**Application Overview**

**What**: The Non-MPO Area Call for Projects, programming federal fiscal years 2023-2027

**Eligible Locations within DRCOG Boundary:** Gilpin County and municipalities of Empire, Georgetown, Idaho Springs, and Sliver Plume; Clear Creek County and municipalities of Blackhawk and Central City; Adams County and municipalities (only east of Kiowa Creek) of Bennett; and Arapahoe County and municipalities (only east of Kiowa Creek) of Bennett and Deer Trail

**Funding Available and Match**: $1,671,000 in MMOF funds; 50% minimum match for Adams, Arapahoe, and Gilpin Counties, Bennett. 25% minimum match for Clear Creek County, Georgetown, Sliver Plume, Central City. No match required for Deer Trail, Empire, Idaho Springs, and Blackhawk

**Eligibility Project Types**: Transit (capital, operating, fixed-route, on-demand), TDM programs, multimodal mobility projects enabled by new technology, multimodal transportation studies, travel modeling tools, GHG mitigation projects that decrease VMT or increase multimodal travel, bike/ped projects

**Call Opens: May 2, 2022**

**Call Closes**: **June 24, 2022, 3 pm**

**Application Submittals:** submit the items below online through the submittal link on the [TIP Data Hub](https://drcog-tip-drcog.hub.arcgis.com/)

1. REQUIRED: a **single** **PDF document** containing the below. Please DO NOT attach additional cover pages, embed graphics in the application, or otherwise change the format of the application form
   1. this application
   2. one location map/graphic
   3. cost estimate (your own or the CDOT [cost estimate form](https://drive.google.com/file/d/1hPtjq4sfenY_7Ryq4hdIWP3jeo6DiVov/view))
   4. [CDOT concurrence response](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/Request%20for%20CDOT%20or%20RTD%20Support%20-%2022-27%20TIP%20Calls.pdf) (if applicable)
   5. any required documentation based on the application text (i.e., FHWA emissions calculators)
   6. project support letters and/or [Request for Peer Agency Support](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/Peer%20Agency%20Support%20Form%20March%202022.docx) (if applicable)
2. REQUIRED: Submit a zipped GIS shapefile of your project. Requests for assistance with creating a shapefile should be submitted to [tipapplications@drcog.org](mailto:tipapplications@drcog.org) no later than June 3, 2022
3. OPTIONAL: Submit **one** **additional** PDF document containing any supplemental materials, if applicable

**Other Notable items:**

* TIP Trainings: To be eligible to submit an application, at least one person from your agency must have attended a previous February 2022 training OR a mandatory virtual TIP training workshop on May 11, from 1-3pm. Please register [here](https://drcog.org/node/988379)
* CDOT Concurrence: If required, [CDOT concurrence](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/Request%20for%20CDOT%20or%20RTD%20Support%20-%2022-27%20TIP%20Calls.pdf) must be provided with the application submittal. The CDOT concurrence request is due to CDOT no later than May 13, 2022, with CDOT providing a response no later than June 10, 2022. Submit requests to JoAnn Mattson, [joann.mattson@state.co.us](mailto:joann.mattson@state.co.us)
* Application Data: To assist sponsors in filling out the application, DRCOG has developed a TIP Data Tool to streamline quantitative analyses requested in the application. A link to the TIP Data Tool and instructions on how to use it are available on the [TIP Data Hub](https://drcog-tip-drcog.hub.arcgis.com/). Additionally, sponsors may download datasets to run their own analyses from this same site. Requests for additional data or calculations from DRCOG staff should be submitted to [tipapplications@drcog.org](mailto:tipapplications@drcog.org) no later than June 3, 2022
* Project Affirmation: The application must be affirmed by either the applicant’s City or County Manager, Chief Elected Official (Mayor or County Commission Chair) for local governments, or agency director or equivalent for other applicants
* TIP Policy: Further details on project eligibility, evaluation criteria, and the selection process are defined in the [*Policies for* *TIP Program Development*](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/DRCOG%20Policies%20for%20TIP%20Program%20Development%20-%20Adopted%201-19-22.pdf)document (a [quick reference guide](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/DRCOG%20Policies%20for%20TIP%20Program%20Development%20Quick%20Guide%20-%20February%202022.pdf) was also developed)
* Evaluation Process: DRCOG staff will review and score eligible applications. A funding recommendation will then be provided to the DRCOG committee process for incorporation into the adopted TIP.
* If you have any questions or need assistance, reach out to us at [tipapplications@drcog.org](mailto:tipapplications@drcog.org)

**Application Format**

The Non-MPO Area MMOF application contains two parts: *project information* and *evaluation questions*.

**Project Information**

Applicants enter **foundational** information for the *project/program/study* (hereafter referred to as *project*), including a problem statement, project description, and concurrence documentation from CDOT, if applicable. This section is not scored.

**Evaluation Questions**

This part includes four sections (A-D) for the **applicant to provide qualitative and quantitative responses** to use for scoring projects. The checkboxes and data entry fields should guide the applicant’s responses. They are not directly scored but provide context as reviewers consider the full response to each question. Applicants may access the TIP Data Tool and additional data resources which applicants may find useful [here](https://drcog-tip-drcog.hub.arcgis.com/).

**Scoring Methodology**: Each section will be scored on a scale of 0 to 5*,* relative to other applications received. All questions will be factored into the final score, with any questions left blank receiving 0 points. The four sections are weighted and scored as follows:

1. **Impact of Proposed Projects 30%**

Projects will be evaluated on the degree to which they address a significant problem or benefit people throughout the Denver region. Relevant quantitative data should be included within narrative responses.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **5** | The project benefits will **substantially** address a **major** problem and benefit people and businesses in multiple municipalities or counties. |
| **4** | The project benefits will **significantly** address a **major** problem primarily benefiting people and businesses in one county. |
| **3** | The project benefits will either **moderately** address a **major** problem or **significantly** address a **moderate**-level problem. |
| **2** | The project benefits will **moderately** address a **moderate**-level problem. |
| **1** | The project benefits will address a **minor** problem. |
| **0** | The project does not address a subregional problem. |

1. **Metro Vision Regional Transportation Plan Priorities** **50%**

DRCOG investments should implement the 2050 Metro Vision Regional Transportation Plan (2050 MVRTP) regional project and program investment priorities, which contribute to addressing the Board-adopted Metro Vision objectives and the federal performance-based planning framework required by the Federal Highway Administration and Federal Transit Administration as outlined in current federal transportation legislation and regulations. Therefore, projects will be evaluated on the degree to which they address the six priorities identified in the 2050 MVRTP: safety, active transportation, air quality, multimodal mobility, freight, and regional transit. It is anticipated that projects may not be able to address all six priorities, but it’s in the applicant’s interest to address as many priority areas as possible. Relevant quantitative data is required to be included within narrative responses. The table below demonstrates how each priority area will be scored.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **5** | The project provides demonstrable **substantial** benefits in the 2050 MVRTP priority area and is determined to be in the **top fifth** of applications based on the magnitude of benefits in that priority area. |
| **4** | The project provides demonstrable **significant** benefits in the 2050 MVRTP priority area. |
| **3** | The project provides demonstrable **moderate** benefits in the 2050 MVRTP priority area and is determined to be in the **middle fifth** of applications based on the magnitude of benefits in that priority area. |
| **2** | The project provides demonstrable **modest** benefits in the 2050 MVRTP priority area. |
| **1** | The project provides demonstrable **slight** benefits in the 2050 MVRTP priority area and is determined to be in the **bottom fifth** of applications based on the magnitude of benefits in that priority area. |
| **0** | The project does not provide demonstrable benefits in the 2050 MVRTP priority area. |

1. **Project Leveraging** (“overmatch”)  **10%**

Scores are assigned based on the percent of other funding sources (non-DRCOG funds).

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Score** | % non-DRCOG funds ABOVE minimum match requirement |
| **5** | 40% and above |
| **4** | 30-39.9% |
| **3** | 20-29.9% |
| **2** | 10-19.9% |
| **1** | 0.1-9.9% |
| **0** | Min. Match Only |

1. **Project Readiness**  **10%**

Be sure to answer ALL questions. While “Yes” answers will generally reflect greater readiness, opportunities are given to provide additional details to assist reviewers in fully evaluating the readiness of your project.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **5** | **Substantial** readiness is demonstrated and all known obstacles that are likely to result in project delays have been mitigated. |
| **4** | **Significant** readiness is demonstrated and several known obstacles that are likely to result in project delays have been mitigated. |
| **3** | **Moderate** readiness is demonstrated and some known obstacles that are likely to result in project delays have been mitigated. |
| **2** | **Slight** readiness is demonstrated and some known obstacles that are likely to result in project delays have been mitigated. |
| **1** | **Few** mitigation or readiness activities have been demonstrated. |
| **0** | **No** mitigation or readiness activities have been demonstrated. |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | | | | Project Information | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Project Title | | | | | | |  | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Project Location   *Provide a map, as appropriate (see Page 1)* | | | | | | | Start point:        End point:  OR Geographic Area: | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Project Sponsor *(entity that will be financially responsible for the project)* | | | | | | |  | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Project Contact Person: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Name | |  | | | | | | | | Title |  | | | | | | |
| Phone | |  | | | | | | | | Email |  | | | | | | |
| 1. Required CDOT Concurrence: Does this project touch CDOT Right-of-Way or involve a CDOT roadway? | | | | | | | | | | | | Yes  No  *If yes, provide applicable concurrence documentation* | | | | | |
| 1. What planning document(s) identifies this project?   *Provide link to document/s and referenced page number if possible, or provide documentation in the supplement* | | | | | [DRCOG 2050 Metro Vision Regional Transportation Plan (2050 MVRTP)](https://drcog.org/2050-metro-vision-regional-transportation-plan-and-associated-air-quality-conformity-documents)  Provide MVRTP staging period, if applicable capital project: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Local/Regional plan: | | | Planning Document Title:  Adopting agency (local agency Council, CDOT, etc.):  Provide date of adoption by council/board/commission, if applicable: | | | | | | | | | |
| Please describe public review/engagement to date: | | |  | | | | | | | | | |
| Other pertinent details: | | |  | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Identify the project’s **key phases and the anticipated schedule of phase milestones**.   (phases and dates should correspond with the Funding Breakdown table below) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Phases to be included**: | | | | | | **Major phase milestones**: | | | | | | | | **Anticipated completion date (based on 9/21/2022 DRCOG approval date)**:  (MM/YYYY) | | | |
| FOR ALL PHASES | | | | | | Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA) executed (with CDOT; assumed process is 4-9 months) | | | | | | | |  | | | |
| Design | | | | | | Design contract Notice to Proceed (NTP) issued (if using a consultant): | | | | | | | |  | | | |
| Design scoping meeting held with CDOT (if no consultant): | | | | | | | |  | | | |
| Environmental | | | | | | Environmental contract Notice to Proceed (NTP) issued (if using a consultant): | | | | | | | |  | | | |
| Design scoping meeting held with CDOT (if no consultant): | | | | | | | |  | | | |
| Right-of-Way | | | | | | Initial set of ROW plans submitted to CDOT: | | | | | | | |  | | | |
| ROW acquisition completed:  Estimated number of parcels to acquire: | | | | | | | |  | | | |
| Construction | | | | | | FIR (Field Inspection Review): | | | | | | | |  | | | |
| FOR (Final Office Review): | | | | | | | |  | | | |
| Required clearances: | | | | | | | |  | | | |
| Project publicly advertised: | | | | | | | |  | | | |
| Study | | | | | | Kick-off meeting held after consultant NTP (or internal if no consultant): | | | | | | | |  | | | |
| Bus Service | | | | | | Service begins: | | | | | | | |  | | | |
| Equipment Purchase (Procurement) | | | | | | RFP/RFQ/RFB (bids) issued: | | | | | | | |  | | | |
| Other: | | | | | | First invoice submitted to CDOT: | | | | | | | |  | | | |
|  | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. **Problem Statement:** What specific problem/issue will the transportation project address? | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Identify the project’s **key elements**. A single project may have multiple project elements. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Grade Separation**  Railway  Bicycle  Pedestrian  **Regional Transit**  Mobility Hub(s)  Transit Facilities/Service (Expansion/New)  **Safety Improvements**  **Active Transportation Improvements**  Bicycle Facility  Pedestrian Facility | | | | | | | | | **Air Quality Improvements**  **Improvements Impacting Freight**  **Multimodal Mobility** (i.e., accommodating a broad range of users)  Complete Streets Improvements  **Study**  **Other**, briefly describe: | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Define the **scope** and **specific** **elements** of the project (including any elements checked in #9 above).   *DO NOT include scope elements that will not be part of the DRCOG funded project or your IGA scope of work (i.e., adjacent locally funded improvements or the project merits and benefits). Please keep the response to this question tailored to details of the scope only and no more than five sentences.* | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. What is the current status of the proposed scope as defined in Question 10 above? *Note that overall project readiness is addressed in more detail in Section D below.* | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Would a smaller DRCOG-allocation than requested be acceptable, while maintaining the original intent of the project? | | | | | | | | | | | | Yes  No | | | | | |
| *If yes, smaller meaningful limits, size, service level, phases, or scopes, along with the cost,* ***MUST*** *be defined.*  Smaller DRCOG funding request:  Outline the differences between the scope outlined above and the reduced scope: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Project Financial Information and Funding Request (All funding amounts in $1,000s)** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Total amount of** **DRCOG Funding Request (in $1,000’s)**  *Infrastructure minimum: $300 total project; Maximum: $1,671 MMOF funds*  *Non-Infrastructure minimum: $25 in MMOF funds; Maximum: $1,671 MMOF funds*  *Use dropdown below for match requirements.*  *Select Jurisdiction:* | | | | | | | | | | | | **$** | | | | !Zero Divide  **of total project cost** |
| **Match Funds**  List each funding source and contribution amount. | | | | | | | | | | | | **Contribution Amount** | | | **% Contribution**  **to Overall Project Total** | |
|  | | | | | | | | | | | | $ | | |  | |
|  | | | | | | | | | | | | $ | | |  | |
|  | | | | | | | | | | | | $ | | |  | |
|  | | | | | | | | | | | | $ | | |  | |
|  | | | | | | | | | | | | $ | | |  | |
|  | | | | | | | | | | | | $ | | |  | |
| **Total Match**  *(private, local, state, or federal)* | | | | | | | | | | | | **$****0** | | !Zero Divide | | |
| **Project Total** | | | | | | | | | | | | **$****0** | |  | | |
| **Notes:** | | 1. Per CDOT action, the following jurisdictions are required to provide a 50% minimum match on the MMOF funds: Adams County, Arapahoe County, Bennett, CDOT, Gilpin County, and RAQC.   The following jurisdictions are only required to provide a 25% minimum match on the MMOF funds: Central City, Clear Creek County, Georgetown, and Silver Plume.  The following jurisdictions are **not** required to provide any match on the MMOF funds: Black Hawk, Deer Trail, Empire, and Idaho Springs. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Funding Breakdown** **in $1,000s** (by program year)1 | | | | | | |
|  | **FY 2023** | **FY 2024** | **FY 2025** | **FY 2026** | **FY 2027** | **Total** |
| **DRCOG Requested Funds** | $ | $ | $ | $ | $ | **$****0** |
| **CDOT Supplied Funds2** | $ | $ | $ | $ | $ | **$****0** |
| **Local Funds (Funding from sources other than DRCOG or CDOT)** | $ | $ | $ | $ | $ | **$****0** |
| **Total Funding** | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 | **$****0** |
| **Phase to be Initiated** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Notes:** | 1. Fiscal years are October 1 through September 30 (e.g., FY 2023 is October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023). The proposed funding plan is not guaranteed if the project is selected for funding. While DRCOG will do everything it can to accommodate the applicants’ request, final funding will be assigned at DRCOG’s discretion within fiscal constraint. Funding amounts must be provided in year of expenditure dollars using an 3% inflation factor. 2. Only enter funding in this line if CDOT specifically gives permission via concurrence letters or other written source. | | | | | |
| **Affirmation:** | By checking this box, the applicant’s Chief Elected Official (Mayor/County Commission Chair/City-County Manager/Agency Director) has certified it allows this application to be submitted for potential DRCOG-allocated funding and will follow all local, DRCOG, state, and federal policies and regulations if funding is awarded. | | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Evaluation Questions | | |
| 1. **Impact of Proposed Project** | | **weight** | **30%** |
| *Provide* ***qualitative and quantitative*** *responses to the following questions on the impact of the proposed project. Be sure to provide all required information for each question. Quantitative data from DRCOG is available* [*here*](https://drcog-tip-drcog.hub.arcgis.com/)*.* | | | |
| 1. Why is this project important? Relevant quantitative data in your response is required. | | | |
| 1. How will the proposed project address the specific transportation problem described in the **Problem Statement** *(as submitted in Project Information, #8)*? Relevant quantitative data in your response is required. | | | |
| 1. Does the proposed project benefit multiple municipalitiesand/or counties? If yes, which ones and how? Also describe any funding partnerships *(other counties, regional agencies, municipalities, private, etc.)* established in association with this project. | | | |
| 1. Describe how the project will improve access and mobility for each of the applicable disproportionately impacted and environmental justice population groups identified in the table below. This data is available in the TIP Data Tool.   *Completing the below table and referencing relevant quantitative data in your response is required.*   |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | Use 2015-2019 American Community Survey Data  (***In the TIP Data Tool, use a 0.5 mile buffer***) | **DI and EJ Population Groups** | **Number within ½ mile** | **% of Total** | **Regional %** | | * 1. Total population | 0 | - | - | | * 1. Total households | 0 | - | - | | * 1. Individuals of color | 0 |  | 33% | | * 1. Low-Income households | 0 |  | 9% | | * 1. Individuals with limited English proficiency | 0 |  | 3% | | * 1. Adults age 65 and over | 0 |  | 13% | | * 1. Children age 5-17 | 0 |  | 16% | | * 1. Individuals with a disability | 0 |  | 9% | | * 1. Households without a motor vehicle | 0 |  | 5% | | * 1. Households that are housing cost-burdened | 0 |  | 32% | | *For Lines c. – i. use definitions in the* [*DRCOG Title VI Implementation Plan*](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/resources/TPO-RP-TITLEVI.pdf#page=66)*. For Line j., as defined in C.R.S. 24-38.5-302(3)(b)(I): “’cost-burdened’ means a household that spends more than thirty percent of its income on housing.”* | | | | |   Describe how this project will improve access and mobility for each of the applicable groups, *including the required quantitative analysis:* | | | |
| 1. How will this project move the region toward achieving the shared [regional transportation outcomes](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/Metro%20Vision%20Transportation%20Objectives.pdf) established in [Metro Vision](https://adobeindd.com/view/publications/8bb0b608-d82e-44da-8303-e379416c7e5a/2ird/publication-web-resources/pdf/RPD-RP-METROVISION-20-02-12-v1-epub.pdf)?  * Improve the diversity and livability of communities. * Contain urban development in locations designated for urban growth and services. * Increase housing and employment in urban centers. * Improve and expand the region’s multimodal transportation system, services, and connections. * Operate, manage, and maintain a safe and reliable transportation system. * Improve air quality and reduce greenhouse gas emissions. * Connect people to natural resource and recreational areas. * Reduce the risk of hazards and their impact. * Increase access to amenities that support healthy, active choices. * Improve transportation connections to health care facilities and service providers. * Diversify the region’s housing stock. * Improve access to opportunity. * Improve the region’s competitive position. | | | |
| 1. Describe how the project will improve access to and/or connectivity between DRCOG-defined urban centers, multimodal corridors, mixed-use areas, Transit Oriented Development (transit near high-density development), or locally defined priority growth areas. Items marked with an asterisk (\*) below are available in the TIP Data Tool.  * Is there a DRCOG designated urban center within ½ mile of the project limits?\*   Yes  No If yes, please provide the name:   * Does the project connect two or more urban centers?\*   Yes  No If yes, please provide the names:   * Is there a transit stop or station within ½ mile of the project limits?   Yes  No   * Is the project in a locally-defined priority growth and development area?   Yes  No  If yes, provide a link to the relevant planning document:  If yes, provide how the area is defined in the relevant planning document:   * Is the project in an area with zoning that supports compact, mixed-use development patterns and a variety of housing options?   Yes  No If yes, please provide the zoning district designation(s):   |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | Provide households and employment data\* | **2020** | **2050** | | Households within ½ mile | 0 | 0 | | Jobs within ½ mile | 0 | 0 | | Household density (per acre) within ½ mile | 0.00 | 0.00 | | Job density (per acre) within ½ mile | 0.00 | 0.00 |   Describe how this project will improve access to and/or connectivity between the above identified areas, *including the required quantitative analysis*: | | | |
| 1. Describe how this project will improve **access** and **connections** to key employment centers or regional destinations, including health services; commerce, educational, cultural, and recreational opportunities; or other important community resources. In your answer, define the key destination(s) and clearly explain how the project improves **access** and/or **connectivity**. | | | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. **MVRTP Priorities** | | **weight** | **50%** |
| * ***Qualitative and quantitative*** *responses are REQUIRED for the following items on how the proposed project contributes to the project and program investment priorities in the adopted 2050 Metro Vision Regional Transportation Plan. To be considered for full points, you must fully answer all parts of the question, including incorporating quantitative data into your answer. (see scoring section for details). Quantitative data from DRCOG is available* [*here*](https://drcog-tip-drcog.hub.arcgis.com/)*.* * *Checkboxes and data tables help to provide context and guide responses, but do not account for the full range of potential improvements and are not directly scored, but are required to be completed.* * *Not all proposed projects will necessarily be able to answer all questions, however it is in the applicant’s interest to address as many priority areas as possible.* | | | |
| **Multimodal Mobility** | **Provide improved travel options for all modes.**  (drawn from [2050 MVRTP priorities](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/resources/2050_RTP.pdf#page=6); [federal travel time reliability, infrastructure condition, & transit asset management performance measures](https://drcog.org/planning-great-region/transportation-planning/performance-based-planning-and-programming); & [Metro Vision objective 4](https://indd.adobe.com/view/8bb0b608-d82e-44da-8303-e379416c7e5a))  Examples of Project Elements: combinations of improvements that support options for a broad range of users, such as complete streets improvements, or a bicycle/pedestrian access to transit, etc. | | |
| How does this project help increase mobility choices for people, goods, and/or services?   * What modes will project improvements directly address?   Walking  Bicycling  Transit  Other:   * List the elements of this project which will address the above modes (i.e., sidewalk, shared use path, bus stop improvements, etc.): * Will the completed project be a complete street as described in the [Regional Complete Streets Toolkit](https://drcog.org/planning-great-region/transportation-planning/bicycle-and-pedestrian-planning/regional-complete)? This data is available in the TIP Data Tool.   Yes  No If yes, describe how it implements the Toolkit’s strategies in your response.   * Does this project improve asset management of active transportation facilities and/or transit vehicle fleets?   Yes  No   * Does this project implement resilient infrastructure that helps the region mitigate natural and/or human-made hazards?   Yes  No | | | |
| Describe how this project increases mobility choices for all users, *include quantitative information, including any items referenced above, in your response*: | | | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Air Quality** | **Improve air quality and reduce greenhouse gas emissions**.  (drawn from [2050 MVRTP priorities](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/resources/2050_RTP.pdf#page=6); [state greenhouse gas rulemaking](https://www.codot.gov/programs/environmental/greenhousegas/assets/5-2-ccr-601-22_final_clean.pdf); [federal congestion & emissions reduction performance measures](https://drcog.org/planning-great-region/transportation-planning/performance-based-planning-and-programming); [Metro Vision objectives 2, 3, & 6a](https://indd.adobe.com/view/8bb0b608-d82e-44da-8303-e379416c7e5a))  Examples of Project Elements: active transportation, transit, or TDM elements; electric vehicle supportive infrastructure; etc. |
| How does this project help reduce congestion and air pollutants, including but not limited to, carbon monoxide, ground-level ozone precursors, particulate matter, and greenhouse gas emissions?   * + Does this project reduce congestion?   Yes  No   * + Does this project reduce vehicle miles traveled (VMT)?   Yes  No   * + Does this project reduce single-occupant vehicle (SOV) travel?   Yes  No   |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | Emissions Reduced  *(kg/day)* | **CO** | **NOx** | **VOCs** | **PM 10** | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | *Use* [*FHWA CMAQ Calculators*](https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/air_quality/cmaq/toolkit/) *or similar reasonable methodology to determine emissions reduced. Base your calculations on the year of opening. Please attach a screenshot of your work (such as the FHWA calculator showing the inputs and outputs) as part of your submittal packet.*  *Note: if not using the FHWA Calculators, please note your methodology in your narrative below.* | | | | | | |
| Describe how this project reduces air pollutants, *include quantitative information, including any items referenced above, in your response*: | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Regional Transit** | **Expand and improve the region’s transit network.**  (drawn from [2050 MVRTP priorities](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/resources/2050_RTP.pdf#page=6), [Coordinated Transit Plan](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/resources/2050_RTP_AppxJ.pdf), [RTD’s Regional Bus Rapid Transit Feasibility Study](https://www.rtd-denver.com/sites/default/files/files/2020-03/RTD-regional-BRT-feasibility-study.pdf))  Examples of Project Elements: transit lanes, station improvements, new/expanded service, etc.. |
| How does this project improve connections to or expand the region’s transit system, as outlined in the [2050 Metro Vision Regional Transportation Plan](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/resources/2050_RTP.pdf)?   * Does this project implement a mobility hub as defined in the [2050 MVRTP](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/resources/2050_RTP.pdf#page=91)?   Yes  No   * Does this project improve connections between transit and other modes?   Yes  No If yes, please describe in your response.   * Is this project adding new or expanded transit service?   Yes  No If yes, who will operate the service?   * Does this project add and/or improve transit service to or within a DRCOG-defined urban center? This data is available in the TIP Data Tool.   Yes  No If yes, provide the name of the urban center: | |
| Describe how this project improves connections to or expands the region’s transit system, *include quantitative information, including any items referenced above, in your response*: | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Safety** | **Increase the safety for all users of the transportation system**.  (drawn from [2050 MVRTP priorities](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/resources/2050_RTP.pdf#page=6), [Taking Action on Regional Vision Zero](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/Taking_Action_on_Regional_Vision_Zero_ADOPTED_061620.pdf), [CDOT Strategic Transportation Safety Plan](https://www.codot.gov/safety/safetydata/safetyplanning/assets/strategictransportationsafetyplan.pdf), & [federal safety performance measures](https://drcog.org/planning-great-region/transportation-planning/performance-based-planning-and-programming))  Examples of Project Elements: bike/pedestrian crossing improvements, traffic calming, etc. |
| How does this project implement safety improvements (roadway, active transportation facility, etc.), particularly improvements in line with the recommendations in [Taking Action on Regional Vision Zero](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/Taking_Action_on_Regional_Vision_Zero_ADOPTED_061620.pdf)? Note that any improvements on roadways must be on the DRCOG [Regional Roadway System](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/2050%20Regional%20Roadway%20System.jpg). Items marked with an asterisk (\*) below are available in the TIP Data Tool.   * Does this project address a location on the [High-Injury Network or Critical Corridors](https://experience.arcgis.com/experience/7ed9896faea747108322008c35ae3a5d/)?\*   Yes  No   * Does this project implement a safety countermeasure listed in the [countermeasure glossary](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/Taking_Action_on_Regional_Vision_Zero_ADOPTED_061620.pdf#page=74)?   Yes  No   |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | Provide the current number of crashes involving motor vehicles, bicyclists, and pedestrians\**(using the 2015-2019 period –* ***in the TIP Data Tool, use a 0.02 mile buffer of your project****)*  *NOTE: if constructing a new facility, report crashes along closest existing alternative route* | | Sponsor must use industry accepted crash reduction factors (CRF) or accident modification factor (AMF) practices *(e.g., NCHRP Project 17-25, NCHRP Report 617, or DiExSys methodology).* | | **Fatal** crashes | 0 | | **Serious Injury** crashes | 0 | | **Other Injury** crashes | 0 | | **Property Damage Only** crashes | 0 | | Estimated reduction in crashes applicable to the project scope  *(per the five‑year period used above)* | | Provide the methodology below: | | **Fatal** crashes reduced | 0.00 |  | | **Serious Injury** crashes reduced | 0.00 | | **Other Injury** crashes reduced | 0.00 | | **Property Damage Only** crashes reduced | 0.00 | | |
| Describe how this project will improve safety, *include quantitative information, including any items referenced above, in your response*: | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Freight** | **Maintain efficient movement of goods within and beyond the region.**  (drawn from [2050 MVRTP priorities](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/resources/2050_RTP.pdf#page=6); [Regional Multimodal Freight Plan](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/regional_multimodal_freight_plan.pdf); [Colorado Freight Plan](https://www.codot.gov/programs/planning/transportation-plans-and-studies/assets/march-2019-colorado-freight-plan.pdf), [federal freight reliability performance measure](https://drcog.org/planning-great-region/transportation-planning/performance-based-planning-and-programming); [Metro Vision objective 14](https://indd.adobe.com/view/8bb0b608-d82e-44da-8303-e379416c7e5a))  Examples of Project Elements: dynamic loading zones, etc. |
| How does this project improve the efficient movement of goods? Note that any improvements on roadways must be on the DRCOG [Regional Roadway System](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/2050%20Regional%20Roadway%20System.jpg).   * Does this project include any innovative or non-traditional freight supportive elements (i.e., curb management strategies, cargo bike supportive infrastructure, etc.)?   Yes  No If yes, please describe in your response. | |
| Describe how this project will improve the movement of goods, *include quantitative information, including any items referenced above, in your response*: | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Active Transportation** | **Expand and enhance active transportation travel options.**  (drawn from [2050 MVRTP priorities](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/resources/2050_RTP.pdf#page=6); [Denver Regional Active Transportation Plan](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/resources/DRCOG_ATP.pdf); & [Metro Vision objectives 10 & 13](https://indd.adobe.com/view/8bb0b608-d82e-44da-8303-e379416c7e5a))  Examples of Project Elements: shared use paths, sidewalks, regional trails, grade separations, etc. | | | | |
| How does this project help expand the active transportation network, close gaps, improve comfort, and/or improve connections to key destinations, particularly improvements in line with the recommendations in the [Denver Regional Active Transportation Plan](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/resources/DRCOG_ATP.pdf)? Items marked with an asterisk (\*) below are available in the TIP Data Tool.   * Does this project close a gap or extend a facility on a [Regional Active Transportation Corridor](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/resources/DRCOG_ATP.pdf#page=34)?\*   Yes  No   * Does this project improve pedestrian accessibility and connectivity in a [pedestrian focus area](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/resources/DRCOG_ATP.pdf#page=38)?\*   Yes  No   * Does this project include a high-comfort bikeway (like a sidepath, shared-use path, separated bike lane, bicycle boulevard)?   Yes  No If yes, please describe in your response.   |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | **Bicycle Use**  *NOTE: if constructing a new facility, report bike usage along closest existing alternative route* | | | | 1. Current Average Single Weekday Bicyclists: | 0 | | | Bicycle Use Calculations | **Year**  **of Opening** | **2050**  **Weekday Estimate** | | 1. Enter estimated additional average weekday one-way bicycle trips on the facility after project is completed. | 0 | 0 | | 1. Enter number of the bicycle trips (in #2 above) that will be diverting from a different bicycling route.   (Example: **{#2 X 50%}** *or other percent, if justified on line 10 below)* | 0 | 0 | | 1. = Initial number of new bicycle trips from project (#2 – #3) | 0 | 0 | | 1. Enter number of the new trips produced (from #4 above) that are replacing a trip made by another non-SOV mode (bus, carpool, vanpool, walking, etc.).   (Example: **{#4 X 30%}** *(or other percent, if justified on line 10 below)* | 0.00 | 0.00 | | 1. = Number of SOV trips reduced per day (#4 - #5) | 0.00 | 0.00 | | 1. Enter the value of **{#6 x 2 miles}**. (= the VMT reduced per day)   *(Values other than 2 miles must be justified by sponsor on line 10 below)* | 0.00 | 0.00 | | 1. = Number of pounds GHG emissions reduced (#7 x 0.95 lbs.) | 0.00 | 0.00 | | 1. If values would be distinctly greater for weekends, describe the magnitude of difference: | | | | 1. If different values other than the suggested are used, please explain here: | | | | | | | | |
| |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | **Pedestrian Use**  *NOTE: if constructing a new facility, report pedestrian usage along closest existing alternative route* | | | | 1. Current Average Single Weekday Pedestrians (including users of non-pedaled devices such as scooters and wheelchairs): | 0 | | | Pedestrian Use Calculations | **Year**  **of Opening** | **2050**  **Weekday Estimate** | | 1. Enter estimated additional average weekday pedestrian one-way trips on the facility after project is completed | 0 | 0 | | 1. Enter number of the new pedestrian trips (in #2 above) that will be diverting from a different walking route   (Example: **{#2 X 50%}** *or other percent, if justified on line 10 below)* | 0 | 0 | | 1. = Number of new trips from project (#2 – #3) | 0 | 0 | | 1. Enter number of the new trips produced (from #4 above) that are replacing a trip made by another non-SOV mode (bus, carpool, vanpool, bike, etc.).   (Example: **{#4 X 30%}** *or other percent, if justified on line 10 below)* | 0.00 | 0.00 | | 1. = Number of SOV trips reduced per day (#4 - #5) | 0.00 | 0.00 | | 1. Enter the value of **{#6 x .4 miles}**. (**= the** **VMT reduced per day**)   *(Values other than .4 miles must be justified by sponsor on line 10 below)* | 0.00 | 0.00 | | 1. = Number of pounds GHG emissions reduced (#7 x 0.95 lbs.) | 0.00 | 0.00 | | 1. If values would be distinctly greater for weekends, describe the magnitude of difference: | | | | 1. If different values other than the suggested are used, please explain here: | | |   Describe how this project will expand the active transportation network, close gaps, improve comfort, and/or improve connections to key destinations, *include quantitative information, including any items referenced above, in your response*: | | | | | |
| 1. **Project Leveraging** | | | | **weight** | **10%** |
| What percent of outside funding sources (non-DRCOG funding) does this project have?  *(Number will automatically calculate based on values entered in the Funding Request table)* | | !Zero Divide | Match funds ABOVE minimum match:  40%+ outside funding sources 5 pts  30-39.9% 4 pts  20-29.9% 3 pts  10-19.9% 2 pts  0.1-9.9% 1 pt  Minimum Match Only 0 pts | | |
| 1. **Project Readiness** | | | | **weight** | **10%** |
| *Provide responses to the following items to demonstrate the readiness of the project. DRCOG is prioritizing those projects that have a higher likelihood to move forward in a timely manner and are less likely to experience a delay.* | | | | | |
| **Section 1. Avoiding Pitfalls and Roadblocks** | | | | | |
| * 1. Has a licensed engineer (CDOT, consultant, local agency, etc.) reviewed the impact the proposed project will have on utilities, railroads, ROW, historic and environmental resources, etc. and have those impacts and pitfalls been mitigated as much as possible within the project submittal?   Yes  No  N/A (for projects which do not require engineering services)  If yes, please type in the engineer’s name below which certifies their review and that impacts have been evaluated and mitigated as much as possible before your application is submitted:    Please describe the anticipated specific pitfalls/roadblocks and the mitigation activities taken to date:   * + Utilities:   + Railroad:   + Right-of-Way:   + Environmental/Historic:   + Other: | | | | | |
| * 1. Is this application for a single project phase only (i.e., design, environmental, ROW acquisition, construction only, study, bus service, equipment purchase, etc.)?   Yes  No  If yes, are the other prerequisite phases complete?  Yes  No  N/A  If this project is for construction, please note the NEPA status: | | | | | |
| * 1. Has all required ROW been identified?  Yes  No  N/A   Has all required ROW already been acquired and cleared by CDOT?  Yes  No  N/A | | | | | |
| 1. Based on the current status provided in Project Information, question 11, do you foresee being able to execute your IGA by October 1 of your first year of funding, so you can begin your project on time?   Yes  No  Does your agency have the appropriate staff available to work on this project?  Yes  No  If yes, are they knowledgeable with the federal-aid process?  Yes  No | | | | | |
| 1. Have other stakeholders in your project been identified and involved in project development?   Yes  No  N/A  If yes, who are the stakeholders? | | | | | |
| Please provide any additional details on any of the items in Section 1, if applicable. | | | | | |
| **Section 2. Local Match** | | | | | |
| * 1. Is all the local match identified in your application currently available, and if a partnering agency is also committing match, do you have a commitment letter?   Yes  No  Please describe: | | | | | |
| * 1. Is all funding for this project currently identified in the sponsor agency’s Capital Improvement Program (CIP)?   Yes  No  Please describe: | | | | | |
| **Section 3. Public Support** | | | | | |
| Has the proposed project previously been through a public review process (public comment period, public hearing, etc.)?  Yes  No  Has the public had access to translated project materials in relevant languages for the local community?  Yes  No  Please describe: | | | | | |
| Have any adjacent property owners to the proposed project been contacted and provided with the initial project concept?  Yes  No  N/A | | | | | |
| Please provide any additional details on the items in Section 3, if applicable. | | | | | |

**Submit completed applications through the** [**TIP Data Hub**](https://drcog-tip-drcog.hub.arcgis.com/) **no later than 3pm on June 24, 2022.**