**Application Overview**

**What**: The Regional Share Call for Projects for the FY2022-2025 TIP, programming fiscal years 2023-2025

**Funding Available**: $43 million (estimated as of the open date)

**Applications**: Air Quality & Multimodal (AQ/MM) application; each Subregional Forum may submit up to three applications from eligible project sponsors. Both CDOT and RTD may submit up to two applications each

**Major Project Eligibility Exceptions**: Roadway capacity, roadway reconstruction, bridge, interchange projects

**Call Opens: January 24, 2022**

**Call Closes**: **March 18, 2022, 3 pm**

**Application Submittals:** submit the items below online through the submittal link on the [TIP Data Hub](https://drcog-tip-drcog.hub.arcgis.com/)

1. REQUIRED: a **single** **PDF document** containing the below. Please DO NOT attach additional cover pages, embed graphics in the application, or otherwise change the format of the application form.
   1. this application
   2. one location map/graphic
   3. cost estimate (your own or the CDOT [cost estimate form](https://drive.google.com/file/d/1hPtjq4sfenY_7Ryq4hdIWP3jeo6DiVov/view))
   4. CDOT/RTD concurrence response (if applicable )
   5. any required documentation based on the application text (i.e., FHWA emissions calculators)
   6. project support letters and/or [Request for Peer Agency Support](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/Peer%20Agency%20Support%20Form_0.docx)
2. OPTIONAL: Submit **one** **additional** PDF document containing any supplemental materials, if applicable
3. REQUIRED: Submit a zipped GIS shapefile of your project. Requests for assistance with creating a shapefile should be submitted to [tipapplications@drcog.org](mailto:tipapplications@drcog.org) no later than February 25, 2022

**Other Notable items:**

* TIP Trainings: To be eligible to submit an application, at least one person from your agency must have attended one of the two mandatory TIP training workshops ([February 10](https://drcog.org/node/988286) and [February 16, 2022](https://drcog.org/node/988287)). Please click on one of the links to register
* CDOT/RTD Concurrence: If required, [CDOT and/or RTD concurrence](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/CDOT%20or%20RTD%20Concurrence%20of%20DRCOG%20TIP%20Projects%2022-27.pdf) must be provided with the application submittal. The CDOT/RTD concurrence request is due to CDOT/RTD no later than February 4, 2022, with CDOT/RTD providing a response no later than March 4, 2022. Submit requests to the following: CDOT Region 1 – JoAnn Mattson, [joann.mattson@state.co.us](mailto:joann.mattson@state.co.us); CDOT Region 4 – Josie Hadley, [josie.hadley@state.co.us](mailto:josie.hadley@state.co.us); RTD – Chris Quinn, [chris.quinn@rtd-denver.com](mailto:chris.quinn@rtd-denver.com)
* Application Data: To assist sponsors in filling out the application, DRCOG has developed a TIP Data Tool to streamline quantitative analyses requested in the application. A link to the TIP Data Tool and instructions on how to use it are available on the [TIP Data Hub](https://drcog-tip-drcog.hub.arcgis.com/). Additionally, sponsors may download datasets to run their own analyses from this same site. Requests for additional data or calculations from DRCOG staff should be submitted to [tipapplications@drcog.org](mailto:tipapplications@drcog.org) no later than February 25, 2022
* Project Affirmation: The application must be affirmed by either the applicant’s City or County Manager, Chief Elected Official (Mayor or County Commission Chair) for local governments, or agency director or equivalent for other applicants
* TIP Policy: Further details on project eligibility, evaluation criteria, and the selection process are defined in the [*Policies for* *TIP Program Development*](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/DRCOG%20Policies%20for%20TIP%20Program%20Development%20-%20Adopted%201-19-22.pdf)document
* Evaluation Process: DRCOG staff will review submittals for eligibility and provide an initial score for each eligible application to a Project Review Panel. The panel will then review, discuss, and rank the applications and provide a recommended funding list within the funding available. The panel’s recommendation will then be forwarded to the DRCOG committee process for incorporation into the adopted TIP
* If you have any questions or need assistance, reach out to us at [tipapplications@drcog.org](mailto:tipapplications@drcog.org)

**Application Format**

The AQ/MM Regional Share application contains two parts: *project information* and *evaluation questions*.

**Project Information**

Applicants enter **foundational** information for the *project/program/study* (hereafter referred to as *project*), including a problem statement, project description, and concurrence documentation from CDOT and/or RTD, if applicable. This section is not scored.

**Evaluation Questions**

This part includes four sections (A-D) for the **applicant to provide qualitative and quantitative responses** to use for scoring projects. The checkboxes and data entry fields should guide the applicant’s responses. They are not directly scored but provide context as reviewers consider the full response to each question. Applicants may access the TIP Data Tool and additional data resources which applicants may find useful [here](https://drcog-tip-drcog.hub.arcgis.com/).

**Scoring Methodology**: Each section will be scored on a scale of 0 to 5*,* relative to other applications received. All questions will be factored into the final score, with any questions left blank receiving 0 points. The four sections are weighted and scored as follows:

1. **Regional Impact of Proposed Projects 30%**

Projects will be evaluated on the degree to which they address a significant regional problem or benefit people throughout the Denver region. Relevant quantitative data should be included within narrative responses.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **5** | The project benefits will **substantially** address a **major** regional problem and benefit people and businesses in multiple subregions. |
| **4** | The project benefits will **significantly** address a **major** regional problem primarily benefiting people and businesses in one subregion. |
| **3** | The project benefits will either **moderately** address a **major** regional problem or **significantly** address a **moderate**-level regional problem. |
| **2** | The project benefits will **moderately** address a **moderate**-level regional problem. |
| **1** | The project benefits will address a **minor** regional problem. |
| **0** | The project does not address a regional problem. |

1. **Metro Vision Regional Transportation Plan Priorities** **50%**

The TIP’s investments should implement the 2050 Metro Vision Regional Transportation Plan (2050 MVRTP) regional project and program investment priorities, which contribute to addressing the Board-adopted Metro Vision objectives and the federal performance-based planning framework required by the Federal Highway Administration and Federal Transit Administration as outlined in current federal transportation legislation and regulations. Therefore, projects will be evaluated on the degree to which they address the six priorities identified in the 2050 MVRTP: safety, active transportation, air quality, multimodal mobility, freight, and regional transit. It is anticipated that projects may not be able to address all six priorities, but it’s in the applicant’s interest to address as many priority areas as possible. Relevant quantitative data is required to be included within narrative responses. The table below demonstrates how each priority area will be scored.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **5** | The project provides demonstrable **substantial** benefits in the 2050 MVRTP priority area and is determined to be in the **top fifth** of applications based on the magnitude of benefits in that priority area. |
| **4** | The project provides demonstrable **significant** benefits in the 2050 MVRTP priority area. |
| **3** | The project provides demonstrable **moderate** benefits in the 2050 MVRTP priority area and is determined to be in the **middle fifth** of applications based on the magnitude of benefits in that priority area. |
| **2** | The project provides demonstrable **modest** benefits in the 2050 MVRTP priority area. |
| **1** | The project provides demonstrable **slight** benefits in the 2050 MVRTP priority area and is determined to be in the **bottom fifth** of applications based on the magnitude of benefits in that priority area. |
| **0** | The project does not provide demonstrable benefits in the 2050 MVRTP priority area. |

1. **Project Leveraging** (“overmatch”)  **10%**

Scores are assigned based on the percent of other funding sources (non-Regional Share funds).

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Score** | % non-Regional Share funds |
| **5** | 60% and above |
| **4** | 50-59.9% |
| **3** | 40-49.9% |
| **2** | 20-39.9% |
| **1** | 10.1-19.9% |
| **0** | 10% |

1. **Project Readiness**  **10%**

Be sure to answer ALL questions. While “Yes” answers will generally reflect greater readiness, opportunities are given to provide additional details to assist reviewers in fully evaluating the readiness of your project.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **5** | **Substantial** readiness is demonstrated and all known obstacles that are likely to result in project delays have been mitigated. |
| **4** | **Significant** readiness is demonstrated and several known obstacles that are likely to result in project delays have been mitigated. |
| **3** | **Moderate** readiness is demonstrated and some known obstacles that are likely to result in project delays have been mitigated. |
| **2** | **Slight** readiness is demonstrated and some known obstacles that are likely to result in project delays have been mitigated. |
| **1** | **Few** mitigation or readiness activities have been demonstrated. |
| **0** | **No** mitigation or readiness activities have been demonstrated. |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | | | | Project Information | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Project Title | | | | | | |  | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Project Location   *Provide a map, as appropriate (see Page 1)* | | | | | | | Start point:        End point:  OR Geographic Area: | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Project Sponsor *(entity that will be financially responsible for the project)* | | | | | | |  | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Project Contact Person: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Name | |  | | | | | | | | Title |  | | | | | | |
| Phone | |  | | | | | | | | Email |  | | | | | | |
| 1. Required CDOT and/or RTD Concurrence: Does this project touch CDOT Right-of-Way, involve a CDOT roadway, access RTD property, or request RTD involvement to operate service? | | | | | | | | | | | | | Yes  No  *If yes, provide applicable concurrence documentation* | | | | |
| 1. What planning document(s) identifies this project?   *Provide link to document/s and referenced page number if possible, or provide documentation in the supplement* | | | | | [DRCOG 2050 Metro Vision Regional Transportation Plan (2050 MVRTP)](https://drcog.org/2050-metro-vision-regional-transportation-plan-and-associated-air-quality-conformity-documents)  Provide MVRTP staging period, if applicable capital project: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Local/Regional plan: | | | Planning Document Title:  Adopting agency (local agency Council, CDOT, RTD, etc.):  Provide date of adoption by council/board/commission, if applicable: | | | | | | | | | |
| Please describe public review/engagement to date: | | |  | | | | | | | | | |
| Other pertinent details: | | |  | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Identify the project’s **key phases and the anticipated schedule of phase milestones**.   (phases and dates should correspond with the Funding Breakdown table below) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Phases to be included**: | | | | | | **Major phase milestones**: | | | | | | | | **Anticipated completion date (based on 9/21/2022 DRCOG approval date)**:  (MM/YYYY) | | | |
| FOR ALL PHASES | | | | | | Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA) executed (with CDOT/ RTD; assumed process is 4-9 months) | | | | | | | |  | | | |
| Design | | | | | | Design contract Notice to Proceed (NTP) issued (if using a consultant): | | | | | | | |  | | | |
| Design scoping meeting held with CDOT (if no consultant): | | | | | | | |  | | | |
| Environmental | | | | | | Environmental contract Notice to Proceed (NTP) issued (if using a consultant): | | | | | | | |  | | | |
| Design scoping meeting held with CDOT (if no consultant): | | | | | | | |  | | | |
| Right-of-Way | | | | | | Initial set of ROW plans submitted to CDOT: | | | | | | | |  | | | |
| ROW acquisition completed:  Estimated number of parcels to acquire: | | | | | | | |  | | | |
| Construction | | | | | | FIR (Field Inspection Review): | | | | | | | |  | | | |
| FOR (Final Office Review): | | | | | | | |  | | | |
| Required clearances: | | | | | | | |  | | | |
| Project publicly advertised: | | | | | | | |  | | | |
| Study | | | | | | Kick-off meeting held after consultant NTP (or internal if no consultant): | | | | | | | |  | | | |
| Bus Service | | | | | | Service begins: | | | | | | | |  | | | |
| Equipment Purchase (Procurement) | | | | | | RFP/RFQ/RFB (bids) issued: | | | | | | | |  | | | |
| Other: | | | | | | First invoice submitted to CDOT/RTD: | | | | | | | |  | | | |
|  | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. **Problem Statement:** What specific regional problem/issue will the transportation project address? | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Identify the project’s **key elements**. A single project may have multiple project elements. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Roadway**  Operational Improvements  **Grade Separation**  Roadway  Railway  Bicycle  Pedestrian  **Regional Transit1**  Rapid Transit Capacity (2050 MVRTP)  Mobility Hub(s)  Transit Planning Corridors  Transit Facilities/Service (Expansion/New)  **Safety Improvements** | | | | | | | | | **Active Transportation Improvements**  Bicycle Facility  Pedestrian Facility  **Air Quality Improvements**  **Improvements Impacting Freight**  **Multimodal Mobility** (i.e., accommodating a broad range of users)  Complete Streets Improvements  **Study**  **Other**, briefly describe: | | | | | | | | |
| 1For any project with transit elements, the sponsor must coordinate with RTD to ensure RTD agrees to the scope and cost. Be sure to include RTD’s concurrence in your application submittal. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Define the **scope** and **specific** **elements** of the project (including any elements checked in #9 above).   *DO NOT include scope elements that will not be part of the DRCOG funded project or your IGA scope of work (i.e., adjacent locally funded improvements or the project merits and benefits). Please keep the response to this question tailored to details of the scope only and no more than five sentences.* | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. What is the current status of the proposed scope as defined in Question 10 above? *Note that overall project readiness is addressed in more detail in Section D below.* | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Would a smaller DRCOG-allocation than requested be acceptable, while maintaining the original intent of the project? | | | | | | | | | | | | | Yes  No | | | | |
| *If yes, smaller meaningful limits, size, service level, phases, or scopes, along with the cost,* ***MUST*** *be defined.*  Smaller DRCOG funding request:  Outline the differences between the scope outlined above and the reduced scope: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Project Financial Information and Funding Request (All funding amounts in $1,000s)** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Total amount of** **Regional Share Funding Request**  *(No greater than* ***$20 million*** *and not to exceed* ***90% of the total project cost****)*  Check box if requesting **only state MMOF funds (requires minimum 50% local funds)1** | | | | | | | | | | | **$** | | | | | !Zero Divide  **of total project cost** |
| **Match Funds**  List each funding source and contribution amount. | | | | | | | | | | | **Contribution Amount** | | | | **% Contribution**  **to Overall Project Total** | |
|  | | | | | | | | | | | $ | | | |  | |
|  | | | | | | | | | | | $ | | | |  | |
|  | | | | | | | | | | | $ | | | |  | |
|  | | | | | | | | | | | $ | | | |  | |
|  | | | | | | | | | | | $ | | | |  | |
|  | | | | | | | | | | | $ | | | |  | |
| **Total Match**  *(private, local, state, subregional, or federal)* | | | | | | | | | | | **$****0** | | | !Zero Divide | | |
| **Project Total** | | | | | | | | | | | **$****0** | | |  | | |
| **Notes:** | | 1. Per CDOT action, the following jurisdictions are only required to provide 25% match on the MMOF funds: Englewood, Jamestown, and Wheat Ridge.   The following jurisdictions are not required to provide a match on the MMOF funds: Federal Heights, Lakeside, Larkspur, Sheridan, and Ward.  All sponsors will still be required to have 20% match on any added federal funds. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Funding Breakdown** in $1,000s (by program year)1 | | | | |
|  | **FY 2023** | **FY 2024** | **FY 2025** | **Total** |
| **DRCOG Requested Funds** | $ | $ | $ | **$****0** |
| **CDOT or RTD Supplied Funds2** | $ | $ | $ | **$****0** |
| **Local Funds (Funding from sources other than DRCOG, CDOT, or RTD)** | $ | $ | $ | **$****0** |
| **Total Funding** | $0 | $0 | $0 | **$****0** |
| **Phase to be Initiated** |  |  |  |  |
| **Notes:** | 1. Fiscal years are October 1 through September 30 (e.g., FY 2023 is October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023). The proposed funding plan is not guaranteed if the project is selected for funding. While DRCOG will do everything it can to accommodate the applicants’ request, final funding will be assigned at DRCOG’s discretion within fiscal constraint. Funding amounts must be provided in year of expenditure dollars using an 3% inflation factor. 2. Only enter funding in this line if CDOT and/or RTD specifically give permission via concurrence letters or other written source. | | | |
| **Affirmation:** | By checking this box, the applicant’s Chief Elected Official (Mayor/County Commission Chair/City-County Manager/Agency Director) has certified it allows this application to be submitted for potential DRCOG-allocated funding and will follow all local, DRCOG, state, and federal policies and regulations if funding is awarded. | | | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Evaluation Questions | | |
| 1. **Regional Impact of Proposed Project** | | **weight** | **30%** |
| *Provide* ***qualitative and quantitative*** *responses to the following questions on the regional impact of the proposed project. Be sure to provide all required information for each question. Quantitative data from DRCOG is available* [*here*](https://drcog-tip-drcog.hub.arcgis.com/)*.* | | | |
| 1. Why is this project regionally important? Relevant quantitative data in your response is required. | | | |
| 1. How will the proposed project address the specific transportation problem described in the **Problem Statement** *(as submitted in Project Information, #8)*? Relevant quantitative data in your response is required. | | | |
| 1. Does the proposed project benefit multiple municipalitiesand/or subregions? If yes, which ones and how? Also describe any funding partnerships *(other subregions, regional agencies, municipalities, private, etc.)* established in association with this project. | | | |
| 1. Describe how the project will improve access and mobility for each of the applicable disproportionately impacted and environmental justice population groups identified in the table below. This data is available in the TIP Data Tool.   *Completing the below table and referencing relevant quantitative data in your response is required.*   |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | | Use 2015-2019 American Community Survey Data  (***In the TIP Data Tool, use a 0.5 mile buffer***) | **DI and EJ Population Groups** | **Number within ½ mile** | **% of Total** | | * 1. Total population | 0 | 100% | | * 1. Total households | 0 | 100% | | * 1. Individuals of color | 0 |  | | * 1. Low-Income households | 0 |  | | * 1. Individuals with limited English proficiency | 0 |  | | * 1. Adults age 65 and over | 0 |  | | * 1. Children age 5-17 | 0 |  | | * 1. Individuals with a disability | 0 |  | | * 1. Households without a motor vehicle | 0 |  | | * 1. Households that are housing cost-burdened | 0 |  | | *For Lines c. – i. use definitions in the* [*DRCOG Title VI Implementation Plan*](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/resources/TPO-RP-TITLEVI.pdf#page=66)*. For Line j., as defined in C.R.S. 24-38.5-302(3)(b)(I): “’cost-burdened’ means a household that spends more than thirty percent of its income on housing.”* | | | |   Describe, *including the required quantitative analysis:* | | | |
| 1. How will this project move the region toward achieving the shared [regional transportation outcomes](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/Metro%20Vision%20Transportation%20Objectives.pdf) established in [Metro Vision](https://adobeindd.com/view/publications/8bb0b608-d82e-44da-8303-e379416c7e5a/2ird/publication-web-resources/pdf/RPD-RP-METROVISION-20-02-12-v1-epub.pdf)? | | | |
| 1. Describe how the project will improve access to and/or connectivity between DRCOG-defined urban centers, multimodal corridors, mixed-use areas, Transit Oriented Development (transit near high-density development), or locally defined priority growth areas. Items marked with an asterisk (\*) below are available in the TIP Data Tool.  * Is there a DRCOG designated urban center within ½ mile of the project limits?\*   Yes  No If yes, please provide the name:   * Does the project connect two or more urban centers?\*   Yes  No If yes, please provide the names:   * Is there a transit stop or station within ½ mile of the project limits?\*   Yes  No   * Is the project in a locally-defined priority growth and development area?   Yes  No  If yes, provide a link to the relevant planning document:  If yes, provide how the area is defined in the relevant planning document:   * Is the project in an area with zoning that supports compact, mixed-use development patterns and a variety of housing options?   Yes  No If yes, please provide the zoning district designation(s):   |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | Provide households and employment data\* | **2020** | **2050** | | Households within ½ mile | 0 | 0 | | Jobs within ½ mile | 0 | 0 | | Household density (per acre) within ½ mile | 0.00 | 0.00 | | Job density (per acre) within ½ mile | 0.00 | 0.00 |   Describe, *including the required quantitative analysis*: | | | |
| 1. Describe how this project will improve **access** and **connections** to key employment centers or regional destinations, including health services; commerce, educational, cultural, and recreational opportunities; or other important community resources. In your answer, define the key destination(s) and clearly explain how the project improves **access** and/or **connectivity**. | | | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. **MVRTP Priorities** | | **weight** | **50%** |
| * ***Qualitative and quantitative*** *responses are REQUIRED for the following items on how the proposed project contributes to the project and program investment priorities in the adopted 2050 Metro Vision Regional Transportation Plan. To be considered for full points, you must fully answer all parts of the question, including incorporating quantitative data into your answer. (see scoring section for details). Quantitative data from DRCOG is available* [*here*](https://drcog-tip-drcog.hub.arcgis.com/)*.* * *Checkboxes and data tables help to provide context and guide responses, but do not account for the full range of potential improvements and are not directly scored, but are required to be completed.* * *Not all proposed projects will necessarily be able to answer all questions, however it is in the applicant’s interest to address as many priority areas as possible.* | | | |
| **Multimodal Mobility** | **Provide improved travel options for all modes.**  (drawn from [2050 MVRTP priorities](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/resources/2050_RTP.pdf#page=6); [federal travel time reliability, infrastructure condition, & transit asset management performance measures](https://drcog.org/planning-great-region/transportation-planning/performance-based-planning-and-programming); & [Metro Vision objective 4](https://indd.adobe.com/view/8bb0b608-d82e-44da-8303-e379416c7e5a))  Examples of Project Elements: combinations of improvements that support options for a broad range of users, such as complete streets improvements, or a bicycle/pedestrian access to transit, etc. | | |
| How does this project help increase mobility choices for people, goods, and/or services? Note that any roadway operational improvements must be on the DRCOG [Regional Roadway System](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/2050%20Regional%20Roadway%20System.jpg) and/or [Regional Managed Lanes System](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/2050%20Managed%20Lanes%20System.jpg).   * What modes will project improvements directly address?   Walking  Bicycling  Transit  Roadway Operations  Other:   * List the elements of this project which will address the above modes (i.e., sidewalk, shared use path, bus stop improvements, signal interconnection, etc.): * Will the completed project be a complete street as described in the [Regional Complete Streets Toolkit](https://drcog.org/planning-great-region/transportation-planning/bicycle-and-pedestrian-planning/regional-complete)? This data is available in the TIP Data Tool.   Yes  No If yes, describe how it implements the Toolkit’s strategies in your response.   * Does this project improve travel time reliability?   Yes  No   * Does this project improve asset management of active transportation facilities and/or transit vehicle fleets?   Yes  No   * Does this project implement resilient infrastructure that helps the region mitigate natural and/or human-made hazards?   Yes  No | | | |
| Describe, *include quantitative information, including any items referenced above, in your response*: | | | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Air Quality** | **Improve air quality and reduce greenhouse gas emissions**.  (drawn from [2050 MVRTP priorities](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/resources/2050_RTP.pdf#page=6); [state greenhouse gas rulemaking](https://www.codot.gov/programs/environmental/greenhousegas/assets/5-2-ccr-601-22_final_clean.pdf); [federal congestion & emissions reduction performance measures](https://drcog.org/planning-great-region/transportation-planning/performance-based-planning-and-programming); [Metro Vision objectives 2, 3, & 6a](https://indd.adobe.com/view/8bb0b608-d82e-44da-8303-e379416c7e5a))  Examples of Project Elements: active transportation, transit, or TDM elements; vehicle operational improvements; electric vehicle supportive infrastructure; etc. |
| How does this project help reduce congestion and air pollutants, including but not limited to, carbon monoxide, ground-level ozone precursors, particulate matter, and greenhouse gas emissions?   * + Does this project reduce congestion?   Yes  No   * + Does this project reduce vehicle miles traveled (VMT)?   Yes  No   * + Does this project reduce single-occupant vehicle (SOV) travel?   Yes  No   |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | Emissions Reduced  *(kg/day)* | **CO** | **NOx** | **VOCs** | **PM 10** | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | *Use* [*FHWA CMAQ Calculators*](https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/air_quality/cmaq/toolkit/) *to determine emissions reduced. Please attach a screenshot of the calculator showing the inputs and outputs as part of your submittal packet.*  *Note: for project types not covered by the FHWA Calculators, such as education and outreach, please note your methodology in your narrative below.* | | | | | | |
| Describe, *include quantitative information, including any items referenced above, in your response*: | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Regional Transit** | **Expand and improve the region’s transit network.**  (drawn from [2050 MVRTP priorities](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/resources/2050_RTP.pdf#page=6), [Coordinated Transit Plan](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/resources/2050_RTP_AppxJ.pdf), [RTD’s Regional Bus Rapid Transit Feasibility Study](https://www.rtd-denver.com/sites/default/files/files/2020-03/RTD-regional-BRT-feasibility-study.pdf))  Examples of Project Elements: transit lanes, station improvements, new/expanded service, etc.  Note: For any project with transit elements, the sponsor must coordinate with RTD to ensure RTD agrees to the scope and cost. Be sure to include RTD’s concurrence in your application submittal. |
| How does this project improve connections to or expand the region’s transit system, as outlined in the [2050 Metro Vision Regional Transportation Plan](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/resources/2050_RTP.pdf)? Note that rapid transit improvements must be on the [Regional Rapid Transit System](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/Fiscally%20Constrained%20Rapid%20Transit%20System%20Guideway%20Facilities%20and%20Stations.jpg). Items marked with an asterisk (\*) below are available in the TIP Data Tool.   * Does this project implement a portion of the [regional bus rapid transit (BRT) network](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/resources/2050_RTP.pdf#page=106)?\*   Yes  No If yes, which specific corridor will this project focus on?   * Does this project involve a [regional transit planning corridor](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/resources/2050_RTP.pdf#page=108)?\*   Yes  No If yes, which specific corridor will this project focus on?   * Does this project implement a mobility hub as defined in the [2050 MVRTP](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/resources/2050_RTP.pdf#page=91)?   Yes  No   * Does this project improve connections between transit and other modes?   Yes  No If yes, please describe in your response.   * Is this project adding new or expanded transit service?   Yes  No If yes, who will operate the service?   * Does this project add and/or improve transit service to or within a DRCOG-defined urban center?\*   Yes  No If yes, provide the name of the urban center: | |
| Describe, *include quantitative information, including any items referenced above, in your response*: | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Safety** | **Increase the safety for all users of the transportation system**.  (drawn from [2050 MVRTP priorities](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/resources/2050_RTP.pdf#page=6), [Taking Action on Regional Vision Zero](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/Taking_Action_on_Regional_Vision_Zero_ADOPTED_061620.pdf), [CDOT Strategic Transportation Safety Plan](https://www.codot.gov/safety/safetydata/safetyplanning/assets/strategictransportationsafetyplan.pdf), & [federal safety performance measures](https://drcog.org/planning-great-region/transportation-planning/performance-based-planning-and-programming))  Examples of Project Elements: bike/pedestrian crossing improvements, vehicle crash countermeasures, traffic calming, etc. |
| How does this project implement safety improvements (roadway, active transportation facility, etc.), particularly improvements in line with the recommendations in [Taking Action on Regional Vision Zero](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/Taking_Action_on_Regional_Vision_Zero_ADOPTED_061620.pdf)? Note that any improvements on roadways must be on the DRCOG [Regional Roadway System](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/2050%20Regional%20Roadway%20System.jpg). Items marked with an asterisk (\*) below are available in the TIP Data Tool.   * Does this project address a location on the [High-Injury Network or Critical Corridors](https://experience.arcgis.com/experience/7ed9896faea747108322008c35ae3a5d/)?\*   Yes  No   * Does this project implement a safety countermeasure listed in the [countermeasure glossary](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/Taking_Action_on_Regional_Vision_Zero_ADOPTED_061620.pdf#page=74)?   Yes  No   |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | Provide the current number of crashes involving motor vehicles, bicyclists, and pedestrians\**(using the 2015-2019 period –* ***in the TIP Data Tool, use a 0.02 mile buffer of your project****)* | | Sponsor must use industry accepted crash reduction factors (CRF) or accident modification factor (AMF) practices *(e.g., NCHRP Project 17-25, NCHRP Report 617, or DiExSys methodology).* | | **Fatal** crashes | 0 | | **Serious Injury** crashes | 0 | | **Other Injury** crashes | 0 | | **Property Damage Only** crashes | 0 | | Estimated reduction in crashes applicable to the project scope  *(per the five‑year period used above)* | | Provide the methodology below: | | **Fatal** crashes reduced | 0.00 |  | | **Serious Injury** crashes reduced | 0.00 | | **Other Injury** crashes reduced | 0.00 | | **Property Damage Only** crashes reduced | 0.00 | | |
| Describe, *include quantitative information, including any items referenced above, in your response*: | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Freight** | **Maintain efficient movement of goods within and beyond the region.**  (drawn from [2050 MVRTP priorities](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/resources/2050_RTP.pdf#page=6); [Regional Multimodal Freight Plan](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/regional_multimodal_freight_plan.pdf); [Colorado Freight Plan](https://www.codot.gov/programs/planning/transportation-plans-and-studies/assets/march-2019-colorado-freight-plan.pdf), [federal freight reliability performance measure](https://drcog.org/planning-great-region/transportation-planning/performance-based-planning-and-programming); [Metro Vision objective 14](https://indd.adobe.com/view/8bb0b608-d82e-44da-8303-e379416c7e5a))  Examples of Project Elements: roadway operational improvements, etc. |
| How does this project improve the efficient movement of goods, specifically improvements identified in the [Regional Multimodal Freight Plan](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/regional_multimodal_freight_plan.pdf)? Note that any improvements on roadways must be on the DRCOG [Regional Roadway System](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/2050%20Regional%20Roadway%20System.jpg). Items marked with an asterisk (\*) below are available in the TIP Data Tool.   * Is this project located in or impact access to a [Freight Focus Area](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/regional_multimodal_freight_plan.pdf#page=44)?\*   Yes  No If yes, please provide the name:   * Is the project located on the [Tier 1 or Tier 2 Regional Highway Freight Vision Network](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/regional_multimodal_freight_plan.pdf#page=17)?\*   Yes  No   * If this project is located in a [Freight Focus Area](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/regional_multimodal_freight_plan.pdf#page=44) does it address the relevant Needs and Issues identified in the Plan (see text located within each Focus Area)?   Yes  No If yes, please describe in your response.   * Check any items from the [Inventory of Current Needs](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/regional_multimodal_freight_plan.pdf#page=52) which this project will address:   Truck Crash Location  Rail Crossing Safety ([eligible locations](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/At-grade%20Railroad%20Crossings%20on%20the%20Regional%20Roadway%20System.jpg))  Truck Delay  Truck Reliability  Please provide the location(s) being addressed:   * Does this project include any innovative or non-traditional freight supportive elements (i.e., curb management strategies, cargo bike supportive infrastructure, etc.)?   Yes  No If yes, please describe in your response. | |
| Describe, *include quantitative information, including any items referenced above, in your response*: | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Active Transportation** | **Expand and enhance active transportation travel options.**  (drawn from [2050 MVRTP priorities](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/resources/2050_RTP.pdf#page=6); [Denver Regional Active Transportation Plan](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/resources/DRCOG_ATP.pdf); & [Metro Vision objectives 10 & 13](https://indd.adobe.com/view/8bb0b608-d82e-44da-8303-e379416c7e5a))  Examples of Project Elements: shared use paths, sidewalks, regional trails, grade separations, etc. |
| How does this project help expand the active transportation network, close gaps, improve comfort, and/or improve connections to key destinations, particularly improvements in line with the recommendations in the [Denver Regional Active Transportation Plan](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/resources/DRCOG_ATP.pdf)? Items marked with an asterisk (\*) below are available in the TIP Data Tool.   * Does this project close a gap or extend a facility on a [Regional Active Transportation Corridor](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/resources/DRCOG_ATP.pdf#page=34)?\*   Yes  No   * Does this project improve pedestrian accessibility and connectivity in a [pedestrian focus area](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/resources/DRCOG_ATP.pdf#page=38)?\*   Yes  No   * Does this project improve active transportation choices in a [short trip opportunity zone](https://drcog.org/sites/default/files/resources/DRCOG_ATP.pdf#page=40)?\*   Yes  No   * Does this project include a high-comfort bikeway (like a sidepath, shared-use path, separated bike lane, bicycle boulevard)?   Yes  No If yes, please describe in your response.   |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | **Bicycle Use** | | | | 1. Current Weekday Bicyclists: | 0 | | | Bicycle Use Calculations | **Year**  **of Opening** | **2050**  **Weekday Estimate** | | 1. Enter estimated additional weekday one-way bicycle trips on the facility after project is completed. | 0 | 0 | | 1. Enter number of the bicycle trips (in #2 above) that will be diverting from a different bicycling route.   (Example: **{#2 X 50%}** *or other percent, if justified on line 10 below)* | 0 | 0 | | 1. = Initial number of new bicycle trips from project (#2 – #3) | 0 | 0 | | 1. Enter number of the new trips produced (from #4 above) that are replacing an SOV trip.   (Example: **{#4 X 30%}** *(or other percent, if justified on line 10 below)* | 0.00 | 0.00 | | 1. = Number of SOV trips reduced per day (#4 - #5) | 0.00 | 0.00 | | 1. Enter the value of **{#6 x 2 miles}**. (= the VMT reduced per day)   *(Values other than 2 miles must be justified by sponsor on line 10 below)* | 0.00 | 0.00 | | 1. = Number of pounds GHG emissions reduced (#7 x 0.95 lbs.) | 0.00 | 0.00 | | 1. If values would be distinctly greater for weekends, describe the magnitude of difference: | | | | 1. If different values other than the suggested are used, please explain here: | | | | |
| |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | **Pedestrian Use** | | | | 1. Current Weekday Pedestrians (including users of non-pedaled devices such as scooters and wheelchairs): | 0 | | | Pedestrian Use Calculations | **Year**  **of Opening** | **2050**  **Weekday Estimate** | | 1. Enter estimated additional weekday pedestrian one-way trips on the facility after project is completed | 0 | 0 | | 1. Enter number of the new pedestrian trips (in #2 above) that will be diverting from a different walking route   (Example: **{#2 X 50%}** *or other percent, if justified on line 10 below)* | 0 | 0 | | 1. = Number of new trips from project (#2 – #3) | 0 | 0 | | 1. Enter number of the new trips produced (from #4 above) that are replacing an SOV trip.   (Example: **{#4 X 30%}** *or other percent, if justified on line 10 below)* | 0.00 | 0.00 | | 1. = Number of SOV trips reduced per day (#4 - #5) | 0.00 | 0.00 | | 1. Enter the value of **{#6 x .4 miles}**. (**= the** **VMT reduced per day**)   *(Values other than .4 miles must be justified by sponsor on line 10 below)* | 0.00 | 0.00 | | 1. = Number of pounds GHG emissions reduced (#7 x 0.95 lbs.) | 0.00 | 0.00 | | 1. If values would be distinctly greater for weekends, describe the magnitude of difference: | | | | 1. If different values other than the suggested are used, please explain here: | | |   Describe, *include quantitative information, including any items referenced above, in your response*: | |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. **Project Leveraging** | | | **weight** | **10%** |
| What percent of outside funding sources (non-Regional Share funding) does this project have?  *(number will automatically calculate based on values entered in the Funding Request table)* | !Zero Divide | 60%+ outside funding sources 5 pts  50-59.9% 4 pts  40-49.9% 3 pts  20-39.9% 2 pts  10.1-19.9% 1 pt  10% 0 pts | | |
| 1. **Project Readiness** | | | **weight** | **10%** |
| *Provide responses to the following items to demonstrate the readiness of the project. DRCOG is prioritizing those projects that have a higher likelihood to move forward in a timely manner and are less likely to experience a delay.* | | | | |
| **Section 1. Avoiding Pitfalls and Roadblocks** | | | | |
| * 1. Has a licensed engineer (CDOT, consultant, local agency, etc.) reviewed the impact the proposed project will have on utilities, railroads, ROW, historic and environmental resources, etc. and have those impacts and pitfalls been mitigated as much as possible within the project submittal?   Yes  No  N/A (for projects which do not require engineering services)  If yes, please type in the engineer’s name below which certifies their review and that impacts have been evaluated and mitigated as much as possible before your application is submitted:    Please describe the anticipated specific pitfalls/roadblocks and the mitigation activities taken to date: | | | | |
| * 1. Is this application for a single project phase only (i.e., design, environmental, ROW acquisition, construction only, study, bus service, equipment purchase, etc.)?   Yes  No  If yes, are the other prerequisite phases complete?  Yes  No  N/A  If this project is for construction, please note the NEPA status: | | | | |
| * 1. Has all required ROW been identified?  Yes  No  N/A   Has all required ROW already been acquired and cleared by CDOT?  Yes  No  N/A | | | | |
| 1. Based on the current status provided in Project Information, question 11, do you foresee any reason why your IGA will not be executed by Oct 1 of your first year of funding, so you can begin your project on time?   Yes  No  Does your agency have the appropriate staff available to work on this project?  Yes  No  If yes, are they knowledgeable with the federal-aid process?  Yes  No | | | | |
| 1. Have other stakeholders in your project been identified and involved in project development?   Yes  No  N/A  If yes, who are the stakeholders? | | | | |
| Please provide any additional details on any of the items in Section 1, if applicable. | | | | |

|  |
| --- |
| **Section 2. Local Match** |
| * 1. Is all the local match identified in your application currently available, and if a partnering agency is also committing match, do you have a commitment letter?   Yes  No  Please describe: |
| * 1. Is all funding for this project currently identified in the sponsor agency’s Capital Improvement Program (CIP)?   Yes  No  Please describe: |
| **Section 3. Public Support** |
| Has the proposed project previously been through a public review process (public comment period, public hearing, etc.)?  Yes  No  Has the public had access to translated project materials in relevant languages for the local community?  Yes  No  Please describe: |
| Have any adjacent property owners to the proposed project been contacted and provided with the initial project concept?  Yes  No  N/A |
| Please provide any additional details on the items in Section 3, if applicable. |

**Submit completed applications through the** [**TIP Data Hub**](https://drcog-tip-drcog.hub.arcgis.com/) **no later than 3pm on March 18, 2022.**