <p>Productive Activities</p>	Productivity is the touchstone of a thriving old age. Older adults' engagement and contribution to the community can be determined by their time spent in civic meetings and social activities or providing help to others.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Civic Engagement Social Engagement Caregiving

Survey Methods

The CASOA survey instrument and its administration are standardized to assure high-quality survey methods and comparable results across communities. Households with an adult member 60 years or older were selected at random. Multiple mailed contacts gave each household more than one prompt to participate. A total of 5,585 older adult households were randomly selected to receive the survey. These households first received a half-page postcard inviting them to complete the survey online, followed by a mailed hard copy survey packet which included a cover letter, a copy of the questionnaire and a postage-paid return envelope. A total of 513 completed surveys was obtained, providing an overall response rate of 9.55% and a margin of error plus or minus 4.33% around any given percent and one point around any given average rating for the entire sample (e.g., average number of caregiving hours). Results were statistically weighted to reflect the proper demographic composition of older adults in the entire community.

In addition to the random sample "probability" survey, an open participation survey was conducted, in which all older adults 60 years or older were invited to participate. The open participation survey instrument was identical to the probability sample survey. This survey was conducted entirely online. A total of 2 surveys were completed by open participation survey respondents. The open participation survey results were combined with responses from the probability sample survey, for a total of 515 completed surveys. With the inclusion of the open participation survey participants, it is likely that the precision of the responses would be even greater (and thus the margin of error smaller).

Results were statistically weighted to reflect the proper demographic composition of older adults in the entire community.

Since this was the second implementation of CASOA in City of Aurora, the current results are presented along with the prior results when available. Differences in responses between the survey administrations were tested for statistical significance, and statistically significant differences are noted in the charts. Trend data represent important comparisons and should be examined for improvements or declines.

For additional details on the survey methodology, see the Methods section.

How the Results Are Reported

Don't Know Responses and Rounding

On many of the questions in the survey, respondents could provide an answer of don't know. The proportion of residents giving this reply can be seen in Responses. However, these responses have been removed from the analyses presented in the body of the report, unless otherwise indicated. In other words, the majority of the tables and graphs in the body of the report display the responses from respondents who had an opinion about a specific item.

For some questions, respondents were permitted to select multiple responses. When the total exceeds 100% in a table for a multiple response question, it is because some respondents are counted in multiple categories. When a table for a question that only permitted a single response does not total to exactly 100%, it is due to the customary practice of rounding percentages to the nearest whole number.

Benchmark Comparison Data

National Research Center at Polco has developed a database that collates responses to CASOA and related surveys administered in other communities, which allows the results from City of Aurora to be compared against a set of national benchmarks. This benchmarking database includes responses from more than 35,000 older adults (age 55 and over) in over 327 communities across the nation.

Ratings are compared when similar questions are included in Polco's database and when there are at least five other communities in which the question was asked. Where comparisons for ratings are available, City of Aurora's results are shown as more favorable than the benchmark, less favorable than the benchmark or similar to the benchmark. In instances where ratings are considerably more or less

favorable than the benchmark, these ratings have been further demarcated by the attribute of "much" (for example, much more favorable or much less favorable).

Section 2: Key Findings

Background

Most older adults desire to age in place. Communities that assist older adults in remaining or becoming active community participants must provide the requisite opportunities for recreation, transportation, culture, education, communication, social connection, spiritual enrichment and health care.

To better understand the strengths and challenges of Colorado communities aging in place, the Colorado Association of Area Agencies on Aging partnered with Polco to administer The Community Assessment Survey for Older Adults (CASOA[®]) across all Area Agencies on Aging across the state. Data in this report focus specifically on older residents in City of Aurora.

Survey participants rated the overall quality of life in their community. They also evaluated their communities as livable communities for older adults within six domains:

- Community Design
- Employment and Finances
- Equity and Inclusivity
- Health and Wellness
- Information and Assistance
- Productive Activities.

The extent to which older adults experience challenges within these domains is also described.

Overall Community Quality

Measuring community livability for older adults starts with assessing the quality of life of those who live there, and ensuring that the community is attractive, accessible, and welcoming to all. Exploring how older residents view their community overall and how likely they are to recommend and remain in their communities can provide a high-level overview of the quality and livability of the community.

- About 73% of older residents living in the city rated their overall quality of life as excellent or good. Most of the older respondents scored their communities positively as a place to live and would recommend their communities to others. About 83% residents planned to stay in their community throughout their retirement.
- Positive scores were given to their communities as places to retire by 63% of older residents.

Overall Scores of Community Livability

The Community Assessment Survey of Older Adults (CASOA) is designed to examine the status of older adults and the community around many (17) topics of livability within six domains: Community Design, Employment and Finances, Equity and Inclusivity, Health and Wellness, Information and Assistance, and Productive Activities. Summary scores of community livability were created through the aggregation of a series of resident ratings within each of these different livability aspects and domains. Of the 17 aspects of livability examined, the aspects found to be strongest in the city related to areas of Mobility (average positive score of 66%), Social Engagement (59%), and Health Care (58%). The areas showing the greatest need for improvement related to Housing (22%), Information on Available Older Adult Services (23%) and Independent Living (30%). More detailed information about each livability domain follows.

Community Design

Livable communities (which include those with mixed-use neighborhoods, higher-density development, increased connections, shared community spaces and more human-scale design) will become a necessity for communities to age successfully. Communities that have planned and been designed for older adults tend to emphasize access, helping to facilitate movement and participation.

- About 49% of respondents rated the overall quality of the transportation system (auto, bicycle, foot, bus) in their community as excellent or good. In many communities, ease of travel by walking or bicycling is given lower ratings than travel by car. Here, ease of travel by car was considered excellent or good

by 86% of respondents, while ease of travel by walking and bicycling was considered excellent or good by 77% and 78% of respondents, respectively.

- When considering aspects of housing (affordability and variety) and community features of new urbanism (where people can live close to places where they can eat, shop, work, and receive services), relatively lower scores were given by older adults compared to many other items on the survey. Only 13% of respondents gave a positive score to the availability of affordable quality housing in their communities, and only about 29% older adults gave excellent or good ratings to the availability of mixed-use neighborhoods.
- About 44% of older residents in the city reported experiencing housing needs and 24% reported mobility needs.

Employment and Finances

The life expectancy for those born between 1940 and 1960 has increased dramatically due to advances in health care and lifestyle changes. While this is a very positive trend overall, it also highlights both the importance of communities providing employment opportunities for older adults and the need for older adults to plan well for their retirement years.

- About 69% of older residents rated the overall economic health of their communities positively, although the cost of living was rated as excellent or good by only 18%.
- Employment opportunities for older adults (quality and variety) received low ratings (32% and 32% positive, respectively), and the opportunity to build work skills also was found to be lacking (32% excellent or good).
- About 31% older adults reported financial challenges and 17% reported employment needs.

Equity and Inclusion

A community is often greater than the sum of its parts. Having a sense of community entails not only a sense of membership and belonging, but also feelings of equity and trust in the other members of the

community.

- About 49% of older residents rated the sense of community in their towns as excellent or good, and neighborliness was rated positively by 43% of residents.
- About 63% of the respondents positively rated their community's openness and acceptance toward older residents of diverse backgrounds, and 43% indicated that their community valued older residents.
- Inclusion challenges were reported by about 24% of older residents and equity challenges by 11%.

Health and Wellness

Of all the attributes of aging, health poses the greatest risk and the biggest opportunity for communities to ensure the independence and contributions of their aging populations. Health and wellness, for the purposes of this study, included not only physical and mental health, but issues of safety, independent living and health care.

- About 71% older residents in the city rated their overall physical health as excellent or good and 86% rated their mental health as excellent or good.
- In most places, opportunities for health and wellness receive higher ratings from older adults than do health care ratings. Here, community opportunities for health and wellness were scored positively by 55% residents, while the percent giving ratings of excellent or good to the availability of physical health care was 59%, to mental health care 51%, and to long term care options 26%.
- Health-related problems were some of the most common challenges listed by older adults in the survey, with 38% reporting physical health challenges and 26% reporting mental health challenges. Health care was also a challenge for about 33% of older residents.

Information and Assistance

The older adult service network, while strong, is under-resourced and unable to single-handedly meet the needs of the continuously growing population of older adults. Providing useful and well-designed programs, as well as informing residents about other assistance resources, is an important way that government agencies can help residents age in place.

- The overall services provided to older adults in the city were rated as excellent or good by 36% of survey respondents.
- About 39% of survey respondents reported being somewhat informed or very informed about services and activities available to older adults. The availability of information about resources for older adults was rated positively by 19% of older residents and the availability of financial or legal planning services was rated positively by 29% of older residents.
- About 38% of older adults were found to have information access challenges in the city.

Productive Activities

Productive activities outside of work (such as volunteerism and social activity) promote quality of life and contribute to active aging. This domain examines the extent of older adults' participation in social and leisure programs and their time spent attending or viewing civic meetings, volunteering or providing help to others.

- About 47% of older adults surveyed felt they had excellent or good opportunities to volunteer, and 40% participated in some kind of volunteer work.
- The caregiving contribution of older adults was substantial in the city. About 31% of older residents reported providing care to individuals 55 and older, 9% to individuals 18-54 and 16% to individuals under 18.
- Older adults in the city reported challenges with being civically engaged 21%, being socially engaged 26% and caregiving 11%.

The Economic Contribution of Older Adults

The contribution older adults make through employment, volunteerism and caregiving was calculated for all older adults living in the city. It is estimated that older residents contribute \$1,802,217,928 annually to their community through paid and unpaid work.

Older Resident Needs

Through the survey, more than 40 challenges commonly facing older adults were assessed by respondents. These challenges were grouped into 15 larger categories of needs. In the city, the largest challenges were in the areas of housing, information about older adult services, and physical health. At least 44% of older residents reported at least one item in these categories was a major or moderate problem in the 12 months prior to taking the survey.

Comparison to National Benchmarks

Community Characteristics Benchmarks

To better provide context to the survey data, resident responses for the city were compared to Polco's national benchmark database or older adult opinion. Of the 52 assessments of community livability that were compared to the benchmark database, 47 were similar, 2 above, and 3 below the benchmark comparisons.

The areas in which the city ratings were lower than benchmark comparisons were:

- Your neighborhood as a place to live
- Overall feeling of safety in your community
- Overall quality of natural environment in your community

The areas in which the city rating was higher than benchmark comparisons were:

- Ease of travel by car in your community
- Availability of daytime care options for older adults

Older Adult Challenges Benchmarks

Comparisons to the benchmark database can also be made for the proportion of residents experiencing a variety of challenges. In the city, there was a lower proportion of older adults experiencing challenges for 5 item(s), a greater proportion of older adults experiencing challenges for 1 item(s), and a similar proportion experiencing challenges for 36 item(s).

The challenge for which a **greater** proportion of residents reported a problem compared to benchmarks was:

- Being treated unfairly or discriminated against because of your age

The challenges for which a **lower** proportion of residents reported a problem compared to benchmarks were:

- Finding affordable health insurance
- Getting the vision care you need
- Finding meaningful volunteer work
- Having interesting recreational or cultural activities to attend
- Having interesting social events or activities to attend

Comparison of Ratings Over Time

Community Characteristics Trends

The COVID-19 pandemic was disruptive to many areas of community livability and resulted in profound impacts on many older adults lives around the world, nation and in communities throughout Colorado. It is important to keep these disruptions in mind while comparing results from 2018 with the present results.

Of the 33 assessments of community livability that could be compared over time (questions that were asked on both the 2018 and current survey instruments), the ratings were similar for 20 items.

The one area where ratings improved since 2018 was:

- Ease of travel by car in your community

The 12 areas of community livability that showed a decline in quality ratings from 2018 (most relating to activities and opportunities that were impacted by the pandemic) were:

- How would you rate the overall services provided to older adults in your community?
- Cost of living in your community
- Availability of affordable quality food
- Availability of information about resources for older adults
- Availability of financial or legal planning services
- Availability of long-term care options
- Recreation opportunities (including games, arts, library services, etc.)
- Fitness opportunities (including exercise classes and paths or trails, etc.)
- Opportunities to participate in community matters
- Opportunities to volunteer
- Opportunities to enroll in skill-building or personal enrichment classes
- Opportunities to attend religious or spiritual activities

Resident Challenges Trends

Of the 35 potential challenges facing older adults assessed through the survey that were asked in both 2018 and 2022, there were 27 potential challenges for which a similar proportion of residents reported each were a problem in both survey time periods.

The 2 challenges where the proportion of older adults reporting a problem decreased in 2022 compared to 2018 were:

- Your physical health
- Dealing with the loss of a close family member or friend

The 6 challenges where the proportion of older adults reporting a problem increased in 2022 were:

- Having enough money to pay your property taxes
- Maintaining your home
- Maintaining your yard

- Having safe and affordable transportation available
- Building skills for paid or unpaid work
- Getting the health care you need

Section 3: Understanding the Report

Throughout this report, iconography is used to denote trends and benchmarks. While some pages will show the legend, others won't for the sake of space. Keep this page handy for reference.

Trends

**Favorably**

At least 7 percentage points more favorable than last measure

**Similar**

No statistically significant difference

**Unfavorably**

At least 7 percentage points less favorable than last measure

Benchmarks

**Much more favorable**

At least 20 points more favorable than benchmark

**More favorable**

10-20 points more favorable than benchmark

**Similar**

No statistically significant difference

**Less favorable**

10-20 points less favorable than benchmark

**Much less favorable**

At least 20 points less favorable than benchmark

Section 4: Community Readiness


Communities that assist older adults to remain or become active community participants must provide the requisite opportunities for recreation, transportation, culture, education, communication, social connection, spiritual enrichment and health care. Because every community is different, each must identify what its older adults value most and what the community offers its older residents. The judgments of these residents provide the elements used to calculate the overall community quality in City of Aurora.

Survey respondents were asked to rate a number of aspects of the community. These ratings were converted to an average scale of 0 (the lowest rating, such as poor) to 100 (the highest rating, such as excellent) and then combined to provide one overall rating (index¹) for each of the six dimensions of Community Readiness, as well as an overall rating of the Quality of the Community. If trend data prior to 2022 are shown, it should be noted that community readiness scores have been updated from previous reports to improve these metrics. Readiness scores for past surveys were recalculated using the new dimensions to make them comparable to the current structure.

Community Readiness Chart

Dimension	Community Livability Topics	Score (out of 100)
Overall Community Quality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Place to Live and Retire • Recommend and Remain in Community 	56
Community Design	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Housing • Mobility • Land Use 	43
Employment and Finances	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Employment • Finances 	23
Equity and Inclusivity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Equity • Community Inclusivity 	36

Dimension	Community Livability Topics	Score (out of 100)
Health and Wellness	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Safety • Physical Health • Mental Health • Health Care • Independent Living 	37
Information and Assistance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Quality of Older Adult Services • Information on Available Older Adult Services 	22
Productive Activities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Civic Engagement • Social Engagement • Caregiving 	37



¹These ratings are not to be understood like ratings from school tests, because they are summaries of several questions that range from 0 as poor, 33 as fair, 67 as good and 100 as excellent. For example, a score of 58 should be interpreted as closer to good than to fair (with the midpoint of the scale, 50, representing equidistance between good and fair).

Section 5: Community livability topics

The Community Assessment Survey of Older Adults (CASOA) is designed to examine the status of older adults and the community around many (17) aspects of livability within six domains: Community Design, Employment and Finances, Equity and Inclusivity, Health and Wellness, Information and Assistance, and Productive Activities. Overall community quality also is assessed with two topics. (See **Introduction** section *About the Community Assessment Survey for Older Adults* for an overview of all the community livability topics within these domains.) For each of these 19 community livability topic areas, survey questions evaluated the community's ability to accommodate the needs of older residents, as well as the actual experiences and challenges of older adults.

To summarize the data, an index score was calculated for each aspect of livability by averaging the ratings given to the questions related to the specific community livability topic. (This index includes only the ratings of community amenities, not those items related to the respondents' own status or the challenges faced by the respondent.)

i Certain trends unavailable

Because Aurora, CO doesn't have prior measurements for certain topics, those topics don't have trend values. All topics will have trends after this survey has been conducted a second time.

Overall Community Quality



Place to Live and Retire
72 / 100



Recommend and Remain in Community
76 / 100 →

Community Design



Housing
22 / 100





Land Use
49 / 100





Mobility
66 / 100





Employment and Finances





Equity and Inclusivity



Health and Wellness



Information and Assistance



Productive Activities



Caregiving

Scoring not applicable



Civic Engagement

39 / 100



Social Engagement

59 / 100

Section 6A: Place to Live and Retire

Successful aging communities are attractive and welcoming to older adults and provide the support necessary for residents to age in place.



i Livability score trend unavailable.

Because Aurora, CO doesn't have a prior livability score, trends for livability aren't available. Trends will be available after this survey has been conducted a second time.

Related survey results

Items on the survey related to this topic are shown below. These tables display the ratings given by respondents, as well as a comparison to the national benchmark (average ratings from communities across the nation) and, if available, comparisons to ratings from the most recently implemented survey.

i Certain trends unavailable

Because Aurora, CO doesn't have any prior measurements for certain characteristics, those characteristics don't have trend values. All characteristics will have trends after this survey has been conducted a second time.

Quality of Community

Percent reporting *excellent* or *good*.

Characteristic	% positive	Trend	National Benchmark
Your community as a place to live	76%	→	–
Your neighborhood as a place to live	75%	N/A	∨
The overall quality of life in your community	73%	N/A	–
Your community as a place to retire	63%	→	–


Status Indicators - Personal Quality of Life

Percent reporting *excellent* or *good*.


Characteristic	% positive	Trend	National Benchmark
Your overall quality of life	83%	→	–

Section 6B: Recommend and Remain in Community

A strong testament to the quality of a community is the likelihood of residents recommending and remaining in the community. Generally, residents will not recommend a community to friends unless they believe that community is offering the right amenities and services. Furthermore, communities that do a good job supporting seniors allow their residents to remain throughout their retirement years.



* Only applies to last two measurements



Related survey results

Items on the survey related to this topic are shown below. These tables display the ratings given by respondents, as well as a comparison to the national benchmark (average ratings from communities across the nation) and, if available, comparisons to ratings from the most recently implemented survey.

Quality of Community

Percent reporting *very likely* or *somewhat likely*.

Characteristic	% likely	Trend	National Benchmark
Remain in your community throughout your retirement	83%	→	–
Recommend living in your community to older adults	68%	↘	–

Section 7A: Housing

Most older adults want to age in place; however, many do not have homes that feature universal design allowing access for walkers and wheelchairs and providing safety for those who are frail or experiencing mobility impairments. Ensuring the availability of housing stock that is both affordable and suitable for seniors is necessary as a community's population ages.



i Livability score trend unavailable.

Because Aurora, CO doesn't have a prior livability score, trends for livability aren't available. Trends will be available after this survey has been conducted a second time.

Related survey results

Items on the survey related to this topic are shown below. These tables display the ratings given by respondents, as well as a comparison to the national benchmark (average ratings from communities across the nation) and, if available, comparisons to ratings from the most recently implemented survey.

i Certain trends unavailable

Because Aurora, CO doesn't have any prior measurements for certain characteristics, those characteristics don't have trend values. All characteristics will have trends after this survey has been conducted a second time.

Potential Problems

Percent reporting *minor problem*, *moderate problem* or *major problem*.

Characteristic	% problematic	Trend	National Benchmark
Doing heavy or intense housework	67%	→	–
Maintaining your home	64%	↘	–
Maintaining your yard	57%	↘	–
Having housing to suit your needs	28%	→	–

Quality of Community

Percent reporting *excellent* or *good*.

Characteristic	% positive	Trend	National Benchmark
Variety of housing options	30%	→	–
Availability of accessible housing (e.g., homes with a no step entry, single-floor living, wide hallways and doorways)	22%	N/A	–
Availability of affordable quality housing	13%	→	–

Section 7B: Land Use

The movement in America towards designing more livable communities (which include mixed-use neighborhoods, higher-density development, increased connections, shared community spaces and more human-scale design) will become a necessity for communities to age successfully. Communities that have planned and been designed for older adults tend to emphasize access, helping to facilitate movement and participation.



i Livability score trend unavailable.

Because Aurora, CO doesn't have a prior livability score, trends for livability aren't available. Trends will be available after this survey has been conducted a second time.

Related survey results

Items on the survey related to this topic are shown below. These tables display the ratings given by respondents, as well as a comparison to the national benchmark (average ratings from communities across the nation) and, if available, comparisons to ratings from the most recently implemented survey.

i Trends unavailable

Because Aurora, CO doesn't have any prior measurements, these trends aren't available. Trends will be available after this survey has been conducted a second time.

Quality of Community

Percent reporting *excellent* or *good*.

Characteristic	% positive	National Benchmark
Overall quality of the utility infrastructure in your community (water, sewer, storm water, electric/gas, broadband)	65%	–
Overall design or layout of your community's residential and commercial areas (e.g., homes, buildings, streets, parks, etc.)	62%	–
Public places where people want to spend time	40%	–
Availability of mixed-use neighborhoods where people live close to places where they can eat, shop, work, and receive services	29%	–

Section 7C: Mobility

The ease with which older residents can move about their communities, whether for commuting, leisure, or recreation, plays a major role in the quality of life for all who live, work, and play in the community. Those who reside in livable communities where they can reach their destinations easily and comfortably by auto, on foot or in public transportation are more likely to remain engaged in their communities and to demonstrate signs of successful aging. Residents that must give up driving are more likely to be able to age in place if other modes of transportation are easily accessed in their neighborhood and community.



i Livability score trend unavailable.

Because Aurora, CO doesn't have a prior livability score, trends for livability aren't available. Trends will be available after this survey has been conducted a second time.

Related survey results





Items on the survey related to this topic are shown below. These tables display the ratings given by respondents, as well as a comparison to the national benchmark (average ratings from communities across the nation) and, if available, comparisons to ratings from the most recently implemented survey.

i Certain trends unavailable

Because Aurora, CO doesn't have any prior measurements for certain characteristics, those characteristics don't have trend values. All characteristics will have trends after this survey has been conducted a second time.










Potential Problems

Percent reporting *minor problem*, *moderate problem* or *major problem*.

Characteristic	% problematic	Trend	National Benchmark
Having safe and affordable transportation available	42%		
No longer being able to drive	13%		

Quality of Community

Percent reporting *excellent* or *good*.

Characteristic	% positive	Trend	National Benchmark
Ease of travel by car in your community	86%		
Ease of bicycling in your community	78%	N/A	
Ease of walking in your community	77%		
Ease of getting to the places you usually have to visit	73%	N/A	
Overall quality of the transportation system (auto, bicycle, foot, bus) in your community	49%	N/A	
Ease of travel by public transportation in your community	31%		

Section 8A: Employment

People in the U.S. are working longer and retiring at an older age than they have in the past. Older adults are postponing retirement for a variety of reasons: improved health, the desire to accumulate additional wealth and/or benefit from delayed pension plans, and because the information age-based economy is less physically demanding than jobs from the industrial age. Older workers are an untapped resource for many communities seeking economic stability and growth.



i Livability score trend unavailable.

Because Aurora, CO doesn't have a prior livability score, trends for livability aren't available. Trends will be available after this survey has been conducted a second time.

Related survey results

Items on the survey related to this topic are shown below. These tables display the ratings given by respondents, as well as a comparison to the national benchmark (average ratings from communities across the nation) and, if available, comparisons to ratings from the most recently implemented survey.

i Certain trends unavailable

Because Aurora, CO doesn't have any prior measurements for certain characteristics, those characteristics don't have trend values. All characteristics will have trends after this survey has been conducted a second time.

i Certain benchmarks unavailable

Because this survey includes new characteristics, we can't determine your benchmark performance on the new characteristics until a sufficient number of communities have completed surveying.

Potential Problems

Percent reporting *minor problem*, *moderate problem* or *major problem*.

Characteristic	% problematic	Trend	National Benchmark
Building skills for paid or unpaid work	36%	↘	–
Finding work in retirement	29%	→	–


Quality of Community

Percent reporting *excellent* or *good*.

Characteristic	% positive	Trend	National Benchmark
Opportunities to build work skills	37%	N/A	—
Variety of employment opportunities for older adults	32%	N/A	—
Quality of employment opportunities for older adults	32%	→	—

Section 8B: Finances

With longer life spans, the importance of financial well-being in old age has increased dramatically. Financial independence and the ability to economically contribute to a community have become critical factors in enhancing the quality of life of older adults.



i Livability score trend unavailable.

Because Aurora, CO doesn't have a prior livability score, trends for livability aren't available. Trends will be available after this survey has been conducted a second time.

Related survey results

Items on the survey related to this topic are shown below. These tables display the ratings given by respondents, as well as a comparison to the national benchmark (average ratings from communities across the nation) and, if available, comparisons to ratings from the most recently implemented survey.

i Certain trends unavailable

Because Aurora, CO doesn't have any prior measurements for certain characteristics, those characteristics don't have trend values. All characteristics will have trends after this survey has been conducted a second time.

Potential Problems

Percent reporting *minor problem, moderate problem or major problem*.

Characteristic	% problematic	Trend	National Benchmark
Having enough money to meet daily expenses	44%	→	–
Having enough money to pay your property taxes	40%	↓	–


Quality of Community

Percent reporting *excellent or good*.

Characteristic	% positive	Trend	National Benchmark
Overall economic health of your community	69%	N/A	–
Cost of living in your community	18%	↓	–

Status Indicators - Household Financial Status

Percent reporting *very positive* or *somewhat positive*.

Characteristic	% positive	Trend	National Benchmark
What impact, if any, do you think the economy will have on your family income in the next 6 months? Do you think the impact will be:	15%	N/A	

Section 9A: Community Inclusivity

Inclusivity refers to a cultural and environmental feeling of belonging. Creating places in which any individual or group can be and feel welcomed, respected, supported, and valued increases the overall livability of communities.



i Livability score trend unavailable.

Because Aurora, CO doesn't have a prior livability score, trends for livability aren't available. Trends will be available after this survey has been conducted a second time.

Related survey results

Items on the survey related to this topic are shown below. These tables display the ratings given by respondents, as well as a comparison to the national benchmark (average ratings from communities across the nation) and, if available, comparisons to ratings from the most recently implemented survey.

i Certain trends unavailable

Because Aurora, CO doesn't have any prior measurements for certain characteristics, those characteristics don't have trend values. All characteristics will have trends after this survey has been conducted a second time.

i Certain benchmarks unavailable

Because this survey includes new characteristics, we can't determine your benchmark performance on the new characteristics until a sufficient number of communities have completed surveying.

Potential Problems

Percent reporting *minor problem*, *moderate problem* or *major problem*.

Characteristic	% problematic	Trend	National Benchmark
Feeling like you don't fit in or belong	35%	N/A	—
Having friends or family you can rely on	34%	→	—
Feeling lonely or isolated	30%	→	—


Quality of Community

Percent reporting *excellent* or *good*.

Characteristic	% positive	Trend	National Benchmark
Making all residents feel welcome	65%	N/A	—
Sense of community in your community	49%	→	—
Neighborliness of your community	43%	→	—


Section 9B: Equity

Opportunities for health, income, housing and other life circumstances are often disproportionate. Strong communities work to decrease inequalities so that all residents can successfully age in place.



* Only applies to last two measurements

Livability score over time



Related survey results


Items on the survey related to this topic are shown below. These tables display the ratings given by respondents, as well as a comparison to the national benchmark (average ratings from communities across the nation) and, if available, comparisons to ratings from the most recently implemented survey.

i Certain trends unavailable

Because Aurora, CO doesn't have any prior measurements for certain characteristics, those characteristics don't have trend values. All characteristics will have trends after this survey has been conducted a second time.





Potential Problems

Percent reporting *minor problem*, *moderate problem* or *major problem*.

Characteristic	% problematic	Trend	National Benchmark
Being treated unfairly or discriminated against because of your age	33%	N/A	


Quality of Community

Percent reporting *excellent* or *good*.

Characteristic	% positive	Trend	National Benchmark
Openness and acceptance of the community towards older residents of diverse backgrounds	63%		
Valuing older residents in your community	43%		


Section 10A: Health Care

Poor health does not need to be an inevitable consequence of aging. Adoptions of healthy lifestyles and the use of preventive services will reduce the risk of morbidity and increase healthy longevity of older residents.



* Only applies to last two measurements

Livability score over time



Related survey results

Items on the survey related to this topic are shown below. These tables display the ratings given by respondents, as well as a comparison to the national benchmark (average ratings from communities across the nation) and, if available, comparisons to ratings from the most recently implemented survey.

Potential Problems

Percent reporting *minor problem*, *moderate problem* or *major problem*.

Characteristic	% problematic	Trend	National Benchmark
Affording the medications you need	38%	→	–
Getting the oral health care you need	34%	→	–
Getting the health care you need	32%	↘	–
Finding affordable health insurance	29%	→	^
Getting the vision care you need	28%	→	^


Quality of Community

Percent reporting *excellent* or *good*.

Characteristic	% positive	Trend	National Benchmark
Availability of affordable quality physical health care	59%	→	–
Availability of preventive health services (e.g., health screenings, flu shots, educational workshops)	56%	→	–


Section 10B: Independent Living

If the community cannot help maintain the independence of residents who experience the decline in health that often accompanies aging, the potential contribution of older residents will be lost to hospitals and nursing homes.



* Only applies to last two measurements

Livability score over time



Related survey results


Items on the survey related to this topic are shown below. These tables display the ratings given by respondents, as well as a comparison to the national benchmark (average ratings from communities across the nation) and, if available, comparisons to ratings from the most recently implemented survey.

i Certain trends unavailable

Because Aurora, CO doesn't have any prior measurements for certain characteristics, those characteristics don't have trend values. All characteristics will have trends after this survey has been conducted a second time.


Average Number of Hours Providing Care

Average number of hours spent per respondent in a typical week

Characteristic	Average # of Hours	National Benchmark
Receiving assistance, paid or unpaid (e.g., with shopping, cooking, etc.)	0.3	

Potential Problems

Percent reporting *minor problem*, *moderate problem* or *major problem*.

Characteristic	% problematic	Trend	National Benchmark
Performing regular activities, including walking, eating and preparing meals	20%	N/A	

Quality of Community

Percent reporting *excellent* or *good*.

Characteristic	% positive	Trend	National Benchmark
Availability of daytime care options for older adults	39%	→	^
Availability of long-term care options	26%	↘	-

Status Indicators - Activities

Percent reporting *1 to 3 hours*, *4 to 5 hours*, *6 to 10 hours*, *11 to 19 hours* or *20 or more hours*.

Characteristic	% of respondents	Trend	National Benchmark
Receiving assistance, paid or unpaid (e.g., with shopping, cooking, etc.)	6%	N/A	∨


Status Indicators - Long-term Care Admissions

Percent reporting *1-2 days*, *3-5 days* or *6 or more days*.

Characteristic	% of respondents	Trend	National Benchmark
In a long-term care facility (including nursing home or in-patient rehabilitation facility)	0%	N/A	-


Section 10C: Mental Health

Mental health plays a vital role in the well-being of residents. Depression, isolation, anxiety and memory loss can have a direct and profound effect on older adults' quality of life.



* Only applies to last two measurements

Livability score over time



Related survey results

Items on the survey related to this topic are shown below. These tables display the ratings given by respondents, as well as a comparison to the national benchmark (average ratings from communities across the nation) and, if available, comparisons to ratings from the most recently implemented survey.

Potential Problems

Percent reporting *minor problem, moderate problem or major problem*.

Characteristic	% problematic	Trend	National Benchmark
Feeling depressed	41%	→	–
Dealing with the loss of a close family member or friend	32%	↗	–
Experiencing confusion or forgetfulness	25%	→	–

Quality of Community

Percent reporting *excellent or good*.

Characteristic	% positive	Trend	National Benchmark
Availability of affordable quality mental health care	51%	→	–

Status Indicators - Personal Health Status

Percent reporting *excellent* or *good*.

Characteristic	% positive	Trend	National Benchmark
Your overall mental health/emotional wellbeing	86%	→	—

Section 10D: Physical Health

Of all the attributes of aging, health poses the greatest risk and the biggest opportunity. When good health can be sustained, the individuals benefit by living a life of better quality, friends and family benefit from reduced caregiving burdens, and the community benefits by harnessing the power of older adults' contributions.



i Livability score trend unavailable.

Because Aurora, CO doesn't have a prior livability score, trends for livability aren't available. Trends will be available after this survey has been conducted a second time.

Related survey results

Items on the survey related to this topic are shown below. These tables display the ratings given by respondents, as well as a comparison to the national benchmark (average ratings from communities across the nation) and, if available, comparisons to ratings from the most recently implemented survey.

i Certain trends unavailable

Because Aurora, CO doesn't have any prior measurements for certain characteristics, those characteristics don't have trend values. All characteristics will have trends after this survey has been conducted a second time.







Potential Problems

Percent reporting *minor problem*, *moderate problem* or *major problem*.

Characteristic	% problematic	Trend	National Benchmark
Staying physically fit	62%	→	–
Your physical health	53%	↗	–
Maintaining a healthy diet	42%	→	–
Falling or injuring yourself in your home	31%	→	–
Having enough food to eat	9%	→	–



Quality of Community

Percent reporting *excellent* or *good*.

Characteristic	% positive	Trend	National Benchmark
Overall quality of natural environment in your community	68%	N/A	
Fitness opportunities (including exercise classes and paths or trails, etc.)	60%		
Overall health and wellness opportunities in your community	55%	N/A	
Availability of affordable quality food	46%		



Status Indicators - Falls

Percent reporting *1 to 2 times*, *3 to 5 times* or *more than 5 times*.

Characteristic	% of respondents	Trend	National Benchmark
Thinking back over the past 12 months, how many times have you fallen and injured yourself?	29%		

Status Indicators - Hospitalizations

Percent reporting *1-2 days*, *3-5 days* or *6 or more days*.

Characteristic	% of respondents	Trend	National Benchmark
As a patient in a hospital	17%		


Status Indicators - Personal Health Status

Percent reporting *excellent* or *good*.

Characteristic	% positive	Trend	National Benchmark
Your overall physical health	71%	→	-


Section 10E: Safety

Safety is one of the most essential components of community livability. The extent to which older residents feel safe from crime and other adverse events can strengthen their feelings of comfort and trust and impact their ability to live independently.



* Only applies to last two measurements

Livability score over time



Related survey results

Items on the survey related to this topic are shown below. These tables display the ratings given by respondents, as well as a comparison to the national benchmark (average ratings from communities across the nation) and, if available, comparisons to ratings from the most recently implemented survey.

Potential Problems

Percent reporting *minor problem*, *moderate problem* or *major problem*.

Characteristic	% problematic	Trend	National Benchmark
Being a victim of fraud or a scam	28%	→	–
Being a victim of crime	18%	→	–
Being physically or emotionally abused	4%	→	–


Quality of Community

Percent reporting *excellent* or *good*.

Characteristic	% positive	Trend	National Benchmark
Overall feeling of safety in your community	54%	→	↓


Section 11A: Information on Available Older Adult Services

Sometimes residents of any age fail to take advantage of services offered by a community solely because they are not aware of the opportunities that exist. Educating a large community of older adults is not simple, but raising awareness about attractive, useful and well-designed programs will lead more residents to benefit from becoming participants.



* Only applies to last two measurements

Livability score over time



Related survey results

Items on the survey related to this topic are shown below. These tables display the ratings given by respondents, as well as a comparison to the national benchmark (average ratings from communities across the nation) and, if available, comparisons to ratings from the most recently implemented survey.

i Certain trends unavailable

Because Aurora, CO doesn't have any prior measurements for certain characteristics, those characteristics don't have trend values. All characteristics will have trends after this survey has been conducted a second time.

i Certain benchmarks unavailable

Because this survey includes new characteristics, we can't determine your benchmark performance on the new characteristics until a sufficient number of communities have completed surveying.



Potential Problems

Percent reporting *minor problem*, *moderate problem* or *major problem*.

Characteristic	% problematic	Trend	National Benchmark
Not knowing what services are available to older adults in your community	68%	→	–
Having adequate information or dealing with public programs such as Social Security, Medicare, and Medicaid	49%	→	–


Quality of Community

Percent reporting *excellent* or *good*.

Characteristic	% positive	Trend	National Benchmark
Availability of financial or legal planning services	29%		-
Availability of information about resources for older adults	19%		-

Status Indicators - Informed about Services

Percent reporting *very informed* or *somewhat informed*.

Characteristic	% informed	Trend	National Benchmark
In general, how informed or uninformed do you feel about services and activities available to older adults in your community?	39%		N/A

Status Indicators - Use of Technology

Percent reporting *several times a day, once a day or a few times a week.*


Characteristic	% of respondents	Trend	National Benchmark
Use or check email	93%	N/A	–
Access the internet from your home using a computer, laptop, or tablet computer	91%	N/A	–
Access the internet from your cell phone	77%	N/A	–
Visit social media sites such as Facebook, Twitter, Nextdoor, etc.	64%	N/A	–
Shop online	29%	N/A	–
Share your opinions online	15%	N/A	–

Percent reporting yes.

Characteristic	% yes	Trend	National Benchmark
I have high-speed internet/broadband at home	95%	N/A	^


Section 11B: Quality of Older Adult Services

Strong local governments play a major role in producing communities that meet the needs of older residents while making the best use of available resources. Providing services that are responsive to the present and future needs of the older community is an important responsibility and a vital component of livable communities.



* Only applies to last two measurements

Livability score over time





Related survey results

Items on the survey related to this topic are shown below. These tables display the ratings given by respondents, as well as a comparison to the national benchmark (average ratings from communities across the nation) and, if available, comparisons to ratings from the most recently implemented survey.

Quality of Community

Percent reporting *excellent* or *good*.

Characteristic	% positive	Trend	National Benchmark
How would you rate the overall services provided to older adults in your community?	36%		

Section 12A: Caregiving

According to the Centers for Disease Control, about 2 in 5 adults 65 years and older have a disabling condition that affects their ability to live independently¹. Those who provide care to a loved one or friend with such a condition often feel a sense of contribution and personal worth despite the physical, emotional and financial burden such care can produce. While such caregiving is most often provided by family members and is unpaid, AARP researchers estimate the value of the care as \$470 billion annually. A caregiving crunch is predicted in the future, where the average American will spend more years caring for their parents than for their own children².

¹Prevalence of Disabilities and Health Care Access by Disability Status and Type Among Adults — United States, 2016

²AARP Family Caregiver Contribution study

i Livability scoring is not applicable.

Because data for this topic are informational and not evaluative, no livability score is calculated.

Related survey results

Items on the survey related to this topic are shown below. These tables display the ratings given by respondents, as well as a comparison to the national benchmark (average ratings from communities across the nation) and, if available, comparisons to ratings from the most recently implemented survey.

Average Number of Hours Providing Care

Average number of hours spent per respondent in a typical week

Characteristic	Average # of Hours	Previous Measurement	National Benchmark
Providing care to someone age 55+	3.1	2.8	–
Providing care someone under age 18	2.2	1.3	–
Providing care to someone age 18 to 54	0.7	0.3	–

Potential Problems

Percent reporting *minor problem*, *moderate problem* or *major problem*.

Characteristic	% problematic	Trend	National Benchmark
Feeling EMOTIONALLY burdened by providing care for another person	23%	→	–
Feeling PHYSICALLY burdened by providing care for another person	21%	→	–
Feeling FINANCIALLY burdened by providing care for another person	19%	→	–

Status Indicators - Activities

Percent reporting 1 to 3 hours, 4 to 5 hours, 6 to 10 hours, 11 to 19 hours or 20 or more hours.

Characteristic	% of respondents	Trend	National Benchmark
Providing care to someone age 55+	31%	→	–
Providing care someone under age 18	16%	→	–
Providing care to someone age 18 to 54	9%	→	–

Section 12B: Civic Engagement

In communities where residents care about local politics and social conditions, where they feel engaged and effective, there tends to be greater social, economic and cultural prosperity. Civic activity of any kind, such as volunteering, participating in political groups or being active in community decision-making, benefits both communities and seniors themselves.



i Livability score trend unavailable.

Because Aurora, CO doesn't have a prior livability score, trends for livability aren't available. Trends will be available after this survey has been conducted a second time.

Related survey results


Items on the survey related to this topic are shown below. These tables display the ratings given by respondents, as well as a comparison to the national benchmark (average ratings from communities across the nation) and, if available, comparisons to ratings from the most recently implemented survey.

i Certain trends unavailable

Because Aurora, CO doesn't have any prior measurements for certain characteristics, those characteristics don't have trend values. All characteristics will have trends after this survey has been conducted a second time.





Average Number of Hours Providing Care

Average number of hours spent per respondent in a typical week

Characteristic	Average # of Hours	Previous Measurement	National Benchmark
Volunteering your time	1.4	2.4	






Potential Problems

Percent reporting *minor problem*, *moderate problem* or *major problem*.

Characteristic	% problematic	Trend	National Benchmark
Feeling like your voice is heard in the community	47%		
Finding productive or meaningful activities to do	23%	N/A	
Finding meaningful volunteer work	13%	N/A	



Quality of Community

Percent reporting *excellent* or *good*.

Characteristic	% positive	Trend	National Benchmark
Opportunities to volunteer	47%		
Opportunities to participate in community matters	39%		
Residents' connection and engagement with their community	35%	N/A	

Status Indicators - Activities

Percent reporting *1 to 3 hours*, *4 to 5 hours*, *6 to 10 hours*, *11 to 19 hours* or *20 or more hours*.

Characteristic	% of respondents	Trend	National Benchmark
Volunteering your time	40%		

Status Indicators - Participation

Percent reporting yes.

Characteristic	% yes	Trend	National Benchmark
Voted in your most recent local election	88%	N/A	—
Watched (online or on television) a local public meeting	33%	→	—
Attended a local public meeting (of local elected officials like City Council or County Commissioners, advisory boards, town halls, HOA, neighborhood watch, etc.)	24%	→	—
Participated in a civic group (including Elks, Kiwanis, Masons, etc.)	7%	→	—

Section 12C: Social Engagement

It is well documented that social support also has many mental and physical health benefits. Extensive opportunities for recreation, the arts and social interaction make a community more attractive, especially to older adults.



i Livability score trend unavailable.

Because Aurora, CO doesn't have a prior livability score, trends for livability aren't available. Trends will be available after this survey has been conducted a second time.

Related survey results

Items on the survey related to this topic are shown below. These tables display the ratings given by respondents, as well as a comparison to the national benchmark (average ratings from communities across the nation) and, if available, comparisons to ratings from the most recently implemented survey.

i Certain trends unavailable

Because Aurora, CO doesn't have any prior measurements for certain characteristics, those characteristics don't have trend values. All characteristics will have trends after this survey has been conducted a second time.

Average Number of Hours Providing Care

Average number of hours spent per respondent in a typical week

Characteristic	Average # of Hours	Previous Measurement	National Benchmark
Talking or visiting with friends/family	7.4	6.1	–
Assisting friends, relatives, or neighbors	4.4	3.3	–











Potential Problems

Percent reporting *minor problem*, *moderate problem* or *major problem*.

Characteristic	% problematic	Trend	National Benchmark
Feeling bored	38%	→	–
Having interesting recreational or cultural activities to attend	32%	N/A	^
Having interesting social events or activities to attend	28%	N/A	^





Quality of Community

Percent reporting *excellent* or *good*.

Characteristic	% positive	Trend	National Benchmark
Opportunities to attend religious or spiritual activities	76%		
Overall quality of parks and recreation opportunities	69%	N/A	
Opportunities to attend social events or activities	62%		
Recreation opportunities (including games, arts, library services, etc.)	55%		
Overall opportunities for education, culture, and the arts	53%	N/A	
Opportunities to enroll in skill-building or personal enrichment classes	34%		

Status Indicators - Activities

Percent reporting *1 to 3 hours*, *4 to 5 hours*, *6 to 10 hours*, *11 to 19 hours* or *20 or more hours*.

Characteristic	% of respondents	Trend	National Benchmark
Talking or visiting with friends/family	98%		
Assisting friends, relatives, or neighbors	87%		

Status Indicators - Participation


Percent reporting yes.

Characteristic	% yes	Trend	National Benchmark
Used a recreation center in your community	45%	→	^
Participated in religious or spiritual activities with others	44%	↘	–
Used a public library in your community	44%	↘	–
Participated in a club (including book, dance, game, and other social)	33%	→	–
Participated in a recreation program or group activity	31%	→	–
Used a senior center in your community	7%	↘	∨

Section 13: Economic Contribution

Productive behavior is “any activity, paid or unpaid, that generates goods or services of economic value.”¹ Productive activities include many types of paid and unpaid work, as well as services provided to friends, family or neighbors. Older adults make significant contributions (paid and unpaid) to the communities in which they live. In addition to their paid work, older adults contribute to the economy through volunteering, providing informal help to family and friends, and caregiving.

Economic Contribution of Older Adults in City of Aurora



The calculations of the economic contributions of older adults in City of Aurora were rough estimates using data from the U.S. Department of Labor Bureau of Labor Statistics (Metropolitan and Nonmetropolitan Area Occupational Employment and Wage Estimates).

Economic Contribution of Older Adults

	% of older adults	# of older adults*	Average # of hours**	Average hourly rate***	Annual total
Providing care to older adult(s)	30%	19,196	9.63	\$14.62	\$140,567,155

Providing care to adult(s)	19%	11,904	7.19	\$14.62	\$65,067,875
Providing care to child(ren)	20%	12,919	10.79	\$15.28	\$110,793,065
Providing help to family and friends	82%	52,485	5.32	\$17.48	\$253,560,652
Volunteering	40%	25,647	5.36	\$22.26	\$159,258,249
Subtotal unpaid					\$729,246,995
Working part time	8%	4,872	15	\$31.19	\$118,526,990
Working full time	29%	18,390	32	\$31.19	\$954,443,942
Subtotal paid					\$1,072,970,933
Total contribution					\$1,802,217,928

¹Rowe JW, Kahn RL. Successful Aging. New York: Pantheon Books; 1998.

* Based on U.S. Census Bureau - 2019 American Community Survey; about 63,896 adults age 60 and over in the state.

** Respondents were asked to select a range of hours. The average number of hours was calculated from the mid-point of the response scale. For example, a response of 1 to 3 hours equated to 2 hours and a response of never was assumed to be zero hours. In cases where the respondent chose a response that indicated 11 or more hours or 20 or more hours, the number of hours was calculated as 125% of 11 and 125% of 20 (i.e., 13.75 and 25 respectively). Working full time was assumed to be 32 hours per week and working part time was assumed to be 15 hours per week.

*** The economic value of an hour worked was assumed to be the same as the average hourly wage as calculated by the Bureau of Labor statistics for similar types of work in undefined. Providing care for older adults and adults was assumed to be the equivalent of “Personal and Home Care Aides.” Providing care for children was assumed to be the equivalent of “Child Care Workers.” Providing help to family and friends was assumed to be the equivalent of “Personal Care and Service Occupations.” Volunteering was assumed to be the equivalent of “Office Clerks, General.” Working full time and part time was assumed to be the equivalent of “All Occupations.”

Section 14: Community Needs

The individual survey questions about specific problems faced by older community members were summarized into the 17 larger categories to provide a broad picture of older resident needs in City of Aurora. The figure below shows the percent of respondents who reported that one or more items within each of these 17 areas was a major or moderate problem. (See Methods for more information on the items included in each area.)

Typically, it is understood that the self-reported needs of older adults represent a minimum level, a conservative estimate attenuated by respondents' strong desire to feel and appear self-reliant and further reduced by the silent voice of some older adults who, no matter how sensitive the attempt, are too frail to participate in any survey enterprise.

Percent and Estimated Number of Older Adults With a Need

	Percent with need	Number affected (N=63,896)*
Housing	44%	28,359
Mobility	24%	15,025
Employment	17%	10,891
Finances	31%	19,730
Equity	11%	6,802
Community Inclusivity	24%	15,075
Safety	24%	15,298
Physical Health	38%	23,996
Mental Health	26%	16,729
Health Care	33%	21,386
Independent Living	11%	7,305
Information on Available Older Adult Services	38%	24,218

	Percent with need	Number affected (N=63,896)*
Housing	44%	28,359
Mobility	24%	15,025
Civic Engagement	21%	13,588
Social Engagement	26%	16,786
Caregiving	11%	6,913

Populations at Higher Risk

As people age, many learn to take better care of themselves, to plan for retirement and, generally, to move more deliberately. Aging builds wisdom but can sap resources — physical, emotional and financial. Even those blessed by good luck or prescient enough to plan comprehensively for the best future may find themselves with unanticipated needs or with physical, emotional or financial strengths that could endure only with help. Some people age better than others, and aging well requires certain strengths that are inherent and others that can be supported by assistance from the private sector and government.

The tables below show the reported needs within each category of livability of City of Aurora's older adult population, by demographic subgroup. This information can help identify which groups are at higher risk in the community and account for sociodemographic disparities when addressing these needs.

Percent Needs of Older Population by Sociodemographic Characteristics, (63,896)*

The sociodemographic characteristics examined included Gender, Age, Race, Ethnicity, Annual Household Income, Housing Tenure (Rent or Own), and Household Composition (Lives alone or Lives with others)

	Housing	Mobility	Employment	Finances	Equity
Female	47%	27%	19%	37%	11%
Male	41%	19%	15%	23%	10%

60 to 64 years	35%	16%	23%	40%	14%
65 to 74 years	41%	19%	14%	21%	8%
75 or over	63%	40%	15%	38%	11%
White	38%	19%	14%	23%	9%
Not white	61%	38%	26%	54%	16%
Hispanic	23%	24%	20%	24%	8%
Not Hispanic	44%	20%	18%	29%	11%
Less than \$25,000	74%	45%	20%	77%	12%
\$25,000 to \$74,999	40%	18%	20%	25%	12%
\$75,000 or more	63%	40%	15%	38%	11%
Rent	76%	46%	20%	60%	16%
Own	35%	17%	16%	22%	9%
Lives alone	59%	25%	13%	45%	10%
Lives with others	38%	22%	18%	24%	10%
Overall	44%	24%	17%	31%	11%

	Community Inclusivity	Safety	Physical Health	Mental Health	Health Care	Independent Living
Female	24%	27%	40%	29%	32%	16%
Male	23%	20%	35%	23%	35%	6%
60 to 64 years	26%	13%	34%	30%	33%	6%

65 to 74 years	16%	26%	31%	16%	31%	7%
75 or over	34%	33%	53%	40%	39%	25%
White	19%	21%	33%	22%	31%	7%
Not white	37%	32%	50%	37%	40%	23%
Hispanic	9%	10%	27%	22%	37%	8%
Not Hispanic	21%	22%	36%	23%	30%	8%
Less than \$25,000	50%	31%	63%	58%	55%	36%
\$25,000 to \$74,999	18%	30%	33%	24%	32%	8%
\$75,000 or more	34%	33%	53%	40%	39%	25%
Rent	39%	30%	60%	50%	53%	32%
Own	19%	22%	31%	19%	28%	6%
Lives alone	34%	25%	45%	39%	35%	21%
Lives with others	19%	23%	34%	20%	32%	7%
Overall	24%	24%	38%	26%	33%	11%

	Information on Available Older Adult Services	Civic Engagement	Social Engagement	Caregiving
Female	40%	19%	29%	11%
Male	35%	24%	22%	10%

	Information on Available Older Adult Services	Civic Engagement	Social Engagement	Caregiving
60 to 64 years	48%	24%	28%	19%
65 to 74 years	33%	20%	18%	9%
75 or over	34%	20%	39%	4%
White	34%	19%	18%	10%
Not white	48%	26%	48%	14%
Hispanic	27%	26%	9%	3%
Not Hispanic	41%	22%	25%	12%
Less than \$25,000	43%	28%	57%	13%
\$25,000 to \$74,999	36%	21%	23%	11%
\$75,000 or more	34%	20%	39%	4%
Rent	41%	24%	55%	11%
Own	37%	21%	18%	11%
Lives alone	35%	18%	40%	6%
Lives with others	39%	23%	20%	13%
Overall	38%	21%	26%	11%

* Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2020 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates

Section 15: Full Results (with No Opinion)

These results include all questions. The results include the percentage that responded for each answer choice and the number of responses. If the choices included a choice with no opinion (like don't know or not applicable), they will be shown in this section. The following section shows the results with no opinion choices.

1. In which category is your age?

Answer Choice	Percent
50-54 years	0% (0)
55-59 years	0% (0)
60-64 years	30% (453)
65-69 years	24% (369)
70-74 years	21% (327)
75-79 years	11% (165)
80-84 years	11% (175)
85-89 years	2% (24)
90-94 years	1% (19)
95 years or older	0% (0)

2. Please rate each of the following aspects of quality of life in your community.

Characteristic	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Don't know
Your community as a place to live	22% (343)	54% (819)	18% (268)	6% (99)	0% (1)
Your neighborhood as a place to live	24% (374)	51% (778)	19% (294)	5% (82)	0% (0)
Your community as a place to retire	13% (191)	49% (735)	24% (369)	11% (169)	3% (48)
Sense of community in your community	18% (268)	27% (404)	35% (527)	11% (163)	9% (138)
The overall quality of life in your community	15% (229)	57% (868)	22% (330)	6% (85)	1% (11)

3. Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to your community as a whole.

Characteristic	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Don't know
Overall economic health of your community	18% (270)	46% (699)	23% (347)	6% (95)	8% (117)
Overall quality of the transportation system (auto, bicycle, foot, bus) in your community	11% (166)	37% (567)	40% (606)	11% (164)	1% (20)
Overall design or layout of your community's residential and commercial areas (e.g., homes, buildings, streets, parks, etc.)	24% (367)	37% (550)	32% (483)	6% (91)	0% (7)
Overall quality of the utility infrastructure in your community (water, sewer, storm water, electric/gas, broadband)	25% (377)	38% (577)	28% (420)	7% (100)	3% (39)
Overall feeling of safety in your community	13% (202)	41% (622)	30% (450)	16% (238)	1% (9)
Overall quality of natural environment in your community	14% (210)	52% (782)	19% (291)	12% (175)	3% (39)
Overall quality of parks and recreation opportunities	22% (328)	44% (668)	22% (338)	8% (119)	4% (65)
Overall health and wellness opportunities in your community	10% (154)	41% (603)	39% (579)	2% (32)	7% (109)
Overall opportunities for education, culture, and the arts	7% (110)	40% (602)	32% (484)	9% (142)	11% (157)
Residents' connection and engagement with their community	6% (95)	25% (375)	43% (656)	15% (221)	11% (170)

4. How would you rate the overall services provided to older adults in your community?

Answer Choice	Percent
Excellent	7% (108)
Good	17% (262)
Fair	37% (563)
Poor	7% (106)
Don't know	32% (492)

5. Please indicate how likely or unlikely you are to do each of the following.

Characteristic	Very likely	Somewhat likely	Somewhat unlikely	Very unlikely	Don't know
Recommend living in your community to older adults	27% (404)	39% (601)	17% (258)	14% (205)	3% (53)
Remain in your community throughout your retirement	47% (708)	34% (513)	5% (78)	11% (167)	3% (52)

6. In general, how informed or uninformed do you feel about services and activities available to older adults in your community?

Answer Choice	Percent
Very informed	8% (124)
Somewhat informed	30% (465)
Somewhat uninformed	41% (632)
Very uninformed	20% (309)

7. Please rate the quality of each of the following.

Characteristic	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Don't know
Your overall physical health	12% (190)	59% (900)	26% (397)	3% (43)	0% (0)
Your overall mental health/emotional wellbeing	30% (453)	57% (869)	9% (137)	5% (70)	0% (0)
Your overall quality of life	22% (319)	61% (881)	14% (206)	3% (37)	0% (0)

8. What impact, if any, do you think the economy will have on your family income in the next 6 months? Do you think the impact will be:

Answer Choice	Percent
Very positive	5% (82)
Somewhat positive	9% (143)
Neutral	23% (358)
Somewhat negative	50% (765)
Very negative	12% (185)

9. Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to older adults in your community.

Characteristic	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Don't know
Ease of travel by public transportation in your community	5% (70)	22% (340)	20% (312)	41% (621)	12% (182)
Ease of travel by car in your community	32% (488)	52% (793)	12% (188)	2% (25)	2% (26)
Ease of walking in your community	26% (406)	48% (729)	15% (227)	8% (121)	3% (48)
Ease of bicycling in your community	12% (189)	58% (890)	14% (220)	5% (80)	10% (152)
Ease of getting to the places you usually have to visit	16% (250)	56% (854)	25% (374)	2% (38)	0% (5)
Opportunities to build work skills	5% (74)	13% (198)	21% (316)	10% (156)	51% (776)
Quality of employment opportunities for older adults	4% (68)	9% (137)	11% (172)	18% (269)	58% (876)
Variety of employment opportunities for older adults	4% (67)	9% (139)	11% (159)	18% (279)	58% (874)
Cost of living in your community	5% (73)	12% (185)	57% (867)	23% (344)	4% (56)
Availability of affordable quality food	10% (145)	36% (546)	42% (631)	12% (176)	1% (8)
Availability of affordable quality housing	7% (104)	5% (80)	30% (461)	52% (789)	6% (93)
Variety of housing options	6% (86)	22% (339)	43% (653)	22% (338)	7% (111)
Availability of accessible housing (e.g., homes with a no step entry, single-floor living, wide hallways and doorways)	7% (98)	10% (150)	19% (290)	38% (569)	27% (400)
Availability of mixed-use neighborhoods where people live close to places where they can eat, shop, work, and receive services	9% (135)	18% (270)	37% (559)	30% (452)	7% (103)
Public places where people want to spend time	7% (106)	29% (449)	35% (537)	20% (307)	8% (124)

Characteristic	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Don't know
Availability of information about resources for older adults	5% (77)	7% (112)	28% (419)	25% (380)	35% (532)
Availability of financial or legal planning services	5% (74)	10% (149)	25% (374)	12% (179)	49% (744)
Availability of long-term care options	1% (11)	14% (216)	30% (458)	13% (200)	42% (638)
Availability of daytime care options for older adults	5% (69)	8% (125)	10% (144)	10% (158)	67% (1015)
Availability of affordable quality physical health care	9% (136)	42% (631)	26% (388)	10% (150)	14% (211)
Availability of affordable quality mental health care	8% (120)	24% (362)	11% (167)	19% (294)	38% (580)
Availability of preventive health services (e.g., health screenings, flu shots, educational workshops)	14% (208)	36% (541)	31% (469)	7% (111)	13% (191)
Recreation opportunities (including games, arts, library services, etc.)	9% (131)	37% (556)	31% (463)	7% (104)	17% (252)
Fitness opportunities (including exercise classes and paths or trails, etc.)	14% (211)	41% (625)	30% (452)	7% (104)	8% (123)
Opportunities to participate in community matters	7% (101)	21% (317)	37% (559)	7% (106)	28% (420)
Opportunities to volunteer	10% (145)	19% (290)	29% (436)	4% (55)	39% (594)
Opportunities to enroll in skill-building or personal enrichment classes	6% (87)	12% (187)	28% (422)	7% (106)	47% (716)
Opportunities to attend social events or activities	7% (107)	34% (508)	17% (263)	8% (116)	34% (522)
Opportunities to attend religious or spiritual activities	23% (349)	33% (509)	15% (233)	3% (43)	25% (386)
Openness and acceptance of the community towards older residents of diverse backgrounds	8% (127)	40% (611)	20% (299)	9% (136)	23% (342)

Characteristic	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Don't know
Making all residents feel welcome	9% (135)	49% (741)	23% (356)	7% (111)	12% (177)
Valuing older residents in your community	7% (102)	29% (439)	36% (541)	12% (182)	17% (257)
Neighborliness of your community	10% (151)	31% (477)	40% (609)	15% (228)	4% (55)

10. Older adults may or may not face a number of challenges. Thinking back over the last 12 months, how much of a problem, if at all, have each of the following been for you?

Characteristic	Not a problem	Minor problem	Moderate problem	Major problem	Don't know
Having enough money to meet daily expenses	56% (852)	26% (401)	9% (136)	8% (127)	0% (1)
Having enough money to pay your property taxes	53% (782)	13% (187)	20% (300)	3% (43)	11% (166)
Having housing to suit your needs	71% (1069)	8% (122)	14% (209)	6% (91)	0% (6)
Doing heavy or intense housework	32% (480)	38% (581)	18% (265)	9% (143)	3% (42)
Maintaining your home	36% (537)	38% (569)	17% (254)	8% (118)	0% (7)
Maintaining your yard	41% (620)	20% (300)	23% (348)	11% (170)	5% (72)
Having safe and affordable transportation available	55% (830)	17% (264)	14% (214)	8% (127)	6% (84)
No longer being able to drive	83% (1239)	5% (72)	3% (38)	5% (71)	5% (74)
Finding work in retirement	51% (755)	3% (37)	14% (213)	4% (63)	28% (405)
Building skills for paid or unpaid work	39% (579)	18% (259)	3% (38)	2% (24)	39% (566)
Having adequate information or dealing with public programs such as Social Security, Medicare, and Medicaid	45% (682)	18% (279)	19% (295)	5% (74)	12% (186)
Not knowing what services are available to older adults in your community	28% (416)	20% (302)	29% (431)	11% (171)	12% (185)
Your physical health	47% (715)	29% (440)	17% (263)	6% (94)	0% (0)
Falling or injuring yourself in your home	68% (1030)	17% (256)	9% (135)	5% (68)	1% (17)

Characteristic	Not a problem	Minor problem	Moderate problem	Major problem	Don't know
Finding affordable health insurance	69% (1036)	8% (120)	15% (230)	5% (80)	2% (27)
Getting the health care you need	68% (1018)	15% (223)	15% (222)	2% (35)	0% (2)
Getting the oral health care you need	65% (994)	14% (208)	14% (216)	6% (87)	1% (14)
Getting the vision care you need	72% (1089)	21% (312)	4% (57)	3% (49)	1% (12)
Affording the medications you need	62% (933)	15% (226)	20% (299)	3% (49)	1% (8)
Staying physically fit	38% (571)	39% (594)	19% (283)	5% (70)	0% (1)
Maintaining a healthy diet	58% (884)	29% (434)	10% (153)	3% (47)	0% (0)
Having enough food to eat	91% (1379)	5% (82)	4% (54)	0% (2)	0% (0)
Experiencing confusion or forgetfulness	75% (1147)	18% (272)	3% (52)	3% (49)	0% (4)
Feeling depressed	59% (900)	19% (290)	17% (261)	4% (62)	0% (2)
Feeling bored	62% (933)	26% (388)	9% (138)	3% (52)	0% (2)
Having friends or family you can rely on	66% (1004)	21% (313)	8% (123)	5% (79)	0% (2)
Feeling lonely or isolated	70% (1060)	19% (281)	7% (108)	4% (63)	0% (1)
Dealing with the loss of a close family member or friend	67% (1006)	23% (355)	5% (71)	3% (52)	2% (28)
Being a victim of crime	79% (1191)	6% (97)	9% (131)	2% (24)	4% (66)
Being a victim of fraud or a scam	70% (1061)	16% (239)	8% (118)	3% (50)	3% (45)

Characteristic	Not a problem	Minor problem	Moderate problem	Major problem	Don't know
Being physically or emotionally abused	95% (1428)	2% (24)	1% (12)	2% (28)	1% (15)
Being treated unfairly or discriminated against because of your age	66% (1004)	15% (232)	14% (209)	4% (55)	1% (19)
Feeling like you don't fit in or belong	65% (974)	27% (400)	5% (81)	2% (33)	1% (16)
Feeling like your voice is heard in the community	43% (653)	22% (333)	10% (150)	6% (86)	19% (289)
Feeling PHYSICALLY burdened by providing care for another person	76% (1152)	14% (209)	4% (63)	2% (33)	4% (62)
Feeling EMOTIONALLY burdened by providing care for another person	74% (1120)	10% (157)	7% (110)	5% (69)	4% (62)
Feeling FINANCIALLY burdened by providing care for another person	79% (1199)	13% (197)	3% (47)	2% (31)	3% (45)
Performing regular activities, including walking, eating and preparing meals	79% (1214)	13% (200)	5% (69)	3% (43)	0% (1)
Finding meaningful volunteer work	60% (885)	6% (86)	2% (33)	1% (17)	31% (448)
Finding productive or meaningful activities to do	70% (1061)	15% (227)	5% (70)	1% (17)	9% (142)
Having interesting recreational or cultural activities to attend	54% (827)	17% (265)	5% (74)	3% (44)	20% (311)
Having interesting social events or activities to attend	59% (890)	14% (219)	4% (66)	4% (58)	19% (286)

11. Thinking back over the past 12 months, how much time did you spend in each of the following?

Characteristic	0 days	1-2 days	3-5 days	6 or more days
As a patient in a hospital	83% (1256)	6% (84)	4% (61)	8% (117)
In a long-term care facility (including nursing home or in-patient rehabilitation facility)	100% (1404)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (6)

12. Thinking back over the past 12 months, how many times have you fallen and injured yourself?

Answer Choice	Percent
Never	71% (1082)
1 to 2 times	25% (383)
3 to 5 times	3% (41)
More than 5 times	2% (25)
Don't know	0% (0)

13. Please indicate whether or not you have done each of the following in the last 12 months.

Characteristic	No	Yes
Attended a local public meeting (of local elected officials like City Council or County Commissioners, advisory boards, town halls, HOA, neighborhood watch, etc.)	76% (1158)	24% (367)
Watched (online or on television) a local public meeting	67% (1009)	33% (503)
Voted in your most recent local election	12% (175)	88% (1348)
Participated in a civic group (including Elks, Kiwanis, Masons, etc.)	93% (1414)	7% (113)
Used a senior center in your community	93% (1409)	7% (112)
Used a public library in your community	56% (853)	44% (674)
Used a recreation center in your community	55% (832)	45% (694)
Participated in a recreation program or group activity	69% (1037)	31% (472)
Participated in religious or spiritual activities with others	56% (860)	44% (666)
Participated in a club (including book, dance, game, and other social)	67% (976)	33% (476)

14. During a typical week, how many hours do you spend:

Characteristic	Never	1 to 3 hours	4 to 5 hours	6 to 10 hours	11 to 19 hours	20 or more hours	Don't know
Assisting friends, relatives, or neighbors	13% (194)	58% (872)	11% (170)	5% (81)	3% (39)	7% (108)	3% (51)
Volunteering your time	59% (890)	29% (442)	6% (93)	2% (26)	1% (8)	1% (18)	2% (36)
Talking or visiting with friends/family	2% (31)	41% (619)	25% (382)	9% (138)	8% (123)	14% (212)	0% (7)
Providing care to someone age 55+	68% (1026)	14% (220)	4% (59)	3% (43)	1% (8)	9% (142)	1% (19)
Providing care to someone age 18 to 54	90% (1373)	4% (59)	2% (26)	1% (18)	0% (5)	1% (22)	1% (19)
Providing care someone under age 18	83% (1251)	5% (77)	2% (28)	1% (22)	0% (7)	7% (109)	1% (14)
Receiving assistance, paid or unpaid (e.g., with shopping, cooking, etc.)	94% (1425)	4% (54)	1% (19)	0% (3)	0% (1)	1% (9)	0% (5)

15. Please answer the following, as they relate to Internet access at your home:

Characteristic	No	Yes
I have high-speed internet/broadband at home	5% (72)	95% (1446)
High speed internet is not available	96% (1315)	4% (52)
I can't afford high speed internet	84% (1163)	16% (227)
I'm not interested in high speed internet	94% (1227)	7% (85)
High speed internet is available, but is not reliable	79% (1046)	21% (272)

16. In general, how many times do you:

Characteristic	Several times a day	Once a day	A few times a week	Every few weeks	Less often or never	Don't know
Access the internet from your home using a computer, laptop, or tablet computer	73% (1114)	9% (140)	8% (115)	1% (16)	8% (117)	1% (22)
Access the internet from your cell phone	62% (940)	10% (148)	5% (70)	1% (17)	22% (336)	1% (13)
Visit social media sites such as Facebook, Twitter, Nextdoor, etc.	40% (613)	10% (149)	14% (211)	3% (53)	33% (501)	0% (0)
Use or check email	61% (917)	20% (304)	12% (184)	1% (9)	6% (95)	0% (7)
Share your opinions online	8% (116)	3% (39)	4% (55)	20% (309)	60% (922)	5% (84)

Characteristic	Several times a day	Once a day	A few times a week	Every few weeks	Less often or never	Don't know
Shop online	13% (199)	3% (46)	11% (170)	46% (700)	20% (301)	7% (102)

17. How many years have you lived in your community?

Answer Choice	Percent
Less than 2 years	13% (195)
2-5 years	32% (483)
6-10 years	11% (167)
11-20 years	10% (160)
More than 20 years	34% (527)

18. Which best describes the building you live in?

Answer Choice	Percent
Single family home	73% (1114)
Townhouse, condominium, duplex, or apartment	23% (359)
Mobile home	3% (47)
Assisted living residence	0% (4)
Nursing home	0% (0)
Other	0% (7)

19. Do you rent or own your home?

Answer Choice	Percent
Rent	24% (367)
Own (with a mortgage payment)	36% (544)
Own (free and clear; no mortgage)	40% (620)

20. About how much is your monthly housing cost for the place you live (including rent, mortgage payment, property tax, property insurance, and homeowners' association (HOA) fees)?

Answer Choice	Percent
Less than \$300 per month	11% (165)
\$300 to \$599 per month	10% (152)
\$600 to \$999 per month	17% (259)
\$1,000 to \$1,499 per month	24% (368)
\$1,500 to \$2,499 per month	29% (442)
\$2,500 or more per month	9% (132)

21. How many people, including yourself, live in your household?

Answer Choice	Percent
1 person (live alone)	25% (381)
2 people	55% (845)
3 people	6% (95)
4 or more people	13% (202)

22. How many of these people, including yourself, are 60 or older?

Answer Choice	Percent
1 person	38% (577)
2 people	57% (859)
3 people	5% (78)
4 or more people	0% (3)

23. What is your employment status?

Answer Choice	Percent
Fully retired	59% (893)
Working full time for pay	24% (370)
Working part time for pay	15% (232)
Unemployed, looking for paid work	2% (24)

24. At what age do you expect to retire completely and not work for pay at all?

Answer Choice	Percent
60-64	11% (70)
65-67	32% (199)
68-69	10% (60)
70-72	14% (87)
73 or older	33% (208)

25. How much do you anticipate your household's total income before taxes will be for the current year? (Please include in your total income money from all sources for all persons living in your household.)

Answer Choice	Percent
Less than \$25,000	18% (265)
\$25,000 to \$49,999	16% (234)
\$50,000 to \$74,999	22% (321)
\$75,000 to \$99,999	13% (193)
\$100,000 to \$149,999	17% (256)
\$150,000 to \$199,999	9% (129)
\$200,000 or more.	6% (88)

26. Are you Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino?

Answer Choice	Percent
No, not of Hispanic, Latino/a/x, or Spanish origin	94% (1405)
Yes, I consider myself to be of Hispanic, Latino/a/x, or Spanish origin	6% (84)

27. What is your race? (Mark one or more races to indicate what race you consider yourself to be.)

Answer Choice	Percent
American Indian or Alaska Native	5% (88)
Asian	2% (28)
Black or African American	16% (256)
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0% (0)
White	75% (1215)
A race not listed	3% (42)

28. What is your gender?

Answer Choice	Percent
Woman	56% (851)
Man	44% (681)
Identify in another way	0% (0)

29. How do you identify?

Answer Choice	Percent
Transgender man/trans man	0% (0)
Transgender woman/trans woman	0% (0)
Genderqueer/gender nonconforming	0% (0)
Prefer not to answer	100% (0)
Other	0% (0)

30. Please specify how you identify:

31. What is your sexual orientation?

Answer Choice	Percent
Heterosexual	93% (1346)
Lesbian	0% (4)
Gay	1% (8)
Bisexual	0% (2)
Identify in another way	6% (93)

32. How do you identify?

Answer Choice	Percent
Queer, pansexual, and/or questioning	0% (0)
Don't know	1% (1)
Prefer not to answer	99% (92)
Other	1% (1)

33. Please specify how you identify:

Answer Choice

Answer Choice

Asexual.

Section 16: Full Results (excluding No Opinion)

These results include all questions. The results include the percentage that responded for each answer choice and the number of responses. If the choices included a choice with no opinion (like don't know or not applicable), the tables in the prior section show the results with those no opinion choices. This section shows the results without those choices.

1. In which category is your age?

Not including don't know

Answer Choice	Percent
50-54 years	0% (0)
55-59 years	0% (0)
60-64 years	30% (453)
65-69 years	24% (369)
70-74 years	21% (327)
75-79 years	11% (165)
80-84 years	11% (175)
85-89 years	2% (24)
90-94 years	1% (19)
95 years or older	0% (0)

2. Please rate each of the following aspects of quality of life in your community.

Not including don't know

Characteristic	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
Your community as a place to live	22% (343)	54% (819)	18% (268)	6% (99)
Your neighborhood as a place to live	24% (374)	51% (778)	19% (294)	5% (82)
Your community as a place to retire	13% (191)	50% (735)	25% (369)	12% (169)
Sense of community in your community	20% (268)	30% (404)	39% (527)	12% (163)
The overall quality of life in your community	15% (229)	57% (868)	22% (330)	6% (85)

3. Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to your community as a whole.

Not including don't know

Characteristic	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
Overall economic health of your community	19% (270)	50% (699)	25% (347)	7% (95)
Overall quality of the transportation system (auto, bicycle, foot, bus) in your community	11% (166)	38% (567)	40% (606)	11% (164)
Overall design or layout of your community's residential and commercial areas (e.g., homes, buildings, streets, parks, etc.)	25% (367)	37% (550)	32% (483)	6% (91)
Overall quality of the utility infrastructure in your community (water, sewer, storm water, electric/gas, broadband)	26% (377)	39% (577)	28% (420)	7% (100)
Overall feeling of safety in your community	13% (202)	41% (622)	30% (450)	16% (238)
Overall quality of natural environment in your community	14% (210)	54% (782)	20% (291)	12% (175)
Overall quality of parks and recreation opportunities	23% (328)	46% (668)	23% (338)	8% (119)
Overall health and wellness opportunities in your community	11% (154)	44% (603)	42% (579)	2% (32)
Overall opportunities for education, culture, and the arts	8% (110)	45% (602)	36% (484)	11% (142)
Residents' connection and engagement with their community	7% (95)	28% (375)	49% (656)	16% (221)

4. How would you rate the overall services provided to older adults in your community?

Not including don't know

Answer Choice	Percent
Excellent	10% (108)
Good	25% (262)
Fair	54% (563)
Poor	10% (106)

5. Please indicate how likely or unlikely you are to do each of the following.

Not including don't know

Characteristic	Very likely	Somewhat likely	Somewhat unlikely	Very unlikely
Recommend living in your community to older adults	28% (404)	41% (601)	18% (258)	14% (205)
Remain in your community throughout your retirement	48% (708)	35% (513)	5% (78)	11% (167)

6. In general, how informed or uninformed do you feel about services and activities available to older adults in your community?

Not including don't know

Answer Choice	Percent
Very informed	8% (124)
Somewhat informed	30% (465)
Somewhat uninformed	41% (632)
Very uninformed	20% (309)

7. Please rate the quality of each of the following.

Not including don't know

Characteristic	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
Your overall physical health	12% (190)	59% (900)	26% (397)	3% (43)
Your overall mental health/emotional wellbeing	30% (453)	57% (869)	9% (137)	5% (70)
Your overall quality of life	22% (319)	61% (881)	14% (206)	3% (37)

8. What impact, if any, do you think the economy will have on your family income in the next 6 months? Do you think the impact will be:

Not including don't know

Answer Choice	Percent
Very positive	5% (82)
Somewhat positive	9% (143)
Neutral	23% (358)
Somewhat negative	50% (765)
Very negative	12% (185)

9. Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to older adults in your community.

Not including don't know

Characteristic	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
Ease of travel by public transportation in your community	5% (70)	25% (340)	23% (312)	46% (621)
Ease of travel by car in your community	33% (488)	53% (793)	13% (188)	2% (25)
Ease of walking in your community	27% (406)	49% (729)	15% (227)	8% (121)
Ease of bicycling in your community	14% (189)	65% (890)	16% (220)	6% (80)
Ease of getting to the places you usually have to visit	17% (250)	56% (854)	25% (374)	2% (38)
Opportunities to build work skills	10% (74)	27% (198)	43% (316)	21% (156)
Quality of employment opportunities for older adults	11% (68)	21% (137)	27% (172)	42% (269)
Variety of employment opportunities for older adults	10% (67)	22% (139)	25% (159)	43% (279)
Cost of living in your community	5% (73)	13% (185)	59% (867)	23% (344)
Availability of affordable quality food	10% (145)	36% (546)	42% (631)	12% (176)
Availability of affordable quality housing	7% (104)	6% (80)	32% (461)	55% (789)
Variety of housing options	6% (86)	24% (339)	46% (653)	24% (338)
Availability of accessible housing (e.g., homes with a no step entry, single-floor living, wide hallways and doorways)	9% (98)	14% (150)	26% (290)	51% (569)
Availability of mixed-use neighborhoods where people live close to places where they can eat, shop, work, and receive services	10% (135)	19% (270)	40% (559)	32% (452)

Characteristic	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
Public places where people want to spend time	8% (106)	32% (449)	38% (537)	22% (307)
Availability of information about resources for older adults	8% (77)	11% (112)	42% (419)	39% (380)
Availability of financial or legal planning services	10% (74)	19% (149)	48% (374)	23% (179)
Availability of long-term care options	1% (11)	24% (216)	52% (458)	23% (200)
Availability of daytime care options for older adults	14% (69)	25% (125)	29% (144)	32% (158)
Availability of affordable quality physical health care	10% (136)	48% (631)	30% (388)	12% (150)
Availability of affordable quality mental health care	13% (120)	38% (362)	18% (167)	31% (294)
Availability of preventive health services (e.g., health screenings, flu shots, educational workshops)	16% (208)	41% (541)	35% (469)	8% (111)
Recreation opportunities (including games, arts, library services, etc.)	10% (131)	44% (556)	37% (463)	8% (104)
Fitness opportunities (including exercise classes and paths or trails, etc.)	15% (211)	45% (625)	32% (452)	7% (104)
Opportunities to participate in community matters	9% (101)	29% (317)	52% (559)	10% (106)
Opportunities to volunteer	16% (145)	31% (290)	47% (436)	6% (55)
Opportunities to enroll in skill-building or personal enrichment classes	11% (87)	23% (187)	53% (422)	13% (106)
Opportunities to attend social events or activities	11% (107)	51% (508)	26% (263)	12% (116)
Opportunities to attend religious or spiritual activities	31% (349)	45% (509)	21% (233)	4% (43)
Openness and acceptance of the community towards older residents of diverse backgrounds	11% (127)	52% (611)	25% (299)	12% (136)

Characteristic	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
Making all residents feel welcome	10% (135)	55% (741)	27% (356)	8% (111)
Valuing older residents in your community	8% (102)	35% (439)	43% (541)	14% (182)
Neighborliness of your community	10% (151)	33% (477)	42% (609)	16% (228)

10. Older adults may or may not face a number of challenges. Thinking back over the last 12 months, how much of a problem, if at all, have each of the following been for you?

Not including don't know

Characteristic	Not a problem	Minor problem	Moderate problem	Major problem
Having enough money to meet daily expenses	56% (852)	26% (401)	9% (136)	8% (127)
Having enough money to pay your property taxes	60% (782)	14% (187)	23% (300)	3% (43)
Having housing to suit your needs	72% (1069)	8% (122)	14% (209)	6% (91)
Doing heavy or intense housework	33% (480)	40% (581)	18% (265)	10% (143)
Maintaining your home	36% (537)	38% (569)	17% (254)	8% (118)
Maintaining your yard	43% (620)	21% (300)	24% (348)	12% (170)
Having safe and affordable transportation available	58% (830)	18% (264)	15% (214)	9% (127)
No longer being able to drive	87% (1239)	5% (72)	3% (38)	5% (71)
Finding work in retirement	71% (755)	3% (37)	20% (213)	6% (63)
Building skills for paid or unpaid work	64% (579)	29% (259)	4% (38)	3% (24)
Having adequate information or dealing with public programs such as Social Security, Medicare, and Medicaid	51% (682)	21% (279)	22% (295)	6% (74)
Not knowing what services are available to older adults in your community	32% (416)	23% (302)	33% (431)	13% (171)
Your physical health	47% (715)	29% (440)	17% (263)	6% (94)
Falling or injuring yourself in your home	69% (1030)	17% (256)	9% (135)	5% (68)

Characteristic	Not a problem	Minor problem	Moderate problem	Major problem
Finding affordable health insurance	71% (1036)	8% (120)	16% (230)	5% (80)
Getting the health care you need	68% (1018)	15% (223)	15% (222)	2% (35)
Getting the oral health care you need	66% (994)	14% (208)	14% (216)	6% (87)
Getting the vision care you need	72% (1089)	21% (312)	4% (57)	3% (49)
Affording the medications you need	62% (933)	15% (226)	20% (299)	3% (49)
Staying physically fit	38% (571)	39% (594)	19% (283)	5% (70)
Maintaining a healthy diet	58% (884)	29% (434)	10% (153)	3% (47)
Having enough food to eat	91% (1379)	5% (82)	4% (54)	0% (2)
Experiencing confusion or forgetfulness	75% (1147)	18% (272)	3% (52)	3% (49)
Feeling depressed	59% (900)	19% (290)	17% (261)	4% (62)
Feeling bored	62% (933)	26% (388)	9% (138)	3% (52)
Having friends or family you can rely on	66% (1004)	21% (313)	8% (123)	5% (79)
Feeling lonely or isolated	70% (1060)	19% (281)	7% (108)	4% (63)
Dealing with the loss of a close family member or friend	68% (1006)	24% (355)	5% (71)	4% (52)
Being a victim of crime	82% (1191)	7% (97)	9% (131)	2% (24)
Being a victim of fraud or a scam	72% (1061)	16% (239)	8% (118)	3% (50)

Characteristic	Not a problem	Minor problem	Moderate problem	Major problem
Being physically or emotionally abused	96% (1428)	2% (24)	1% (12)	2% (28)
Being treated unfairly or discriminated against because of your age	67% (1004)	15% (232)	14% (209)	4% (55)
Feeling like you don't fit in or belong	65% (974)	27% (400)	5% (81)	2% (33)
Feeling like your voice is heard in the community	53% (653)	27% (333)	12% (150)	7% (86)
Feeling PHYSICALLY burdened by providing care for another person	79% (1152)	14% (209)	4% (63)	2% (33)
Feeling EMOTIONALLY burdened by providing care for another person	77% (1120)	11% (157)	8% (110)	5% (69)
Feeling FINANCIALLY burdened by providing care for another person	81% (1199)	13% (197)	3% (47)	2% (31)
Performing regular activities, including walking, eating and preparing meals	80% (1214)	13% (200)	5% (69)	3% (43)
Finding meaningful volunteer work	87% (885)	8% (86)	3% (33)	2% (17)
Finding productive or meaningful activities to do	77% (1061)	17% (227)	5% (70)	1% (17)
Having interesting recreational or cultural activities to attend	68% (827)	22% (265)	6% (74)	4% (44)
Having interesting social events or activities to attend	72% (890)	18% (219)	5% (66)	5% (58)

11. Thinking back over the past 12 months, how much time did you spend in each of the following?

Not including don't know

Characteristic	0 days	1-2 days	3-5 days	6 or more days
As a patient in a hospital	83% (1256)	6% (84)	4% (61)	8% (117)
In a long-term care facility (including nursing home or in-patient rehabilitation facility)	100% (1404)	0% (1)	0% (0)	0% (6)

12. Thinking back over the past 12 months, how many times have you fallen and injured yourself?

Not including don't know

Answer Choice	Percent
Never	71% (1082)
1 to 2 times	25% (383)
3 to 5 times	3% (41)
More than 5 times	2% (25)

13. Please indicate whether or not you have done each of the following in the last 12 months.

Not including don't know

Characteristic	No	Yes
Attended a local public meeting (of local elected officials like City Council or County Commissioners, advisory boards, town halls, HOA, neighborhood watch, etc.)	76% (1158)	24% (367)
Watched (online or on television) a local public meeting	67% (1009)	33% (503)
Voted in your most recent local election	12% (175)	88% (1348)
Participated in a civic group (including Elks, Kiwanis, Masons, etc.)	93% (1414)	7% (113)
Used a senior center in your community	93% (1409)	7% (112)
Used a public library in your community	56% (853)	44% (674)
Used a recreation center in your community	55% (832)	45% (694)
Participated in a recreation program or group activity	69% (1037)	31% (472)
Participated in religious or spiritual activities with others	56% (860)	44% (666)
Participated in a club (including book, dance, game, and other social)	67% (976)	33% (476)

14. During a typical week, how many hours do you spend:

Not including don't know

Characteristic	Never	1 to 3 hours	4 to 5 hours	6 to 10 hours	11 to 19 hours	20 or more hours
Assisting friends, relatives, or neighbors	13% (194)	60% (872)	12% (170)	6% (81)	3% (39)	7% (108)
Volunteering your time	60% (890)	30% (442)	6% (93)	2% (26)	1% (8)	1% (18)
Talking or visiting with friends/family	2% (31)	41% (619)	25% (382)	9% (138)	8% (123)	14% (212)
Providing care to someone age 55+	69% (1026)	15% (220)	4% (59)	3% (43)	1% (8)	10% (142)
Providing care to someone age 18 to 54	91% (1373)	4% (59)	2% (26)	1% (18)	0% (5)	1% (22)
Providing care someone under age 18	84% (1251)	5% (77)	2% (28)	1% (22)	0% (7)	7% (109)
Receiving assistance, paid or unpaid (e.g., with shopping, cooking, etc.)	94% (1425)	4% (54)	1% (19)	0% (3)	0% (1)	1% (9)

15. Please answer the following, as they relate to Internet access at your home:

Not including don't know

Characteristic	No	Yes
I have high-speed internet/broadband at home	5% (72)	95% (1446)
High speed internet is not available	96% (1315)	4% (52)
I can't afford high speed internet	84% (1163)	16% (227)
I'm not interested in high speed internet	94% (1227)	7% (85)

Characteristic	No	Yes
High speed internet is available, but is not reliable	79% (1046)	21% (272)

16. In general, how many times do you:

Not including don't know

Characteristic	Several times a day	Once a day	A few times a week	Every few weeks	Less often or never
Access the internet from your home using a computer, laptop, or tablet computer	74% (1114)	9% (140)	8% (115)	1% (16)	8% (117)
Access the internet from your cell phone	62% (940)	10% (148)	5% (70)	1% (17)	22% (336)
Visit social media sites such as Facebook, Twitter, Nextdoor, etc.	40% (613)	10% (149)	14% (211)	3% (53)	33% (501)
Use or check email	61% (917)	20% (304)	12% (184)	1% (9)	6% (95)
Share your opinions online	8% (116)	3% (39)	4% (55)	21% (309)	64% (922)
Shop online	14% (199)	3% (46)	12% (170)	49% (700)	21% (301)

17. How many years have you lived in your community?

Not including don't know

Answer Choice	Percent
Less than 2 years	13% (195)
2-5 years	32% (483)
6-10 years	11% (167)
11-20 years	10% (160)
More than 20 years	34% (527)

18. Which best describes the building you live in?

Not including don't know

Answer Choice	Percent
Single family home	73% (1114)
Townhouse, condominium, duplex, or apartment	23% (359)
Mobile home	3% (47)
Assisted living residence	0% (4)
Nursing home	0% (0)
Other	0% (7)

19. Do you rent or own your home?

Not including don't know

Answer Choice	Percent
Rent	24% (367)
Own (with a mortgage payment)	36% (544)
Own (free and clear; no mortgage)	40% (620)

20. About how much is your monthly housing cost for the place you live (including rent, mortgage payment, property tax, property insurance, and homeowners' association (HOA) fees)?

Not including don't know

Answer Choice	Percent
Less than \$300 per month	11% (165)
\$300 to \$599 per month	10% (152)
\$600 to \$999 per month	17% (259)
\$1,000 to \$1,499 per month	24% (368)
\$1,500 to \$2,499 per month	29% (442)
\$2,500 or more per month	9% (132)

21. How many people, including yourself, live in your household?

Not including don't know

Answer Choice	Percent
1 person (live alone)	25% (381)
2 people	55% (845)
3 people	6% (95)
4 or more people	13% (202)

22. How many of these people, including yourself, are 60 or older?

Not including don't know

Answer Choice	Percent
1 person	38% (577)
2 people	57% (859)
3 people	5% (78)
4 or more people	0% (3)

23. What is your employment status?

Not including don't know

Answer Choice	Percent
Fully retired	59% (893)
Working full time for pay	24% (370)
Working part time for pay	15% (232)
Unemployed, looking for paid work	2% (24)

24. At what age do you expect to retire completely and not work for pay at all?

Not including don't know

Answer Choice	Percent
60-64	11% (70)
65-67	32% (199)
68-69	10% (60)
70-72	14% (87)
73 or older	33% (208)

25. How much do you anticipate your household's total income before taxes will be for the current year? (Please include in your total income money from all sources for all persons living in your household.)

Not including don't know

Answer Choice	Percent
Less than \$25,000	18% (265)
\$25,000 to \$49,999	16% (234)
\$50,000 to \$74,999	22% (321)
\$75,000 to \$99,999	13% (193)
\$100,000 to \$149,999	17% (256)
\$150,000 to \$199,999	9% (129)
\$200,000 or more.	6% (88)

26. Are you Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino?

Not including don't know

Answer Choice	Percent
No, not of Hispanic, Latino/a/x, or Spanish origin	94% (1405)
Yes, I consider myself to be of Hispanic, Latino/a/x, or Spanish origin	6% (84)

27. What is your race? (Mark one or more races to indicate what race you consider yourself to be.)

Not including don't know

Answer Choice	Percent
American Indian or Alaska Native	5% (88)
Asian	2% (28)
Black or African American	16% (256)
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0% (0)
White	75% (1215)
A race not listed	3% (42)

28. What is your gender?

Not including don't know

Answer Choice	Percent
Woman	56% (851)
Man	44% (681)
Identify in another way	0% (0)

29. How do you identify?

Not including don't know

Answer Choice	Percent
Transgender man/trans man	0% (0)
Transgender woman/trans woman	0% (0)
Genderqueer/gender nonconforming	0% (0)
Prefer not to answer	100% (0)
Other	0% (0)

30. Please specify how you identify:

Not including don't know

31. What is your sexual orientation?

Not including don't know

Answer Choice	Percent
Heterosexual	93% (1346)
Lesbian	0% (4)
Gay	1% (8)
Bisexual	0% (2)
Identify in another way	6% (93)

32. How do you identify?

Not including don't know

Answer Choice	Percent
Queer, pansexual, and/or questioning	0% (0)
Don't know	1% (1)
Prefer not to answer	99% (92)
Other	1% (1)

33. Please specify how you identify:

Not including don't know

Answer Choice
Asexual.

Section 17: National Benchmark Comparisons

Rated characteristics are eligible for trend and benchmark comparisons. For trends, the characteristic must have been asked in a prior survey, and to be statistically significant the difference between the last measurement and current measurements must be at least 5 percentage points. All characteristics should have benchmarks, and to be statistically significant (more/less favorable), the difference must be at least 10 points. To be much more favorable/unfavorable, difference must be at least 20 points. Demographic questions aren't eligible for trend and benchmark comparisons. Though all survey questions are listed in this section, demographic questions are noted as not having trends or benchmarks.

1. In which category is your age?

Percent positive, trends, and benchmarks do not apply to this question

2. Please rate each of the following aspects of quality of life in your community.

Percent positive is the percentage of responses that rated the characteristic as: "Excellent" or "Good"

Characteristic	% positive	National Benchmark	Rank	# of Compared Communities
Your community as a place to live	76	–	281	347
Your neighborhood as a place to live	75	∨	315	344
Your community as a place to retire	63	–	281	347
Sense of community in your community	49	–	260	347
The overall quality of life in your community	73	–	273	344


3. Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to your community as a whole.

Percent positive is the percentage of responses that rated the characteristic as: "Excellent" or "Good"

Characteristic	% positive	National Benchmark	Rank	# of Compared Communities
Overall economic health of your community	69	<input type="radio"/>	135	344
Overall quality of the transportation system (auto, bicycle, foot, bus) in your community	49	<input type="radio"/>	197	344
Overall design or layout of your community's residential and commercial areas (e.g., homes, buildings, streets, parks, etc.)	62	<input type="radio"/>	84	344
Overall quality of the utility infrastructure in your community (water, sewer, storm water, electric/gas, broadband)	65	<input type="radio"/>	91	250
Overall feeling of safety in your community	54	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	308	347
Overall quality of natural environment in your community	68	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	304	344
Overall quality of parks and recreation opportunities	69	<input type="radio"/>	162	250
Overall health and wellness opportunities in your community	55	<input type="radio"/>	239	344
Overall opportunities for education, culture, and the arts	53	<input type="radio"/>	226	344
Residents' connection and engagement with their community	35	<input type="radio"/>	211	250



4. How would you rate the overall services provided to older adults in your community?

Percent positive is the percentage of responses that rated the characteristic as: "Excellent" or "Good"

Characteristic	% positive	National Benchmark	Rank	# of Compared Communities
How would you rate the overall services provided to older adults in your community?	36		77	149

5. Please indicate how likely or unlikely you are to do each of the following.

Percent positive is the percentage of responses that rated the characteristic as: "Very likely" or "Somewhat likely"

Characteristic	% likely	National Benchmark	Rank	# of Compared Communities
Recommend living in your community to older adults	68		253	347
Remain in your community throughout your retirement	83		71	149




6. In general, how informed or uninformed do you feel about services and activities available to older adults in your community?

Percent positive is the percentage of responses that rated the characteristic as: "Very informed" or "Somewhat informed"

Characteristic	% informed	National Benchmark	Rank	# of Compared Communities
In general, how informed or uninformed do you feel about services and activities available to older adults in your community?	39			


7. Please rate the quality of each of the following.

Percent positive is the percentage of responses that rated the characteristic as: "Excellent" or "Good"

Characteristic	% positive	National Benchmark	Rank	# of Compared Communities
Your overall physical health	71		279	346
Your overall mental health/emotional wellbeing	86		82	149
Your overall quality of life	83		84	149

8. What impact, if any, do you think the economy will have on your family income in the next 6 months? Do you think the impact will be:

Percent positive is the percentage of responses that rated the characteristic as: "Very positive" or "Somewhat positive"

Characteristic	% positive	National Benchmark	Rank	# of Compared Communities
What impact, if any, do you think the economy will have on your family income in the next 6 months? Do you think the impact will be:	15		304	344

9. Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to older adults in your community.

Percent positive is the percentage of responses that rated the characteristic as: "Excellent" or "Good"













Characteristic	% positive	National Benchmark	Rank	# of Compared Communities
Ease of travel by public transportation in your community	31	–	187	330
Ease of travel by car in your community	86	^	32	347
Ease of walking in your community	77	–	99	347
Ease of bicycling in your community	78	–	28	108
Ease of getting to the places you usually have to visit	73	–	81	147
Opportunities to build work skills	37	–	33	146
Quality of employment opportunities for older adults	32	–	204	346
Variety of employment opportunities for older adults	32	–	28	146
Cost of living in your community	18	–	238	347
Availability of affordable quality food	46	–	215	339
Availability of affordable quality housing	13	–	246	346
Variety of housing options	30	–	192	347
Availability of accessible housing (e.g., homes with a no step entry, single-floor living, wide hallways and doorways)	22	–	53	146

Characteristic	% positive	National Benchmark	Rank	# of Compared Communities
Availability of mixed-use neighborhoods where people live close to places where they can eat, shop, work, and receive services	29	–	33	146
Public places where people want to spend time	40	–	244	343
Availability of information about resources for older adults	19	–	103	149
Availability of financial or legal planning services	29	–	45	149
Availability of long-term care options	26	–	77	148
Availability of daytime care options for older adults	39	^	9	149
Availability of affordable quality physical health care	59	–	157	338
Availability of affordable quality mental health care	51	–	111	336
Availability of preventive health services (e.g., health screenings, flu shots, educational workshops)	56	–	178	336
Recreation opportunities (including games, arts, library services, etc.)	55	–	220	345
Fitness opportunities (including exercise classes and paths or trails, etc.)	60	–	215	344
Opportunities to participate in community matters	39	–	259	344
Opportunities to volunteer	47	–	189	253
Opportunities to enroll in skill-building or personal enrichment classes	34	–	37	149







Characteristic	% positive	National Benchmark	Rank	# of Compared Communities
Opportunities to attend social events or activities	62	–	165	344
Opportunities to attend religious or spiritual activities	76	–	53	149
Openness and acceptance of the community towards older residents of diverse backgrounds	63	–	148	347
Making all residents feel welcome	65	–	104	250
Valuing older residents in your community	43	–	83	149
Neighborliness of your community	43	–	206	252




10. Older adults may or may not face a number of challenges. Thinking back over the last 12 months, how much of a problem, if at all, have each of the following been for you?

Percent positive is the percentage of responses that rated the characteristic as: "Minor problem", "Moderate problem", or "Major problem"

Characteristic	% problematic	National Benchmark	Rank	# of Compared Communities
Having enough money to meet daily expenses	56		68	149
Having enough money to pay your property taxes	60		115	149
Having housing to suit your needs	72		69	149
Doing heavy or intense housework	33		119	149
Maintaining your home	36		125	149
Maintaining your yard	43		98	149
Having safe and affordable transportation available	58		105	149
No longer being able to drive	87		52	149
Finding work in retirement	71		27	149
Building skills for paid or unpaid work	64		29	149
Having adequate information or dealing with public programs such as Social Security, Medicare, and Medicaid	51		24	149
Not knowing what services are available to older adults in your community	32		47	149



Characteristic	% problematic	National Benchmark	Rank	# of Compared Communities
Your physical health	47	–	59	149
Falling or injuring yourself in your home	69	–	62	149
Finding affordable health insurance	71	^	5	149
Getting the health care you need	68	–	26	149
Getting the oral health care you need	66	–	43	149
Getting the vision care you need	72	^	30	149
Affording the medications you need	62	–	86	149
Staying physically fit	38	–	110	149
Maintaining a healthy diet	58	–	56	149
Having enough food to eat	91	–	16	149
Experiencing confusion or forgetfulness	75	–	15	149
Feeling depressed	59	–	83	149
Feeling bored	62	–	55	149
Having friends or family you can rely on	66	–	89	149

Characteristic	% problematic	National Benchmark	Rank	# of Compared Communities
Feeling lonely or isolated	70		28	149
Dealing with the loss of a close family member or friend	68		10	149
Being a victim of crime	82		110	149
Being a victim of fraud or a scam	72		112	149
Being physically or emotionally abused	96		14	147
Being treated unfairly or discriminated against because of your age	67		141	146
Feeling like you don't fit in or belong	65		110	146
Feeling like your voice is heard in the community	53		96	149
Feeling PHYSICALLY burdened by providing care for another person	79		91	149
Feeling EMOTIONALLY burdened by providing care for another person	77		66	149
Feeling FINANCIALLY burdened by providing care for another person	81		86	149
Performing regular activities, including walking, eating and preparing meals	80		22	108
Finding meaningful volunteer work	87		5	108

Characteristic	% problematic	National Benchmark	Rank	# of Compared Communities
Finding productive or meaningful activities to do	77		9	108
Having interesting recreational or cultural activities to attend	68		19	108
Having interesting social events or activities to attend	72		5	108


11. Thinking back over the past 12 months, how much time did you spend in each of the following?

Percent positive is the percentage of responses that rated the characteristic as: "1-2 days", "3-5 days", or "6 or more days"

Characteristic	% of respondents	National Benchmark	Rank	# of Compared Communities
As a patient in a hospital	17		116	149
In a long-term care facility (including nursing home or in-patient rehabilitation facility)	0		131	131











12. Thinking back over the past 12 months, how many times have you fallen and injured yourself?

Percent positive is the percentage of responses that rated the characteristic as: "1 to 2 times", "3 to 5 times", or "More than 5 times"

Characteristic	% of respondents	National Benchmark	Rank	# of Compared Communities
Thinking back over the past 12 months, how many times have you fallen and injured yourself?	29		134	149








13. Please indicate whether or not you have done each of the following in the last 12 months.

Percent positive is the percentage of responses that rated the characteristic as: "Yes"

Characteristic	% yes	National Benchmark	Rank	# of Compared Communities
Attended a local public meeting (of local elected officials like City Council or County Commissioners, advisory boards, town halls, HOA, neighborhood watch, etc.)	24		147	346
Watched (online or on television) a local public meeting	33		15	149
Voted in your most recent local election	88		105	248
Participated in a civic group (including Elks, Kiwanis, Masons, etc.)	7		142	149
Used a senior center in your community	7		142	149
Used a public library in your community	44		99	149
Used a recreation center in your community	45		16	149
Participated in a recreation program or group activity	31		52	149
Participated in religious or spiritual activities with others	44		88	149
Participated in a club (including book, dance, game, and other social)	33		37	149


14. During a typical week, how many hours do you spend:

Percent positive is the percentage of responses that rated the characteristic as: "1 to 3 hours", "4 to 5 hours", "6 to 10 hours", "11 to 19 hours", or "20 or more hours"

Characteristic	% of respondents	National Benchmark	Rank	# of Compared Communities
Assisting friends, relatives, or neighbors	87		10	149
Volunteering your time	40		137	149
Talking or visiting with friends/family	98		21	149
Providing care to someone age 55+	31		101	149
Providing care to someone age 18 to 54	9		141	149
Providing care someone under age 18	16		100	149
Receiving assistance, paid or unpaid (e.g., with shopping, cooking, etc.)	6		92	108






15. Please answer the following, as they relate to Internet access at your home:

Percent positive is the percentage of responses that rated the characteristic as: "Yes"

Characteristic	% yes	National Benchmark	Rank	# of Compared Communities
I have high-speed internet/broadband at home	95		4	88
High speed internet is not available	4			
I can't afford high speed internet	16			
I'm not interested in high speed internet	6			
High speed internet is available, but is not reliable	21			

16. In general, how many times do you:

Percent positive is the percentage of responses that rated the characteristic as: "Several times a day", "Once a day", or "A few times a week"

Characteristic	% of respondents	National Benchmark	Rank	# of Compared Communities
Access the internet from your home using a computer, laptop, or tablet computer	91		88	249
Access the internet from your cell phone	77		138	249
Visit social media sites such as Facebook, Twitter, Nextdoor, etc.	64		102	248
Use or check email	93		87	249
Share your opinions online	15		238	249

Characteristic	% of respondents	National Benchmark	Rank	# of Compared Communities
Shop online	29	–	172	249

17. How many years have you lived in your community?

Percent positive, trends, and benchmarks do not apply to this question

18. Which best describes the building you live in?

Percent positive, trends, and benchmarks do not apply to this question

19. Do you rent or own your home?

Percent positive, trends, and benchmarks do not apply to this question

20. About how much is your monthly housing cost for the place you live (including rent, mortgage payment, property tax, property insurance, and homeowners' association (HOA) fees)?

Percent positive, trends, and benchmarks do not apply to this question

21. How many people, including yourself, live in your household?

Percent positive, trends, and benchmarks do not apply to this question

22. How many of these people, including yourself, are 60 or older?

Percent positive, trends, and benchmarks do not apply to this question

23. What is your employment status?

Percent positive, trends, and benchmarks do not apply to this question

24. At what age do you expect to retire completely and not work for pay at all?

Percent positive, trends, and benchmarks do not apply to this question

25. How much do you anticipate your household's total income before taxes will be for the current year? (Please include in your total income money from all sources for all persons living in your household.)

Percent positive, trends, and benchmarks do not apply to this question

26. Are you Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino?

Percent positive, trends, and benchmarks do not apply to this question

27. What is your race? (Mark one or more races to indicate what race you consider yourself to be.)

Percent positive, trends, and benchmarks do not apply to this question

28. What is your gender?

Percent positive, trends, and benchmarks do not apply to this question

29. How do you identify?

Percent positive, trends, and benchmarks do not apply to this question

31. What is your sexual orientation?

Percent positive, trends, and benchmarks do not apply to this question

32. How do you identify?

Percent positive, trends, and benchmarks do not apply to this question

Section 18: Methods

About the Community Assessment Survey for Older Adults (CASOA)[®]

The Community Assessment Survey for Older Adults (CASOA)[®] was developed by National Research Center at Polco (NRC) to provide an accurate, affordable and easy way to assess and interpret the experience of older adults in the community. The CASOA[®] survey instrument and its administration are standardized to assure high-quality survey methods and comparable results across CASOA communities. The CASOA was customized for City of Aurora to reflect the correct local age definition of older adults and to use official City of Aurora graphics, contact information and signatures on survey invitation mailing materials. The City of Aurora sponsored and funded this research. Please contact Jayla Sanchez-Warren of the City of Aurora at jswarren@drcog.org if you have any questions about the survey.

Questionnaire Development

The CASOA questionnaire contains many questions related to the life of older residents in the community. The instrument includes questions related to overall quality of life, characteristics of the community, perceptions of safety, and many different needs common to older adults.

The questionnaire grew from a synthesis of numerous data collection processes, including a national search of needs assessments conducted by communities across the United States, a review of the literature on aging, and numerous surveys and large-scale needs assessments conducted by NRC. A blue-ribbon panel of national experts contributed to the concept and content of CASOA.

The items in the questionnaire were pilot tested on older adult residents using a “think-aloud” method in which older adults were asked to complete the survey and describe their thought processes

related to specific questions and question sets. The results of the pilot test were used to alter the questionnaire for better understanding by senior participants. The final questionnaire was tested in a set of diverse U.S. communities and modifications again were made as necessary.

Random (Probability) Sample Survey

Selecting Survey Recipients

One of the first steps taken to ensure survey results are representative of the target population is to use a source from which survey recipients are selected that provides adequate to good coverage of the target population. This source is referred to as the sampling frame.

The target population for this survey was residents age 60 years or older in households within the City of Aurora boundaries.

Since it would be cost prohibitive to survey every person age 60 years or older in City of Aurora, a random selection of records from the sampling frame was made. This process can be illustrated using an example that may be familiar from a math or statistics class of a jar of marbles of various colors. If the jar has two-thirds red marbles and one-third blue marbles, a random selection of marbles from that jar should result in a similar proportion (although perhaps not identical) of red and blue marbles as in the original jar.

The sampling frame used for this survey was a list of households with a high likelihood of having a resident age 60 years or older within the City of Aurora boundaries from Marketing Systems Group. These lists, compiled by sampling and marketing firms based on data from multiple sources (such as warranty information, voting lists, and more), provide fairly complete coverage of all members of the target population.

Data Collection

Each randomly selected household received two mailings, about one week apart, beginning on August, 5, 2022. The first mailing was a prenotification postcard announcing the upcoming survey. This half-sheet postcard included a URL so that recipients could immediately go online to complete the survey if they wished. The second mailing contained a letter from the Area Agency on Aging Director inviting the household to participate, a printed questionnaire and a postage-paid return envelope. The survey was available in English, Spanish, Vietnamese, Korean, and Arabic. Completed surveys were collected over the following 7 weeks.

About 214 (4%) of the 5,585 surveys mailed were returned because the housing unit was vacant or the postal service was unable to deliver the survey as addressed. Of the remaining 5,371 households that received the survey, 513 completed the survey, providing an overall response rate of 9.55%. Of the total surveys received, 357 were completed using the hard copy surveys while 156 were submitted online. Response rates are calculated using AAPOR's response rate #2¹ for mailed surveys of unnamed persons.

Open Participation Survey

In addition to the random sample "probability" survey described above, an open participation survey was conducted, in which all older adults age 60 years or older were invited to participate. The open participation survey instrument was identical to the probability sample survey. This survey was conducted entirely online. For the open participation survey a single URL was provided to contacts from each AAA to share with constituents through email lists, social media accounts, service settings and community partners. The URL directed community members to a short survey where they indicated their geographic location and were directed to the survey for their appropriate county and AAA. Each Area Agency on Aging conducted all outreach, after receiving guidance on best practices for conducting such outreach from Polco. This guidance suggested the use of social media, press releases, newsletters and e-newsletters, existing resident email lists, printed materials, and invitations publicized at local and

virtual meetings. This survey became available to all residents on 8/29/2022 and remained open until 9/26/2022. A total of 2 surveys were completed by open participation survey respondents.

Analysis and Reporting

Confidence Intervals

It is customary to describe the precision of estimates made from probability surveys by a “level of confidence” and accompanying “confidence interval” (or margin of error). A traditional level of confidence, and the one used here, is 95%. The 95% confidence interval can be any size and quantifies the sampling error or imprecision of the survey results because some residents’ opinions are relied on to estimate all residents’ opinions.²

The margin of error for the City of Aurora survey is no greater than plus or minus 4.33 percentage points around any given percent reported for all probability survey respondents (513). For subgroups of responses, the margin of error increases because the number of respondents for each subgroup is smaller. For subgroups of approximately 100 respondents, the margin of error is plus or minus 10 percentage points.

The open participation survey results were combined with responses from the probability sample survey, for a total of 515 completed surveys. With the inclusion of the open participation survey participants, it is likely that the precision of the responses would be even greater (and thus the margin of error smaller).

Survey Processing (Data Entry)

Upon receipt, completed hard copy surveys were assigned a unique identification number. Additionally, each survey was reviewed and “cleaned” as necessary. An example of cleaning would be if a question asked a respondent to pick two items out of a list of five, but the respondent checked three; in this case, NRC would use protocols to randomly choose two of the three selected items for inclusion in the dataset.

All surveys then were entered twice into an electronic dataset; any discrepancies were resolved in comparison to the original survey form. Range checks as well as other forms of quality control were also performed.

The online survey data was collected on Polco, an online civic engagement platform. Use of Polco means all collected data are entered into the dataset immediately when the respondents submit the surveys. Skip patterns are programmed into the system so respondents are automatically directed to the appropriate question (skipping irrelevant questions, when applicable) based on the individual responses given.

A series of quality control checks were also performed in order to ensure the integrity of the web data. Steps may include (and are not limited to) reviewing the data for clusters of repeat IP addresses and time stamps (indicating duplicate responses) and removing empty submissions (questionnaires submitted with no questions answered).

Survey Data Weighting

The primary objective of weighting survey data is to make the survey sample reflective of the larger population of City of Aurora. This is done by reviewing the demographic profile of respondents and comparing it to the demographic profile of older adults based on the most recent Census data. Those respondent subgroups that were less likely to respond are statistically adjusted to be given more weight, while those subgroups that were more likely to respond are given less weight. The characteristics used for weighting were age, gender, race, Hispanic origin, housing type, rent or own home, and area. No adjustments were made for design effects. Weights were calculated using an iterative, multiplicative raking model known as the ANES Weighting Algorithm (see <https://surveyinsights.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/07/Full-anesrake-paper.pdf> for more details). The results of the weighting scheme are presented in the following table.

Weighting Scheme for the 2022 City of Aurora CASOA

Demographic Group	Unweighted	Weighted	Population Target
-------------------	------------	----------	-------------------

Rent or Own Home			
Rent	10.4 %	22.5 %	22.4 %
Own	89.6 %	77.5 %	77.6 %
Housing Type			
Detached	68.1 %	73.2 %	73.3 %
Attached	31.9 %	26.8 %	26.7 %
Race			
White	84.6 %	73.3 %	73.4 %
Not white	15.4 %	26.7 %	26.6 %
Ethnicity			
Hispanic	4 %	9 %	9.5 %
Not Hispanic	96 %	91 %	90.5 %
Gender			
Female	60.3 %	55.6 %	55.6 %
Male	39.7 %	44.4 %	44.4 %
Age			
Age 60 to 64	18.6 %	29.6 %	29.6 %
Age 65 to 74	51.1 %	45.3 %	45.3 %
Age 75 and over	30.3 %	25.1 %	25.1 %
Gender and Age			
Female 60 to 64	11.4 %	15.7 %	15.4 %
Female 65 to 74	31 %	24.2 %	24.9 %
Female 75 and over	17.9 %	15.6 %	15.3 %
Male 60 to 64	7.3 %	13.9 %	14.2 %
Male 65 to 74	19.8 %	21 %	20.4 %
Male 75 and over	12.6 %	9.6 %	9.8 %

Reporting

For the most part, the percentages presented in the reports represent the “percent positive.” The percent positive is the combination of the top two most positive response options (i.e., excellent and good, very safe and somewhat safe, essential and very important, etc.), or, in the case of resident behaviors/participation, the percent positive represents the proportion of respondents indicating yes or participating in an activity at least once a month.

On many of the questions in the survey respondents may answer don’t know. The proportion of respondents giving this reply is shown in the full set of responses included in the Responses tab. However, these responses have been removed from the analyses presented in the main body of the report. In other words, the tables and graphs display the responses from respondents who had an opinion about a specific item.

Multiple Response Questions

For some questions, respondents were permitted to select multiple responses. When the total exceeds 100% in a table for a multiple response question, it is because some respondents are counted in multiple categories.

Rounding

Excluding the Participants tab, percentages shown are rounded to the nearest whole number. This can sometimes mean that the percent of responses across all the possible response categories may sum to something other than exactly 100%. It also means that in some instances, the “percent positive,” “percent problem,” or other summaries of data may not equal the rounded percentages of the two categories. For example, if 30.4% of respondents rated quality of life as excellent, and 20.4% of respondents rated it as good, a display of all the responses will show 30% excellent and 20% good. However, a display of the percent rating quality of life as excellent or good will show 51% (as 30.4% + 20.4% equals 50.8%, which rounds to 51%).

Making Comparisons to Benchmarks

National Research Center at Polco has developed a database that collates responses to CASOA and related surveys administered in other communities, which allows the results from City of Aurora to be compared against a set of national benchmarks. This benchmarking database includes responses from more than 35,000 older adults (age 55 and over) in over 327 communities across the nation.

Ratings are compared when similar questions are included in Polco's database, and there are at least five other communities in which the question was asked. Where comparisons for ratings were available, City of Aurora's results are shown as being more favorable than the benchmark, less favorable than the benchmark or similar to the benchmark. In instances where ratings are considerably more or less favorable than the benchmark, these ratings have been further demarcated by the attribute of "much," (for example, much more favorable or much less favorable).

Reporting Statistical Significance

For the crosstabs of survey results by selected respondent characteristic, chi-square or ANOVA (Analysis of Variance) tests of significance were applied to these breakdowns of selected survey questions. A p-value of 0.05 or less indicates that there is less than a 5% probability that differences observed between groups are due to chance; or in other words, a greater than 95% probability that the differences observed in the selected categories of the sample represent "real" differences among those populations. However, it should be noted that while these tests of statistical significance were used to help guide readers and policy makers to differences that are likely not due to chance alone, these types of probabilistic inferences were designed for use when results come from random sampling alone (for more information, see Hirschauer, N., Gruner, S., Mußhoff, O., Becker, C., & Jantsch, A. (2020). Can p-values be meaningfully interpreted without random sampling? *Statistics Surveys*, 14, 71-91).

Community Readiness Scores

The community readiness scores presented in Community Readiness represents the average of the questions included in the index.

Although the evaluative or frequency questions were made on 4- or 5-point scales, with 1 representing the best rating, the scales had different labels (e.g., excellent, very likely). To calculate these average scores, the questions used in the index were converted to a common scale where 0 is the worst possible rating and 100 is the best possible rating. If everyone answered excellent, then the result would be 100 on the 0-100 scale. If the average rating for quality of life was right in the middle of the scale (halfway between good and fair), then the result would be 50. This scale can be thought of like the thermometer that is often used to illustrate total donations received by charitable organizations—the higher the thermometer reading, the closer to the goal. In this case, 100 (the top of the thermometer) would represent the most positive response possible. The table below shows the individual questions comprising each summary score for the six dimensions of community readiness, as well as the overall rating for the Quality of the Community.

Dimension of Community Readiness	Items Included in Community Readiness Score
Overall Community Quality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Your community as a place to live • Your neighborhood as a place to live • Your community as a place to retire • The overall quality of life in your community • Recommend living in your community to older adults • Remain in your community throughout your retirement
Community Design	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Housing • Mobility • Land Use
Employment and Finances	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Employment • Finances

Dimension of Community Readiness	Items Included in Community Readiness Score
Equity and Inclusivity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Equity • Community Inclusivity
Health and Wellness	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Overall feeling of safety in your community • Overall quality of natural environment in your community • Overall health and wellness opportunities in your community • Availability of affordable quality food • Availability of long-term care options • Availability of daytime care options for older adults • Availability of affordable quality physical health care • Availability of affordable quality mental health care • Availability of preventive health services (e.g., health screenings, flu shots, educational workshops) • Fitness opportunities (including exercise classes and paths or trails, etc.)
Information and Assistance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How would you rate the overall services provided to older adults in your community? • Availability of information about resources for older adults • Availability of financial or legal planning services
Productive Activities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Overall quality of parks and recreation opportunities • Overall opportunities for education, culture, and the arts • Residents' connection and engagement with their community • Recreation opportunities (including games, arts, library services, etc.) • Opportunities participate in community matters

Needs Summary

Each livability topic covered in the survey includes a summary of needs identified by respondents. For almost all of these needs summaries, a respondent was counted as having a need if they had a major problem or moderate problem with any of the items examined in each score area. The one exception is for the independent living topic; for this needs score, a respondent was counted as having a need if they reported spending any time in a hospital or in a long-term care facility in the last year.

Needs Score	Items Included in the Score
Caregiving	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Any of the following were a major or moderate problem: • Feeling PHYSICALLY burdened by providing care for another person • Feeling EMOTIONALLY burdened by providing care for another person • Feeling FINANCIALLY burdened by providing care for another person
Civic Engagement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Any of the following were a major or moderate problem: • Feeling like your voice is heard in the community
Community Inclusivity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Any of the following were a major or moderate problem: • Having friends or family you can rely on • Feeling lonely or isolated • Feeling like you don't fit in or belong
Employment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Any of the following were a major or moderate problem: • Finding work in retirement • Building skills for paid or unpaid work
Equity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Any of the following were a major or moderate problem: • Being treated unfairly or discriminated against because of your age

Needs Score	Items Included in the Score
Finances	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Any of the following were a major or moderate problem: • Having enough money to meet daily expenses • Having enough money to pay your property taxes
Health Care	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Any of the following were a major or moderate problem: • Finding affordable health insurance • Getting the health care you need • Getting the oral health care you need • Getting the vision care you need • Affording the medications you need
Housing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Any of the following were a major or moderate problem: • Having housing to suit your needs • Doing heavy or intense housework • Maintaining your home • Maintaining your yard
Independent Living	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Spent one or more days: • In a long-term care facility (including nursing home or in-patient rehabilitation facility) • As a patient in a hospital
Information and Assistance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Any of the following were a major or moderate problem: • Having adequate information or dealing with public programs such as Social Security, Medicare, and Medicaid • Not knowing what services are available to older adults in your community
Mental Health	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Any of the following were a major or moderate problem: • Experiencing confusion or forgetfulness • Feeling depressed • Dealing with the loss of a close family member or friend

Needs Score	Items Included in the Score
Mobility	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Any of the following were a major or moderate problem: • Having safe and affordable transportation available • No longer being able to drive
Physical Health	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Any of the following were a major or moderate problem: • Your physical health • Falling or injuring yourself in your home • Staying physically fit • Maintaining a healthy diet • Having enough food to eat
Safety	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Any of the following were a major or moderate problem: • Being a victim of crime • Being a victim of fraud or a scam • Being physically or emotionally abused
Social Engagement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Any of the following were a major or moderate problem: • Feeling bored

¹See AAPOR's Standard Definitions here:

[http://www.aapor.org/Standards-Ethics/Standard-Definitions-\(1\).aspx](http://www.aapor.org/Standards-Ethics/Standard-Definitions-(1).aspx)
for more information

²A 95% confidence interval indicates that for every 100 random samples of this many residents, 95 of the confidence intervals created will include the "true" population response. This theory is applied in practice to mean that the "true" perspective of the target population lies within the confidence interval created for a single survey. For example, if 75% of residents rate a service as excellent or good, then a 4% margin of error (for the 95% confidence interval) indicates that the range of likely responses for the entire community is between 71% and 79%. This source of uncertainty is called sampling error. In addition to sampling error, other sources of error may affect any survey, including the non-response of residents with opinions different from survey

responders. Though standardized on CASOA, on other surveys, differences in question wording, order, translation and data entry (as examples) can lead to somewhat varying results.

*Source: U.S. Census Bureau - 2020 American Community Survey 5-year estimates Age, rent or own home, and gender estimates are for those age 60+, while type of housing unit, race and ethnicity are for those age 65+.